**MINUTES**

**CITY OF SASKATOON**

**BOARD OF REVISION**

Date: May 24, 2017

Location: Committee Room E

City Hall

Session: 1 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Mr. Asit Sarkar, Panel Chair

Mr. Colin Butler, Board Member

Mr. Marvin Dutton, Board Member

Ms. Joyce Fast, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The Appellant was advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including Appellants and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

1. **Appeal No. 44-2017**

**Civic Address: 109 655 Kenderdine Road**

**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 152477309**

**Roll No. 495816345**

## Appearing for the Appellant

Mr. Frederick Schartner

Appearing for the Respondent

Mr. Randy McKay, Senior Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation (Advocate)

Ms. JoAnn Baraniecki, Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Grounds and Issues

The appeal was based on the assessed value being wrong due to style and size, and not in equity to other similar units in the condominium complex.

## Exhibits

Exhibit A.1: Notice of Appeal, received and dated February 2, 2017

Exhibit A.2: Appellant’s Submission, received and dated February 28, 2017

Exhibit A.3: Additional material, distributed at the hearing, May 24, 2017

Exhibit R.1: Ground-Oriented Condominium Response, 2017 Assessment, received May15, 2017

Exhibit C(R)1: Confidential Appeal Response 2017 Assessment, received May 15, 2017

Supplementary Notations

Ms. JoAnn Baraniecki and the Appellant, Mr. Frederick Schartner, affirmed that any evidence given during the course of the hearing would be the truth.

An Order for Confidentiality was signed by the Panel Chair with regard to Exhibit C(R)1 – Confidential Appeal Response – 2017 Assessment.

The Panel requested an Undertaking from the Respondent to clarify the issue of the location of the park, municipal buffer and municipal reserve with regard to the subject property being “adjacent to park” while the neighboring properties are not deemed to be adjacent to park.

This section should identify who took an oath or affirmed, i.e. *Mr. Smith affirmed that any evidence given in this hearing would be the truth.* Also record any “unusual” or “significant” happenings in the appeal hearing in this section.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated in the Record of Decision dated June 23, 2017, the assessment was sustained and the appeal denied.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearing held on May 24, 2017.

J. Fast, Panel Clerk

Board of Revision