City of Saskatoon
2023 to 2025 City-Wide Waste Characterization Study
Fall 2024






PRESENTED TO
City of Saskatoon

JANUARY 6, 2025
ISSUED FOR USE
FILE: 704-SWM.PLAN03291-01

Tetra Tech Canada Inc.
Unit 20, 3942 Burron Avenue
Saskatoon, SK S7P 0E1 CANADA
Tel 306.659.6101 Fax 306.659.6150

This page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Tetra Tech Canada Inc. (Tetra Tech) was retained by the City of Saskatoon (City) to conduct a multi-season City-Wide Waste Characterization Study. The scope of the study consists of nine seasonal waste sorting events over a three-year period from 2023 to 2025. This seasonal report summarizes the fifth sampling event conducted for garbage, recycling, and organics from the single family (SF) residential sector, and second sampling event for garbage from the multi-unit (MU) and residential drop off (DO) sectors in October 2024 (Fall 2024).

Section 1 of the report identifies the scope of work, project limitations, and an overview of waste collection services in the City.

Section 2 identifies the methodology that was undertaken for the Fall 2024 Study, including waste collection, sorting, and data analysis. A detailed description of material categories is included in Appendix C.

Section 3 includes an overview of set out rates, types, and amounts of materials collected, and an estimate of cart fullness. Waste composition results for garbage, recycling, and organics for the SF sector are also presented in Section 3 along with diversion potential, contamination rates, capture rates, and a bag count for the organics stream. Waste composition results for garbage from the MU and DO sectors are included in Section 3. Recycling and organics streams for the MU and DO sectors were not included in this scope of work. A detailed breakdown of waste composition results by stream is included in Appendix D.

- The average percentage of carts set out for bi-weekly collection was 83% for all three SF waste streams.
- On average, the total amount of materials disposed from all three streams on a bi-weekly basis was approximately 41 kg/household.
- On average, carts that were set out were 63% full. The majority (78%) of SF garbage carts were the large 360 L size, 13% were the medium 240 L size, and 9% were the small 120 L size. All recycling and organics carts were 360 L.
- The SF garbage stream was primarily composed of food waste (30%), plastics (13%), household hygiene (13%), paper (9%), yard waste (9%), and construction and demolition waste (7%).
- Organic materials accounted for 45% of the SF garbage stream, primarily consisting of avoidable food waste (22%), unavoidable food waste (8%), yard and garden debris (8%), and tissue/toweling (6%).
- The diversion potential for the SF garbage stream based on existing programs and services was 64%.
- The recycling stream was primarily composed of paper packaging (47%), paper (21%), and plastic (14%).
- The contamination in the recycling stream was 13%.
- The organics stream was composed of yard waste (77%), food waste (18%), paper (2%), construction and demolition wastes (2%), and paper packaging (1%).
- The contamination in the organics stream was 3%.
- MU garbage was primarily composed of food waste (22%), plastics (12%), household hygiene (10%), other materials (9%), construction and demolition wastes (9%), and paper (8%).
- Divertible material in the MU garbage stream included organics (35%), depot materials (15%), and recycling (14%).

- DO garbage was primarily composed of construction and demolition waste (59%), yard waste (15%), and bulky waste (12%).
- Most of the material in the DO garbage stream was material that has no corresponding program or service currently being offered for diversion (71%), this included construction and demolition waste and bulky items.

Section 4 summarizes the interesting finds in the Fall 2024 Study and Appendix B includes selected photographs for reference.

Section 5 includes initial comments and preliminary recommendations based on the findings from the fifth sampling event:

- The bi-weekly collection frequency appears to be effective for residents' needs.
- The variable cart sizes for garbage and the 360 L cart size for recycling and organics worked well for most households. Only 8% of carts were overfilled; however, approximately 41% of carts were only filled to half capacity or below.
- Additional education and communication on the green cart program may be beneficial to:
  - Reduce the amount of organic waste in the garbage stream, which was comprised of 30% food waste and 9% yard waste.
- Additional education and communication on the recycling program may be beneficial to reduce the amount of contamination in the recycling stream.
- Additional diversion programs are recommended for materials that can be diverted from the landfill, including construction and demolition waste. Construction and demolition waste contributed to approximately 7% of material in the SF garbage, 9% of the MU garbage, and 59% of the DO garbage streams.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES IN TEXT

LIST OF FIGURES IN TEXT

APPENDIX SECTIONS

ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

Acronyms/Abbreviations	Definition
City	City of Saskatoon
DO	Drop Off
HDPE	High-density Polyethylene
Landfill	Saskatoon Regional Waste Management Centre
LDPE	Low-density Polyethylene
MU	Multi-unit
SARCAN	Saskatchewan Association of Rehabilitation Centres
SF	Single Family
Tetra Tech	Tetra Tech Canada Inc.

LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

This report and its contents are intended for the sole use of the City of Saskatoon and their agents. Tetra Tech Canada Inc. (Tetra Tech) does not accept any responsibility for the accuracy of any of the data, the analysis, or the recommendations contained or referenced in the report when the report is used or relied upon by any Party other than the City of Saskatoon, or for any Project other than the proposed development at the subject site. Any such unauthorized use of this report is at the sole risk of the user. Use of this document is subject to the Limitations on the Use of this Document attached in the Appendix or Contractual Terms and Conditions executed by both parties.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tetra Tech Canada Inc. (Tetra Tech) was retained by the City of Saskatoon (City) to conduct a multi-season City-Wide Waste Characterization Study from 2023 to 2025. The purpose of this study is to identify trends and changes in the City's waste profile and provide benchmarks as new programs are introduced. The following programs implemented in the City include:

- In 2023, the City launched a mandatory curbside organics (green) cart program for the single family (SF) sector and a mandatory organics diversion program for the industrial, commercial, and institutional sector.
- In 2024, the City implemented a variable rate fee structure for curbside garbage (black) carts. In the spring of 2024, new garbage carts were rolled out to households that requested a smaller cart size (i.e., 120 L or 240 L), so the Fall 2024 audit was the second waste characterization event in the multi-year project where different garbage cart sizes were noted in the field.

It is understood that results from the study are intended to improve understanding of program use, identify changes over time, identify areas for program improvement, and to inform public communication campaigns.

The scope of the study consists of nine seasonal waste sorting events over a three-year period. The first waste sort was conducted in October 2023 (Fall 2023), the second was conducted in December 2023 (Winter 2023), the third was conducted in April 2024 (spring 2024), and the fourth was conducted in August 2024 (Summer 2024). This seasonal report summarizes the fifth sampling event conducted for garbage, recycling, and organics from the SF residential sector, and the second event from garbage from the multi-unit (MU) and residential drop off (DO) sectors in October 2024.

1.1 Scope of Work

This study characterized the composition of solid waste in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams from SF households that receive curbside collection, and characterization of garbage from the MU and DO sectors. The fieldwork involved the following:

- Collected garbage, recycling, and organics from select SF households;
- Documented waste stream set outs and fullness of the materials in the SF carts collected;
- Transported collected materials to a designated sorting area;
- Collected garbage from MU collection trucks;
- Visually assessed garbage from the DO sector; and
- Sorted and weighed the collected waste streams.

The objectives of this study include the following:

- Document the amount and types of materials discarded in the recycling, organics, and garbage waste streams to establish a baseline for the SF residential sector.
- Document the amount and types of materials discarded in the garbage waste stream to establish a baseline for the MU and DO residential sector.
- Determine the amount of contamination found in the recycling and organic streams, and the amount of divertible materials in the SF, MU, and DO garbage.

- Determine the capture rates for SF recyclables and organic materials relative to the generation rate.
- Document the estimated SF cart fullness prior to collection.
- Estimate SF waste generation rates for the three waste streams.
- Estimate diversion potential for other waste streams (such as those that have diversion programs) that could be diverted through depots such as household hazardous waste, construction waste, and textiles.
- Assess service level suitability (i.e., collection frequency and cart size) for SF residents.

This was the fifth sorting event that took place from October 21 to November 1, 2024, inclusive. A sampling plan was prepared in conjunction with City staff. A total of 100 households were selected from ten neighbourhoods for the Fall 2024 sorting event and included the same set of households that were selected for the Summer 2024 event. Table 1-1 summarizes the selected neighbourhoods, collection route number and code, number of households selected, cart set out location, and description.

Table 1-1: Single Family Households Characterized

Neighbourhood	Collection Route	Route Code	Number of Homes	Set Out Location	Description
Nutana	1	NUT	10	Back Lane	10 homes in a row
Nutana Park	2	NPA	10	Back Lane	10 homes in a row
Eastview	3	EAS	10	Back Lane	10 homes in a row
Rosewood	4	ROS	10	Front Street	10 homes in a row
Willowgrove	5	WIL	10	Front Street	10 homes in a row
City Park	6	CIT	10	Back Lane	10 homes in a row, one home with two sets of carts
Silverwood Heights	7	SIL	10	Front Street	10 homes in a row
Mount Royal	8	MOU	10	Front Street	10 homes in a row
Dundonald	9	DUN	10	Front Street	10 homes in a row
Parkridge	10	PAR	10	Front Street	10 homes in a row
		Total	**100**		

1.2 Project Limitations

The findings of this study may be limited by the following factors:

- **Sampling Methodology:** Results from this sampling methodology are directly correlated to the 10 households that were selected for collection in each neighbourhood. It was assumed that these households would be representative of the entire neighbourhood.
- **Residential Behaviour:** A few residents approached the collection crew and asked questions about the project. This may have affected residents' behaviour patterns with respect to waste disposal practices for other waste streams and future sorting events due to their awareness of the waste characterization study.

- **Diversion Potential:** The diversion potential is calculated based on an ideal scenario where residents are correctly utilizing all waste diversion options that were available at the time of the study. Diversion potential is considered a theoretical maximum and represents the upper boundary of what could be possible given the current waste composition and waste diversion programs.
- **Set Out Rates:** The noted set out rates for carts in back lane collection locations could potentially be skewed higher. Carts at these locations are not always returned to the residents' yard or property and are all placed on one side of the alley, increasing the potential of an extra collected cart if they were not labelled correctly.
- **Waste Produced Per Household Estimation:** The amount of waste produced every two weeks per household is calculated by dividing the total weight collected by the total number of possible households. It does not take into account the set out rate.

1.3 Overview of Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Collection

1.3.1 Single Family Residential Garbage, Recycling, and Organics

The following section provides an overview of the City's services for garbage, recycling, and organics collection for SF households.

Garbage (black cart) is collected on a bi-weekly basis year-round. The default cart size is 360 L; however, households have the option to request a 240 L or 120 L cart size. Collection operations are conducted by the City. In 2024, the City implemented a utility fee for garbage collection, and the Fall 2024 study was the second sorting event after the rollout of the variable cart sizes for garbage collection.

Recycling (blue cart) is collected on a bi-weekly basis year-round. The default cart size is 360 L. Collection operations are conducted under contract with a third-party service provider. Recycling collection is funded through a recycling utility fee and residents have the option to pay for an additional cart, if desired.

Organics (green cart) is collected on a bi-weekly basis year-round and includes yard and food waste. The default cart size is 360 L and collection operations are conducted by the City. Prior to 2023, the green cart program was a voluntary, subscription-based program; however, in the spring of 2023, the green cart program was expanded to a city-wide program for all SF households receiving cart collections. In 2023, organics collection was funded through property taxes; however, as of 2024, the City has implemented a utility fee for organics collection.

All three waste streams are collected on different days of the week (e.g., no more than one cart is placed out for collection on any given day). Set out locations for carts vary depending on the location in the City but include both front street and back lane. Front street collections occur on both sides of the street; however, back lane collections occur on only one side of the lane. Materials placed outside the carts are not collected.

1.3.2 Multi-Unit Residential Garbage

MU residential apartments and condominiums receive weekly garbage collection from communal metal garbage bins. Collection operations are conducted by the City but buildings may choose to contract garbage collection through private service providers. Garbage collection costs are funded through property taxes.

1.3.3 Residential Garbage Drop Off

Residents can drop off garbage materials at the Saskatoon Regional Waste Management Centre (Landfill) to dispose of for a fee. Waste materials from residents who self haul and drop off materials that are not typically collected from the curbside collection program. The waste material is commonly deposited into large roll-off bins and aggregated together.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The following section describes the methodology that was undertaken to conduct this study. Appendix B includes photos that highlight some of the activities.

2.1 Health and Safety

A Health and Safety Plan was developed for this project to identify potential hazards in advance of the waste composition study. The Health and Safety Plan was reviewed and updated to account for seasonal changes (e.g., potential for warmer weather or winter-like conditions in the fall) as well as inputs and lessons learned from past sorting events. Tetra Tech staff conducting field work for this study were required to have up-to-date safety certifications and training for waste sorting activities. Personal protective equipment, including face masks, safety goggles, gloves, steel toe boots, coveralls, and hi-vis vests, was worn by all field staff according to Tetra Tech's Health and Safety Plan.

As the waste sorting was conducted at the Landfill, all Tetra Tech staff completed a landfill safety orientation required by the City to understand site-specific hazards, controls, and expectations. A safe working location was selected and clearly demarcated. Safety meetings were conducted by Tetra Tech at the beginning of each day to review and identify key concerns and hazard mitigation strategies, including how to handle material hazards such as sharps or hazardous materials, safe lifting of heavy material, working around and driving vehicles.

2.2 Seasonal Weather Conditions

Table 2-1 documents an overview of the weather conditions in Saskatoon during the Fall 2024 sorting event. The Fall 2024 experienced minimal snow prior to the waste characterization study. This may have influenced the amount and types of waste in the carts (e.g., higher volumes of leaf and yard waste from seasonal yard cleanups).

Table 2-1: Weather Conditions – Fall 2024

Date	Temperature (°C)[1]			Precipitation (mm)[1]	Max Wind Speed (km/hr)[1]
	Average	Min	Max		
October 21, 2024	7.5	1.9	13.1	N/A	34
October 22, 2024	-1.2	-4.4	2.1	N/A	38
October 23, 2024	3.1	-4.3	10.5	N/A	N/A
October 24, 2024	4.0	-4.2	12.1	N/A	39
October 25, 2024	1.0	-8.3	10.2	N/A	N/A
October 26, 2024	3.6	-4.4	11.6	N/A	38
October 27, 2024	6.1	0.5	11.7	N/A	40
October 28, 2024	1.5	-5.8	8.8	N/A	N/A
October 29, 2024	-1.2	-8.3	5.9	N/A	N/A
October 30, 2024	-0.6	-8.7	7.5	N/A	N/A
October 31, 2024	2.0	-7.6	11.5	N/A	N/A
November 1, 2024	0.3	-6.8	7.3	N/A	N/A

Notes:
[1] Obtained from Government of Canada Climate for the City of Saskatoon.

[1] Government of Canada. (2024). Daily Data Report for October 2024.
Daily Data Report for October 2024 - Climate - Environment and Climate Change Canada.

2.3 Sampling Plan

2.3.1 Single Family Households

Tetra Tech worked with City staff to select households for the study. During the Fall 2024 event, a total of 100 households were selected from ten neighbourhoods with different collection routes in the City. Table 2-2 summarizes the collection days, routes, and waste streams from the Fall 2024 sorting event. It should be noted that garbage, recycling, and organics are each collected every other week.

Table 2-2: SF Collection Days and Waste Streams Sampled – Fall 2024

Collection Day	Neighbourhood	Waste Stream
Monday, October 21	Nutana Park	Garbage
	Eastview	Recycling
	Nutana	Organics
Tuesday, October 22	Rosewood	Garbage
	Willowgrove	Recycling
	Eastview	Organics
Wednesday, October 23	City Park	Garbage
	Silverwood Heights	Recycling
	Willowgrove	Organics
Thursday, October 24	Mount Royal	Garbage
	Dundonald	Recycling
	Silverwood Heights	Organics
Friday, October 25	Parkridge	Garbage
	Nutana	Recycling
	Dundonald	Organics
Monday, October 28	Nutana	Garbage
	Rosewood	Recycling
	Nutana Park	Organics
Tuesday, October 29	Eastview	Garbage
	City Park	Recycling
	Rosewood	Organics
Wednesday, October 30	Willowgrove	Garbage
	Mount Royal	Recycling
	City Park	Organics
Thursday, October 31	Silverwood Heights	Garbage
	Parkridge	Recycling
	Mount Royal	Organics
Friday, November 1	Dundonald	Garbage
	Nutana Park	Recycling
	Parkridge	Organics

2.3.2 Multi-Unit Residential

Four MU collection routes were selected for the Fall 2024 event. Tetra Tech sorted two samples from each route for a total of eight samples. Each load included multiple MU complexes from one area of Saskatoon. Table 2-3 summarizes the collection dates and areas for MU garbage. Note the collection routes for the Fall 2024 sampling event were the same as those selected for the Summer 2024 sampling event.

Table 2-3: MU Collection Days and Samples

Date	Area	Number of Samples
October 22, 2024	East	2
	Other	2
October 24, 2024	West	2
	Other	2
	Total	**8**

2.4 Sample Collection Methodology

2.4.1 Single Family Curbside Collection

Each day, Tetra Tech arrived at the first collection location no earlier than 8:00 a.m. (note that carts are required to be placed out at the curb for collection by 7:00 a.m. as per the Waste Bylaw). Prior to material collection, Tetra Tech field staff recorded the number of garbage, organics, or recycling carts that were set out from the selected households as well as the estimated percent cart fullness. Cart fullness was estimated by visually comparing the height of materials to the height of the cart. If there was a low number of carts set out (e.g., less than 70%), staff recorded this and returned at a later time that morning to collect materials from any additional carts set out. During collection, staff also recorded general observations and resident encounters. Recorded observations would include any additional materials placed outside of the garbage cart or if there was a large amount of contamination (e.g., building materials) in or around the cart. During the Fall 2024 sampling event, cart sizes (e.g., 360 L, 240 L, or 120 L) were noted for the garbage stream.

Tetra Tech field staff collected contents from each household's carts. Only materials that were placed inside the carts were collected and characterized. Materials collected from carts in each neighbourhood were combined and represented a single sample. Tetra Tech labelled material while collecting to make sure samples were not mixed or co-mingled. All home addresses were confidential and were only provided to the field supervisor for coordination purposes. Measures were taken to ensure all data collected remained anonymous and results were aggregated.

Once the samples were collected, Tetra Tech staff transported the materials to the designated sorting area at the Landfill. Samples were then unloaded, and the sorting team organized the materials to make sure samples were not mixed or co-mingled.

2.4.2 Multi-Unit Residential Collection

Tetra Tech's field lead worked closely with City staff to identify loads for sampling that were considered representative of the MU residential sector. The City coordinated, collected, and delivered selected loads to the landfill face, and Tetra Tech's field lead worked closely with City staff and facility operators to confirm the load was emptied at the designated area for sampling. Two samples were taken from each of the four trucks for a total of eight samples. Tetra Tech documented the load details (including origin of waste, photographs) and sample selection methodology was followed. All MU samples were hand sorted. The samples from the MU residential sector included only the garbage stream.

2.4.3 Residential Drop Off Collection

Tetra Tech's field lead worked closely with City staff to identify loads for sampling that were considered representative of the residential drop off sector. The City coordinated, collected, and delivered selected loads from the bins at the public drop off area to the landfill face, and Tetra Tech's field lead worked closely with City staff and facility operators to confirm the load was emptied at the designated area for sampling. Eight loads of drop off material were received at the landfill face, and Tetra Tech documented the load details (including photographs) and sample selection methodology was followed. All DO samples were visually audited.

2.5 Waste Characterization Approach

SF loads were collected and transported by Tetra Tech staff. As selected MU and DO loads for sampling arrived at the Landfill, Tetra Tech's field lead would communicate with the City staff to confirm that the load was brought to the designated collection area for sample collection.

2.5.1 Hand Sort

All SF and MU loads were hand sorted. For all three waste streams, staff weighed each sample to determine the pre-weight. For the garbage stream, the field team took a subsample that was approximately 100 kg for hand sorting, collecting material from each collection bag to minimize potential bias. For the recycling and organics streams, the entire samples were sorted. Each sample was then hand sorted into its respective material categories.

All samples were sorted as per the categories agreed upon with the City. Each categorized item was placed into respective bins. The contents of each bin were then weighed and recorded to determine the weight for each secondary category. Details of the sorting categories are included in Appendix C, along with their description, and preferred diversion/disposal method.

The waste streams were characterized into 13 primary categories which were then further divided into 67 secondary categories. Primary categories include the following:

- Paper.
- Metals.
- Food waste.
- Yard waste.
- Bulky waste.
- Paper packaging.
- Glass.
- Construction and demolition waste.
- Household hygiene.
- Plastics.
- Household hazardous waste.
- Waste electrical and electronic equipment.
- Other materials.

Note that the term “household hazardous waste” is an industry term that refers to household products that may be flammable, corrosive, or toxic under certain conditions, but are generally safe to handle under normal conditions. The “household hygiene” category includes materials such as diapers, sanitary products, and pet waste. The “other materials” primary category includes materials such as textiles, tires and other rubber, other waste, and wooden utensils.

2.5.2 Visual Estimates

Visual estimates were conducted for all DO loads, after confirming that bagged garbage made up less than 30% of each load. The samples were visually estimated and characterized by having two staff members walk around the load to visually estimate composition by volume, first by primary categories, then by secondary categories. Individual results were recorded by staff and an average was taken and recorded electronically.

2.6 Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using Tetra Tech’s spreadsheet analysis tool. Data was compiled into primary and secondary categories by weight. The composition for each stream was calculated as weighted averages.

The types of data analysis undertaken by Tetra Tech include the following:

- Set out rates, fullness, and cart size of curbside carts.
- Bi-weekly generation rates.
- Composition of materials by material type and weight.
- Diversion potential or contamination rate of materials.
- Capture rates of recyclable and organic materials.
- Counts of plastic film bags (non-packaging) and compostable/biodegradable bags.
- Notable items.

3.0 RESULTS

The following summarizes the waste composition results for the various streams investigated. Results are presented by primary category. Primary category percentages were calculated by aggregating all sample data for each stream. An average percentage by weight was determined for each stream. Waste composition results for all samples by material categories are presented in Appendix D. Selected photographs of samples are shown in Appendix B.

Following the waste composition results, the proportion of materials that could be diverted from disposal was estimated and presented as the diversion potential or contamination rate. Classifications for the diversion potential of each secondary category can be found in Appendix C. The materials were categorized as follows:

- **Organics:** materials accepted by the City’s composting program (e.g., yard waste, food scraps, and food soiled paper).
- **Recycling**: materials accepted by the City’s curbside collection services or at recycling depots.
- **Depot**: materials accepted for drop off at a depot or other drop off location for diversion (e.g., the Recycling Division of Saskatchewan Association of Rehabilitation Centres [SARCAN], Material Recovery Centre).

- **No Program**: materials that do not currently have a diversion program in the City but could theoretically be diverted from landfill with future diversion programs.
- **Garbage**: materials that do not fall within the above diversion options and would be landfilled.

The diversion potential is calculated based on an ideal scenario where residents are correctly utilizing all waste diversion options that were available at the time of the study. This is the theoretical maximum and represents the upper boundary of what is possible given the current waste composition and waste diversion programs.

3.1 Single Family Overview

3.1.1 Set Out Rates

Table 3-1 summarizes the set out rates from each stream in SF residential carts during the Fall 2024 sorting event. The average total percentage of carts set out was 83% for all three streams and the average set out rates in the garbage, recycling, and organics stream was 91%, 80%, and 78%, respectively. The range of set out rates for all routes was between 60% and 93%.

Table 3-1: Cart Set Out Rates – Fall 2024

Route	Set Out Location	Garbage (%)	Recycling (%)	Organics (%)	Average (%)
Nutana	Back Lane	90%	90%	100%	**93%**
Nutana Park	Back Lane	100%	70%	80%	**83%**
Eastview	Back Lane	90%	90%	100%	**93%**
Rosewood	Front Street	90%	90%	60%	**80%**
Willowgrove	Front Street	90%	90%	80%	**87%**
City Park	Back Lane	90%	90%	70%	**83%**
Silverwood Heights	Front Street	100%	100%	70%	**90%**
Mount Royal	Front Street	90%	40%	50%	**60%**
Dundonald	Front Street	90%	80%	100%	**90%**
Parkridge	Front Street	80%	60%	70%	**70%**
	Average	**91%**	**80%**	**78%**	**83%**

3.1.2 Waste Collected Per Household

Table 3-2 summarizes the amount of material collected per household from each stream in SF residential carts during the Fall 2024 sorting event. The average total amount of materials over a two-week period was 41 kg/household from all three streams. The average amount of materials collected per household in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams was 18 kg/household, 5 kg/household, and 19 kg/household, respectively. The generation rate for homes ranged between 31 kg/household and 60 kg/household per two-week period.

Table 3-2: Amount of Waste Materials Disposed per Household per Two Week Period – Fall 2024

Route	Number of Carts	Garbage (kg/household)	Recycling (kg/household)	Organics (kg/household)	Total (kg/household)*
Nutana	10	17.03	4.48	15.22	**36.73**
Nutana Park	10	14.79	3.89	15.03	**33.70**
Eastview	10	14.45	6.98	38.34	**59.76**
Rosewood	10	26.52	7.28	14.09	**47.89**
Willowgrove	10	11.13	4.92	17.12	**33.17**
City Park	11	18.49	5.33	14.19	**38.00**
Silverwood Heights	10	19.57	5.09	11.67	**36.32**
Mount Royal	10	17.81	1.26	11.51	**30.57**
Dundonald	10	18.79	4.17	34.73	**57.68**
Parkridge	10	19.15	3.34	14.03	**36.51**
	Average	**17.77**	**4.67**	**18.59**	**41.03**

Notes:
*Total kilograms collected divided by total number of carts per route (regardless of the number of carts set out).

3.1.3 Cart Fullness

Table 3-3 summarizes the average cart fullness from each stream in SF residential carts during the Fall 2024 sorting event. The average fullness of carts was 63% for all three streams and the average fullness in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams were 68%, 58%, and 63%, respectively. The average fullness was between 53% and 73%.

Table 3-3: Cart Fullness – Fall 2024

Route	Set Out Location	Garbage (%)	Recycling (%)	Organics (%)	Average (%)
Nutana	Back Lane	71%	44%	44%	**53%**
Nutana Park	Back Lane	64%	55%	66%	**61%**
Eastview	Back Lane	60%	65%	81%	**69%**
Rosewood	Front Street	73%	74%	34%	**60%**
Willowgrove	Front Street	59%	64%	57%	**60%**
City Park	Back Lane	67%	68%	71%	**69%**
Silverwood Heights	Front Street	66%	69%	48%	**61%**
Mount Royal	Front Street	64%	19%	91%	**58%**
Dundonald	Front Street	84%	61%	74%	**73%**
Parkridge	Front Street	75%	61%	65%	**67%**
	Average	**68%**	**58%**	**63%**	**63%**

3.2 Single Family Garbage

The following summarizes the waste composition results and diversion potential for SF garbage in the City.

3.2.1 Single Family Garbage Waste Composition Results

Figure 3-1 illustrates the average waste composition of the garbage stream from the SF sector in Fall 2024. This is a snapshot of the types and relative quantities of materials that were discarded by residents at this time of the year.



Figure 3-1: Overall SF Garbage Composition

The overall SF garbage stream was primarily composed of food waste (30%), plastics (13%), household hygiene (13%), paper (9%), yard waste (9%), and construction and demolition waste (7%). The remainder was comprised of other materials (5%), paper packaging (5%), metal (4%), glass (2%), waste electrical and electronic equipment (2%), and household hazardous waste (1%). The most prominent six primary categories represent 81% of the SF garbage stream and are broken down as follows:

- Food waste, composed of avoidable food waste (22%) and unavoidable food waste (8%). Avoidable food waste included edible food (e.g., whole fruits and vegetables, prepared meals, meat, and bread) and unavoidable food waste included inedible food (e.g., peels, bones, solidified fats, and coffee grounds).
- Plastics, including durable plastic products (3%), plastic laminates and other film packaging (3%), plastic film (2%), low-density polyethylene/high-density polyethylene (LDPE/HDPE) film – products (non-packaging) (2%), and #5 polypropylene (1%).
- Household hygiene, which mainly included pet waste (7%), diapers (5%), and sanitary products (1%).
- Paper, primarily composed of tissue/toweling (6%) and mixed paper (1%).
- Yard waste, mainly composed of yard and garden debris (8%).
- Construction and demolition waste, primarily composed of dimensional lumber – treated (2%), other construction and demolition waste (2%), and composite wood (1%). Other construction and demolition waste included wallpaper, vinyl flooring, and rubble.

3.2.2 Diversion Potential

Figure 3-2 summarizes the diversion potential of the SF garbage stream. The diversion potential represents the percentage of materials that could be diverted from the garbage stream through the City's organics, recycling, and depot programs. The 'No Program' category represents the theoretical diversion potential of materials from the garbage stream, but no corresponding program or service is currently offered (e.g., construction and demolition wastes). The total diversion potential for the SF garbage stream was calculated to be 71% and consisted of 45% organic materials, 10% recyclable materials, 9% depot materials, and 7% No Program materials. The diversion potential for the SF garbage stream based on existing programs and services is 64%.



Figure 3-2: Overall SF Garbage Diversion Potential

The diversion potential may be broken down as follows:

- Organic materials, primarily composed of avoidable food waste (22%), unavoidable food waste (8%), yard and garden debris (8%), and tissue/toweling (6%).
- Recyclable materials, which included boxboard/cores (1%), mixed paper (1%), #5 polypropylene (1%), and glass – non beverage (1%).
- Depot materials, primarily composed of textiles (3%), other metal (2%), electronics (2%), and household hazardous waste (1%).
- No Program materials, which included dimensional lumber – treated (2%), other construction and demolition waste (2%), and composite wood (1%).

3.3 Single Family Recycling

The following summarizes the waste composition results and contamination rate for SF recycling in the City.

3.3.1 SF Recycling Waste Composition Results

Figure 3-3 illustrates the average waste composition of the recycling stream from the SF sector in Fall 2024. This is a snapshot of the types and relative quantities of materials that were discarded by residents at this time of the year.



Figure 3-3: Overall SF Recycling Composition

The SF recycling stream was primarily composed of paper packaging (47%), paper (21%), and plastic (14%). These three primary categories represent 82% of the SF recycling stream. The primary categories in SF recycling are broken down as follows:

- Paper packaging, mainly including corrugated cardboard (25%) and boxboard/cores (16%).
- Paper, primarily composed of mixed paper (18%), other paper – non-obligated (2%), and shredded paper (1%).
- Plastics, including #2 HDPE non-beverage (3%), #1 polyethylene terephthalate thermoform (3%), durable plastic products (2%), #5 polypropylene (2%), #1 polyethylene terephthalate bottles, jugs, and jars – non-beverage (1%), and other rigid plastic packaging (1%).

3.3.2 Contamination Rate

Figure 3-4 summarizes the percent contamination of the SF recycling stream. The percent contamination represents the percentage of materials that are considered garbage, organic, or depot materials. The total percent contamination for the SF recycling stream was 13%, including garbage materials (10%), depot materials (2%), and organic materials (1%). The recycling stream contained 3% cross contamination and 10% contamination. The contamination is broken down as follows:

- Garbage materials, including other paper – non-obligated (2%), durable plastic products (2%), laminated paper packaging (1%), and other rigid plastic packaging (1%).
- Depot materials, primarily composed of other metal (1%) and textiles (1%).
- Organic materials, primarily composed of avoidable food waste (<1%), tissues/toweling (<1%), unavoidable food waste (<1%), and yard and garden debris (<1%).



Figure 3-4: Overall SF Recycling Contamination

3.3.3 Capture Rate

Table 3-4 summarizes the amount of recyclable material found in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams; these values represent the average from the ten neighbourhoods. The total amount of recyclable materials in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams was 17 kg, 41 kg, and <1 kg, respectively. Table 3-5 summarizes the capture rate of the recycling stream. The total amount of recyclables that could be diverted was 58 kg and the total amount of recyclables captured in the recycling stream was 41 kg. Therefore, the capture rate for recyclables was determined to be 70%.

Table 3-4: Recyclable Material in All Streams – Fall 2024

	Garbage	Recycling	Organics
Total Waste Generated (kg)	179.56	47.25	187.32
Percent Composition of Recyclable Material	9.6%	86.7%	0.2%
Recyclable Material (kg)	17.18	40.96	0.30

Table 3-5: Recyclable Material Capture Rate – Fall 2024

	Value
Total Recyclables in Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Streams (kg)	58.44
Total Recyclables Captured in the Recycling Stream (kg)	40.96
Capture Rate	**70.1%**

3.4 Single Family Organics

The following summarizes the waste composition results and contamination rate for SF organics in the City.

3.4.1 SF Organics Waste Composition Results

Figure 3-5 illustrates the average waste composition of the organics stream from the SF sector in Fall 2024. This is a snapshot of the types and relative quantities of materials that were discarded by residents at this time of the year.



Figure 3-5: Overall SF Organics Composition

The majority of the SF organics stream was composed of yard waste (77%) and food waste (18%). These two primary categories represent 95% of the SF organics stream. The top primary categories in SF organics may be broken down as follows:

- Yard waste, including yard and garden debris (72%) and brush and branches (4%).
- Food waste, composed of avoidable food waste (12%) and unavoidable food waste (6%).

3.4.2 Contamination Rate

Figure 3-6 summarizes the percent contamination of the SF organics stream. The percent contamination represents the percentage of materials that are considered garbage or recyclable materials. The total contamination for the SF organics stream was 2% No Program materials and 1% garbage materials. The organics stream contained 3% contamination and no cross contamination. The contamination may be broken down as follows:

- No Program materials, mainly consisting of concrete and bricks (1%), dimensional lumber – untreated (<1%), and dimensional lumber – treated (<1%).
- Garbage materials, including #7 biodegradable/compostable plastics (<1%) and other waste (<1%).



Figure 3-6: Overall SF Organics Contamination

3.4.3 Capture Rate

Table 3-6 summarizes the amount of organic material found in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams; these values represent the average from the ten neighbourhoods. The total amount of organic materials in the garbage, recycling, and organics streams was 82 kg, 1 kg, and 183 kg, respectively. Table 3-7 summarizes the capture rate of the organics stream. The total amount of organics that could be diverted was 265 kg and the total amount of organics captured in the organics stream was 183 kg. Therefore, the capture rate for organics was determined to be 69%.

Table 3-6: Organic Material in All Streams – Fall 2024

	Garbage	Recycling	Organics
Total Waste Generated (kg)	179.56	47.25	187.32
Percent Composition of Organic Material	45.5%	1.4%	97.6%
Organic Material (kg)	81.64	0.66	182.77

Table 3-7: Organic Material Capture Rate – Fall 2024

	Value
Total Organics in Garbage, Recycling, and Organics Streams (kg)	265.07
Total Organics Captured in the Organic Stream (kg)	182.77
Capture Rate	**69.0%**

3.4.4 Bag Count

Table 3-8 summarizes the number of bags found in the SF organics stream during the Fall 2024 sorting event. The average number of #7 biodegradable/compostable bags per 100 kg of organics was 9 bags/100 kg. The range was between 2 and 27 bags/100 kg. The average number of LDPE/HDPE non-packaging bags per 100 kg of organics was 1 bag/100 kg ranging between 0 and 3 bags/100 kg. LDPE/HDPE non-packaging included purchased film bags (e.g., garbage bags, kitchen catchers, sandwich and freezer bags, etc.).

Table 3-8: Number of Bags in SF Organics Samples – Fall 2024

Route	Weight of Organics (kg)	#7 Biodegradable/ Compostable (bags)	LDPE/HDPE Non-Packaging (bags)	#7 Biodegradable/ Compostable (bags/100 kg)	LDPE/HDPE Non-Packaging (bags/100 kg)
Nutana	152.20	41	0	27	0
Nutana Park	150.25	5	0	3	0
Eastview	383.35	17	2	4	1
Rosewood	140.85	18	2	13	1
Willowgrove	171.20	22	0	13	0
City Park	156.05	3	3	2	2
Silverwood Heights	116.65	7	3	6	3
Mount Royal	115.05	14	1	12	1
Dundonald	347.25	19	2	5	1
Parkridge	140.30	12	1	9	1
Average	**187.3**	**16**	**1**	**9**	**1**

3.5 Multi-Unit Garbage

The following summarizes the waste composition results and contamination rate for MU garbage in the City.

3.5.1 Multi-Unit Garbage Composition Results

Figure 3-7 illustrates the average waste composition of the garbage stream from the MU sector in Fall 2024. This is a snapshot of the types and relative quantities of materials that were discarded by residents at this time of the year. The overall MU garbage stream was primarily composed of food waste (22%), plastics (12%), household hygiene (10%), other materials (9%), construction and demolition wastes (9%), and paper (8%). The remainder was comprised of paper packaging (7%), yard waste (7%), waste electrical and electronic equipment (7%), metal (5%), glass (2%), and bulky waste (2%).

The most prominent six primary categories represent 70% of the MU garbage stream and are broken down as follows:

- Food waste, composed of avoidable food waste (17%) and unavoidable food waste (5%). Avoidable food waste included edible food (e.g., whole fruits and vegetables, prepared meals, meat, and bread) and unavoidable food waste included inedible food (e.g., peels, bones, solidified fats, and coffee grounds).
- Plastics, including durable plastic products (2%), plastic laminates and other film packaging (2%), LDPE/HDPE film – products (non-packaging) (2%), plastic film (1%), and #5 polypropylene (1%).
- Household hygiene, which mainly included diapers (6%), pet waste (3%), and sanitary products (1%).
- Other materials, including textiles (5%), tires and other rubber (3%), and other waste (1%).
- Construction and demolition waste, primarily composed of dimensional lumber – treated (4%), carpeting (2%), and composite wood (2%).



Figure 3-7: Overall Multi-Unit Garbage Composition

3.5.2 Diversion Potential

Figure 3-8 summarizes the diversion potential of the MU garbage stream. The diversion potential represents the percentage of materials that could be diverted from the garbage stream through the City's organics, recycling, and depot programs. The 'No Program' category represents the theoretical diversion potential of materials from the garbage stream, but no corresponding program or service is currently offered (e.g., construction and demolition wastes). The total diversion potential for the MU garbage stream was calculated to be 75% and consisted of 35% organic materials, 15% depot materials, 14% recyclable materials, and 11% No Program materials. The diversion potential for the MU garbage stream based on existing programs and services is 64%. The diversion potential may be broken down as follows:

- Organic materials, primarily composed of avoidable food waste (17%), yard and garden debris (7%), tissue/toweling (5%), and unavoidable food waste (5%).
- Depot materials, primarily composed of electronics (7%), textiles (5%), other metal (3%), and household hazardous waste (<1%).
- Recyclable materials, which included boxboard/cores (2%), mixed paper (2%), corrugated cardboard (2%), and #5 polypropylene (1%).
- No Program materials, which included dimensional lumber – treated (4%), carpeting (2%), composite wood (2%), furniture or fixtures (1%), and other construction and demolition waste (1%).



Figure 3-8: Overall MU Garbage Diversion Potential

3.6 Residential Drop Off Garbage

The following summarizes the waste composition results and contamination rate for residential drop off garbage at the Landfill.

3.6.1 Residential Drop Off Garbage Composition Results

Figure 3-9 illustrates the average waste composition of the garbage stream from the DO sector in Fall 2024. This is a snapshot of the types and relative quantities of materials that were discarded by residents at this time of the year. The overall DO garbage stream was primarily composed of construction and demolition wastes (59%), yard waste (15%), and bulky waste (12%). The most prominent three primary categories represent 86% of the DO garbage stream and are broken down as follows:

- Construction and demolition waste, primarily composed of dimensional lumber – treated (29%), other construction and demolition waste (18%), and ceramics and porcelain (4%). Other construction and demolition waste included vinyl flooring, siding, and insulation.
- Yard waste, mainly consisting of brush and branches (15%).
- Bulky waste, consisting of furniture or fixtures (12%).



Figure 3-9: Overall DO Garbage Composition

3.6.2 Diversion Potential

Figure 3-10 summarizes the diversion potential of the DO garbage stream. The diversion potential represents the percentage of materials that could be diverted from the garbage stream through the City's organics, recycling, and depot programs. The 'No Program' category represents the theoretical diversion potential of materials from the garbage stream, but no corresponding program or service is currently offered (e.g., construction and demolition wastes). The total diversion potential for the DO garbage stream was calculated to be 79% and consisted of 71% No Program materials, 4% depot materials, and 4% organic materials. The diversion potential for the MU garbage stream based on existing programs and services is 8%. The diversion potential may be broken down as follows:

- No Program materials, which included dimensional lumber – treated (29%), other construction and demolition waste (18%), furniture or fixtures (12%), and ceramics and porcelain (4%).
- Organic materials, primarily composed of brush and branches (4%). Note that organic materials exclude elm wood, which is considered garbage.
- Depot materials, primarily composed of electronics (3%) and textiles (1%).



Figure 3-10: Overall DO Garbage Diversion Potential

4.0 INTERESTING FINDS

Table 4-1 lists some of the notable, unexpected, or unusual materials found during the waste composition study. These materials will not necessarily skew the results as it is not atypical to have these types of materials present in the waste stream.

Table 4-1: Notable Materials – Fall 2024

Waste Stream	Sample ID	Description	Photo
Recycling	FA24-CIT-R	Food Waste	
Recycling	FA24-PAR-R	Pet Waste	
Organics	FA24-ROS-O	Cinder Block	
Organics	FA24-MOU-O	Plastic Containers	
Garbage	FA24-DUN-G	Laptop	

Waste Stream	Sample ID	Description	Photo
Garbage	FA24-EAS-G	Wheel Axel	
Garbage	FA24-MU-05	Mini Fridge	

5.0 COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following are some initial comments and recommendations based on the findings from the Fall 2024 study:

- The bi-weekly collection frequency appears to be sufficient for garbage and recycling. On average, garbage, recycling, and organics carts were set out 91%, 80%, and 78% of the time and were 68%, 58%, and 63% full, respectively.
- The cart sizes worked well for most households; however:
  - There were 19 carts out of 249 total possible carts (8%) that were overfilled (e.g., the lid did not fully close). This included 12 garbage, three recycling, and four organics carts.
  - There were 102 carts out of 249 total possible carts (or approximately 41% of carts) that were filled to half capacity or below. This included 33 garbage, 37 recycling, and 32 organics carts.
- Additional education and communication on the green cart program may be beneficial to:
  - Reduce the amount of organic waste in the garbage stream. In the Fall 2024 study, the garbage stream was comprised of 45% organic materials (mostly consisting of avoidable food waste, unavoidable food waste, yard waste, and compostable paper).
- Additional education and communication on the recycling program may be beneficial to:
  - Reduce the amount of contamination in the recycling stream. Approximately 10% of material in the recycling carts was garbage, mainly composed of other paper – non-obligated, durable plastic products, laminated paper packaging, and other rigid plastic packaging. The recycling stream also contained 2% depot material, including other metal and textiles and 1% organic material, mostly containing avoidable food waste.

- Additional diversion programs are recommended for materials that can be diverted from the landfill, including construction and demolition waste (e.g., asphalt roofing shingles, composite wood). Approximately 7% of material in the SF garbage stream, 9% of material in the MU garbage stream, and 59% of material in the DO garbage stream in the Fall 2024 study was construction and demolition waste, including dimensional lumber – treated, composite wood, and carpeting.
- Additional education and communication on existing MU diversion programs. Materials that can be dropped off at a depot made up 15% of the MU garbage stream, including textiles, electronics, and other metal.
- Additional diversion programs for MU residents for materials that can be diverted from the landfill. Approximately 35% of MU garbage was organic material, including avoidable food waste, tissue/towelling, and unavoidable food waste.

6.0 CLOSURE

We trust this document meets your present requirements. If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
Tetra Tech Canada Inc.

Prepared by:
Mackenzie Aranas, EPt
Environmental Technician
Solid Waste Management Practice
Direct Line: 306.659.6101
Mackenzie.Aranas@tetratech.com

Reviewed by:
Michelle Jelinski, P.Eng.
Project Engineer – Team Lead
Solid Waste Management Practice
Direct Line: 587.460.3449
Michelle.Jelinski@tetratech.com

Reviewed by:
Kentson Yan, M.Sc., P.Eng.
Project Engineer – Team Lead
Solid Waste Management Practice
Direct Line: 403.723.1556
Kentson.Yan@tetratech.com

/as

APPENDIX A

TETRA TECH'S LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT

LIMITATIONS ON USE OF THIS DOCUMENT

GEOENVIRONMENTAL

1.1 USE OF DOCUMENT AND OWNERSHIP

This document pertains to a specific site, a specific development, and a specific scope of work. The document may include plans, drawings, profiles and other supporting documents that collectively constitute the document (the "Professional Document").

The Professional Document is intended for the sole use of TETRA TECH's Client (the "Client") as specifically identified in the TETRA TECH Services Agreement or other Contractual Agreement entered into with the Client (either of which is termed the "Contract" herein). TETRA TECH does not accept any responsibility for the accuracy of any of the data, analyses, recommendations or other contents of the Professional Document when it is used or relied upon by any party other than the Client, unless authorized in writing by TETRA TECH.

Any unauthorized use of the Professional Document is at the sole risk of the user. TETRA TECH accepts no responsibility whatsoever for any loss or damage where such loss or damage is alleged to be or, is in fact, caused by the unauthorized use of the Professional Document.

Where TETRA TECH has expressly authorized the use of the Professional Document by a third party (an "Authorized Party"), consideration for such authorization is the Authorized Party's acceptance of these Limitations on Use of this Document as well as any limitations on liability contained in the Contract with the Client (all of which is collectively termed the "Limitations on Liability"). The Authorized Party should carefully review both these Limitations on Use of this Document and the Contract prior to making any use of the Professional Document. Any use made of the Professional Document by an Authorized Party constitutes the Authorized Party's express acceptance of, and agreement to, the Limitations on Liability.

The Professional Document and any other form or type of data or documents generated by TETRA TECH during the performance of the work are TETRA TECH's professional work product and shall remain the copyright property of TETRA TECH.

The Professional Document is subject to copyright and shall not be reproduced either wholly or in part without the prior, written permission of TETRA TECH. Additional copies of the Document, if required, may be obtained upon request.

1.2 ALTERNATIVE DOCUMENT FORMAT

Where TETRA TECH submits electronic file and/or hard copy versions of the Professional Document or any drawings or other project-related documents and deliverables (collectively termed TETRA TECH's "Instruments of Professional Service"), only the signed and/or sealed versions shall be considered final. The original signed and/or sealed electronic file and/or hard copy version archived by TETRA TECH shall be deemed to be the original. TETRA TECH will archive a protected digital copy of the original signed and/or sealed version for a period of 10 years.

Both electronic file and/or hard copy versions of TETRA TECH's Instruments of Professional Service shall not, under any circumstances, be altered by any party except TETRA TECH. TETRA TECH's Instruments of Professional Service will be used only and exactly as submitted by TETRA TECH.

Electronic files submitted by TETRA TECH have been prepared and submitted using specific software and hardware systems. TETRA TECH makes no representation about the compatibility of these files with the Client's current or future software and hardware systems.

1.3 STANDARD OF CARE

Services performed by TETRA TECH for the Professional Document have been conducted in accordance with the Contract, in a manner consistent with the level of skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the jurisdiction in which the services are provided. Professional judgment has been applied in developing the conclusions and/or recommendations provided in this Professional Document. No warranty or guarantee, express or implied, is made concerning the test results, comments, recommendations, or any other portion of the Professional Document.

If any error or omission is detected by the Client or an Authorized Party, the error or omission must be immediately brought to the attention of TETRA TECH.

1.4 DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION BY CLIENT

The Client acknowledges that it has fully cooperated with TETRA TECH with respect to the provision of all available information on the past, present, and proposed conditions on the site, including historical information respecting the use of the site. The Client further acknowledges that in order for TETRA TECH to properly provide the services contracted for in the Contract, TETRA TECH has relied upon the Client with respect to both the full disclosure and accuracy of any such information.

1.5 INFORMATION PROVIDED TO TETRA TECH BY OTHERS

During the performance of the work and the preparation of this Professional Document, TETRA TECH may have relied on information provided by third parties other than the Client.

While TETRA TECH endeavours to verify the accuracy of such information, TETRA TECH accepts no responsibility for the accuracy or the reliability of such information even where inaccurate or unreliable information impacts any recommendations, design or other deliverables and causes the Client or an Authorized Party loss or damage.

1.6 GENERAL LIMITATIONS OF DOCUMENT

This Professional Document is based solely on the conditions presented and the data available to TETRA TECH at the time the data were collected in the field or gathered from available databases.

The Client, and any Authorized Party, acknowledges that the Professional Document is based on limited data and that the conclusions, opinions, and recommendations contained in the Professional Document are the result of the application of professional judgment to such limited data.

The Professional Document is not applicable to any other sites, nor should it be relied upon for types of development other than those to which it refers. Any variation from the site conditions present, or variation in assumed conditions which might form the basis of design or recommendations as outlined in this report, at or on the development proposed as of the date of the Professional Document requires a supplementary exploration, investigation, and assessment.

TETRA TECH is neither qualified to, nor is it making, any recommendations with respect to the purchase, sale, investment or development of the property, the decisions on which are the sole responsibility of the Client.

1.7 NOTIFICATION OF AUTHORITIES

In certain instances, the discovery of hazardous substances or conditions and materials may require that regulatory agencies and other persons be informed and the client agrees that notification to such bodies or persons as required may be done by TETRA TECH in its reasonably exercised discretion.

APPENDIX B

SELECTED PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1: Field Staff Collecting Materials

Photo 2: Truck Delivering a Residential Drop Off Sample

Photo 3: Example of a 100 kg Single Family Garbage Sample

Photo 4: Example of a Single Family Organics Sample

Photo 5: Example of a 100 kg Single Family Recycling Sample

Photo 6: Example of a Residential Drop Off Sample

Photo 7: Example of the Mixed Paper Category

Photo 8: Example of the Boxboard/Cores Category

Photo 9: Example of the Tissue/Toweling Category

Photo 10: Example of the Other Paper – Non-Obligated Category

Photo 11: Example of the Corrugated Cardboard Category

Photo 12: Example of the Polycoat Beverage Cups Category

Photo 13: Example of the #1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles, Jugs, and Jars – Non-Beverage Category



Photo 14: Example of the #1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Thermoform Category

Photo 15: Example of the #2 High-Density Polyethylene Non-Beverage Category

Photo 16: Example of the #5 Polypropylene Category



Photo 17: Example of the #6 Polystyrene – Expanded Category

Photo 18: Example of the Plastic Film Category

Photo 19: Example of the Plastic Laminates and Other Film Packaging Category

Photo 20: Example of the Aluminum Beverage Cans Category

Photo 21: Example of the Aluminum Non-Beverage Category

Photo 22: Example of the Steel Food Cans Category

Photo 23: Example of the Other Metal Category

Photo 24: Example of the Glass Beverage Containers Category

Photo 25: Example of the Avoidable Food Waste Category

Photo 26: Example of the Unavoidable Food Waste Category

Photo 27: Example of the Yard and Garden Debris Category

Photo 28: Example of the Brush and Branches Category

Photo 29: Example of the Diapers Category

Photo 30: Example of the Pet Waste Category

Photo 31: Example of the Textiles Category

APPENDIX C

MATERIAL CATEGORIES

Table C-1: Material Category Descriptions – Garbage and Recycling Stream

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
01	**Paper**		
1	Mixed Paper	▪ Fine household papers, writing paper, office paper, copy paper, bills and statements, ad mail, etc. Includes glossy flyers and advertising that are not distributed with newspapers. Includes gift wrap, construction paper, puzzle books, e.g., sudoko or colouring books ▪ Glossy magazines, catalogues, calendars, annual reports (must be bound, i.e., stapled or glued) ▪ Telephone books and other directories such as the Yellow Pages ▪ Non Newspapers (e.g., television guides, Auto Trader, Real Estate News) plus inserts and flyers from newspapers made of newsprint ▪ Daily and weekly newspapers	Recycling
2	Tissue/Toweling	▪ Paper napkins, towel, tissues	Organics
3	Food Soiled Paper	▪ Plates, cups, muffin wrappers, coffee filters, teabags, bags, food packaging	Organics
4	Shredded Paper	▪ Paper that has been shredded mechanically into thin strips	Recycling
5	Other Paper – Non-Obligated	▪ Soft or hard covered literary books, academic journals, textbooks, photographs	Garbage
02	**Paper Packaging**		
6	Corrugated Cardboard	▪ Includes micro-flute corrugated containers, pizza boxes, waxed corrugated containers, electronic product boxes such as television and computer boxes, boxes used to direct mail for residential consumers	Recycling
7	Boxboard/Cores	▪ Boxboard, paperboard, cereal box, shoe box, frozen food box, cores from toilet paper/toweling/gift wrap, etc. Includes wet-strength boxboard, fast food cartons such as fry/onion ring boxes and paper plates	Recycling
8	Kraft Paper	▪ Kraft paper bags and wrap, grocery or retail bags, potato bags, some pet food bags, etc. Includes brown, white, and coloured kraft paper and bags. No bags with bonded plastic or foil liners/layers/coatings. Includes bags with a light grease coating	Recycling
9	Molded Pulp	▪ Egg cartons, drink trays, other trays, molded pulp flower pots/trays, etc.	Recycling
10	Polycoat Beverage Cups	▪ Hot beverage/food containers, with polycoat on inside only, including coffee cups, soup cups/bowls, chili cups, etc. Cold beverage/food containers with polycoat on both sides including fountain drinks, take-out ice cream cups	Garbage
11	Ice Cream Containers and Other Bleached Long Polycoat Fibre	▪ Polycoated paper ice cream containers, typically with a lid, excluding boxboard folded ice cream boxes. Food containers with white fibre and a rolled or folded rim, includes Michelina's frozen food, KFC tubs	Garbage
12	Laminated Paper Packaging	▪ Paper based packaging (at least 85% paper) with foil or plastic liners/layers/coatings, pouches, cookie bags, microwave popcorn bags, fast food sandwich wraps, gift bags, paper based trays, etc.	Garbage
13	Spiral Wound Containers	▪ Spiral wound cans with paper walls and plastic or metal tops or bottoms; frozen juice, Pringles, raisins, etc.	Garbage

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
14	Gable Top Containers – Beverage	▪ Polycoat containers with a gable shaped top, milk and milk substitutes like soy, almond, and rice milk, and juices	Recycling
15	Gable-Top Containers – Non-Beverage	▪ Polycoat containers with a gable shaped top that previously contained some foods or other products, e.g., sugar, molasses, etc.	Recycling
16	Aseptic Containers – Beverage	▪ Polycoat fibre and foil containers (e.g., Tetra Pak) for beverage, e.g., soy, almond, and rice milk, juice boxes	Recycling
17	Aseptic Containers – Non-Beverage	▪ Polycoat fibre and foil containers (e.g., Tetra Pak) for soup, sauces, etc.	Recycling
03	**Plastics**		
18	#1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles – Beverage	▪ Soft drink/water bottles	Recycling
19	#1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles, Jugs and Jars – Non-Beverage	▪ Salad dressing bottles, peanut butter jars	Recycling
20	#1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Thermoform	▪ #1 clamshells, #1 egg cartons, #1 trays, #1 blister packaging, #1 drink cups, etc.	Recycling
21	#2 High-Density Polyethylene Beverage	▪ Milk jugs, juice containers and drinakble yogurt bottles	Recycling
22	#2 High-Density Polyethylene Non-Beverage	▪ Laundry detergent, bleach, vinegar, personal care products such as shampoos, conditioners, and body wash, winshield washing fluid containers, cleaning supplies. Other #2 containers such as margarine and yogurt containers and lids made from high-density polyethylene	Recycling
23	#3 Polyvinyl Chloride	▪ Tubs, condiment containers	Recycling
24	#5 Polypropylene	▪ #5 bottles and containers. plastic bottles includes nutritional supplement drinks, shampoos, etc. ▪ #5 containers such as margarine and yogurt containers and other containers made from polypropylene, including tubs and lids with resin codes #5 polypropylene	Recycling
25	#6 Polystyrene – Expanded	▪ Foam take-out containers such as drink cups, large, white packaging foam, meat trays, coloured foam insulation	Garbage
26	#6 Polystyrene – Non-Expanded	▪ Polystyrene clear clamshell containers such as berry and muffin containers, rigid polystyrene cups, plates, and bottles	Recycling
27	#7 Biodegradable/Compostable Plastics	▪ Might not have #7 label; include Biodegradable Products Institute (BPI) certification	Garbage
28	Plastic Film	▪ High-density polyethylene and low-density polyethylene film, dry cleaning bags, bread bags, milk bags, toilet paper and paper towel over-wrap, lawn seed bags	Garbage
29	Low-Density Polyethylene and High-Density Polyethylene Film – Products (Non-Packaging)	▪ Non-packaging low-density polyethylene and high-density polyethylene film (e.g., kitchen catchers, sandwich and freezer bags, etc.)	Garbage
30	Plastic Laminates and Other Film Packaging	▪ Laminated plastic film and bags that are at least 85% plastic (by weight). Includes chip bags, vacuum sealed bags, cereal liners, candy wraps, pasta bags, boil in a bag, plastic based food pouches, etc.	Garbage

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
31	Other Rigid Plastic Packaging	▪ Other rigid containers (#4 and #7), non-polyethylene terephthalate blister packaging, unmarked/coded packaging, plant pots and trays, pails, etc.	Garbage
32	Durable Plastic Products	▪ Non-packaging such as videocassette recorder tapes, compact discs, toys, games, tupperware, etc. Include multi-material items that are mainly plastic – e.g., a plastic toy truck with metal axles	Garbage
04	**Metals**		
33	Aluminum Beverage Cans	▪ Aluminum soft drinks, soda, juice, alcoholic beverages, beer cans	Recycling
34	Aluminum Non-Beverage	▪ Food containers, aluminum foil wrap, pie plates, baking trays, etc.	Recycling
35	Aerosol Containers	▪ Mousse spray cans, air freshener spray cans, deodorant spray cans, hairspray cans, food spray cans for cheese or whipped cream, empty spray cans, cooking oil, etc.	Garbage
36	Other Aluminum	▪ Aluminum siding, baking trays, etc.	Garbage
37	Steel Beverage Cans	▪ Steel apple juice, alcoholic beverages, beer cans, Sapporo, etc.	Recycling
38	Steel Food Cans	▪ Soup, beans, peaches, etc. ▪ No alcohol containers	Recycling
39	Other Metal	▪ Wire, hardware, copper	Depot
05	**Glass**		
40	Glass Beverage Containers	▪ Juice, beer, and wine bottles	Recycling
41	Glass Non-Beverage	▪ Food containers	Recycling
42	Other Glass	▪ Window glass, plates, and glasses, light bulbs (fluorescent tubes and compact fluorescents go in Household Hazardous Waste)	Garbage
06	**Household Hazardous Waste**		
43	Household Hazardous Waste	▪ Labelled CAUTION, WARNING, CORROSIVE, EXPLOSIVE, FLAMMABLE, POISONOUS or TOXIC ▪ Acid, adhesives, automotive, batteries, cleaners, cylinders, coorsives, fuels, light bulbs, mercury, oxidizing chemicals, paint, pesticides and fertilizers, pharmaceuticals, solvents	Depot
07	**Food Waste**		
44	Avoidable Food Waste	▪ Whole fruits and vegetables, meat, bread, prepared meals, fruits and vegetables trimmings	Organics
45	Unavoidable Food Waste	▪ Inedible food, such as peelings, bones, solidified fats, cooking oils, and food grease	Organics
08	**Yard Waste**		
46	Yard and Garden Debris	▪ Grass clippings, leaves, weeds, plant parts, pumpkins, topsoil, and sod	Organics
47	Brush and Branches	▪ Small twigs and tree trimmings that are no more than 60 cm in length and 2 cm in diameter, conifer cones and needles, wood chips and bark mulch	Organics

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
09	**Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment**		
48	Electronics	▪ Laptop computers, notebooks, tablet PCs, TVs and computer monitors, printers, fax machines, photocopiers and scanners, personal, portable, or home DVD, Blu Ray, CD, MP3, record players; film or digital cameras/video recorders; digital picture frames; audio and video baby monitors; cable/satellite TV receivers; amps, receivers; speakers, headphones, microphones, coaxial, telephone, speaker wires, coffee makers, mixers, bread makers, toaster ovens, waffle, makers, crock pots, saw, drill, etc.	Depot
10	**Construction And Demolition Wastes**		
49	Dimensional Lumber – Untreated	▪ Unpainted or unstained lumber and pallets	No program
50	Dimensional Lumber – Treated	▪ Painted, stained, or treated lumber	No program
51	Composite Wood	▪ Plywood, oriented strand board, medium-density fibreboard, particle board	No program
52	Gysum Wallboard	▪ Drywall	No program
53	Asphalt Roofing Shingles	▪ Asphalt shingles and tarpaper	No program
54	Mixed Metals	▪ Ferrous, non-ferrous, aluminum	No program
55	Concrete, Bricks	▪ Concrete, paving stones, cement bricks	No program
56	Ceramics, Porcelain	▪ Tiles, toilets, sinks	No program
57	Carpeting	▪ Carpeting, underlay, mats	No program
58	Other Construction and Demolition Wastes	▪ Vinyl siding, misc. conduits, ceiling tiles, plumbing pipes, insulation	No program
11	**Bulky Waste**		
59	Furniture or Fixtures	▪ Chairs, sofas, cabinets, tables, garden furniture, etc.	No program
60	Other Large Bulky Items	▪ Other large items not classified elsewhere	No program
12	**Household Hygiene**		
61	Diapers	▪ Diapers	Garbage
62	Sanitary Products	▪ Sanitary napkins, hygiene products, etc.	Garbage
63	Pet Waste	▪ Animal feces, bedding, kitty litter	Garbage
13	**Other Materials**		
64	Textiles	▪ Clothing, shoes, mats, drapes, sheets, etc. Plastic rice sacks go in Other Rigid Plastic Packaging	Depot
65	Tires and Other Rubber	▪ Rubber tires and tubes, other rubber items such as hoses	Garbage
66	Other Waste	▪ Materials not classified elsewhere, wooden fruit basket, vacuum bags, wax candles, furnace filters, etc.	Garbage
67	Wood Utensils	▪ Chopsticks, wooden forks, toothpicks, etc.	Organics

Table C-2: Material Category Descriptions – Organics Stream

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
01	**Paper**		
1	Mixed Paper	▪ Fine household papers, writing paper, office paper, copy paper, bills and statements, ad mail, etc. Includes glossy flyers and advertising that are not distributed with newspapers. Includes gift wrap, construction paper, puzzle books, e.g., sudoko or colouring books ▪ Glossy magazines, catalogues, calendars, annual reports (must be bound, i.e., stapled or glued) ▪ Telephone books and other directories such as the Yellow Pages ▪ Non Newspapers (e.g., television guides, Auto Trader, Real Estate News) plus inserts and flyers from newspapers made of newsprint ▪ Daily and weekly newspapers	Organics
2	Tissue/Toweling	▪ Paper napkins, towel, tissues	Organics
3	Food Soiled Paper	▪ Plates, cups, muffin wrappers, coffee filters, teabags, bags, food packaging	Organics
4	Shredded Paper	▪ Paper that has been shredded mechanically into thin strips	Recycling
5	Other Paper – Non-Obligated	▪ Soft or hard covered literary books, academic journals, textbooks, photographs	Garbage
02	**Paper Packaging**		
6	Corrugated Cardboard	▪ Includes micro-flute corrugated containers, pizza boxes, waxed corrugated containers, electronic product boxes such as television and computer boxes, boxes used to direct mail for residential consumers	Organics
7	Boxboard/Cores	▪ Boxboard, paperboard, cereal box, shoe box, frozen food box, cores from toilet paper/toweling/gift wrap, etc. Includes wet-strength boxboard, fast food cartons such as fry/onion ring boxes and paper plates	Recycling
8	Kraft Paper	▪ Kraft paper bags and wrap, grocery or retail bags, potato bags, some pet food bags, etc. Includes brown, white, and coloured kraft paper and bags. No bags with bonded plastic or foil liners/layers/coatings. Includes bags with a light grease coating	Organics
9	Molded Pulp	▪ Egg cartons, drink trays, other trays, molded pulp flower pots/trays, etc.	Organics
10	Polycoat Beverage Cups	▪ Hot beverage/food containers, with polycoat on inside only, including coffee cups, soup cups/bowls, chili cups, etc. Cold beverage/food containers with polycoat on both sides including fountain drinks, take-out ice cream cups	Garbage
11	Ice Cream Containers and Other Bleached Long Polycoat Fibre	▪ Polycoated paper ice cream containers, typically with a lid, excluding boxboard folded ice cream boxes. Food containers with white fibre and a rolled or folded rim, includes Michelina's frozen food, KFC tubs	Garbage
12	Laminated Paper Packaging	▪ Paper based packaging (at least 85% paper) with foil or plastic liners/layers/coatings, pouches, cookie bags, microwave popcorn bags, fast food sandwich wraps, gift bags, paper based trays, etc.	Garbage
13	Spiral Wound Containers	▪ Spiral wound cans with paper walls and plastic or metal tops or bottoms; frozen juice, Pringles, raisins, etc.	Garbage

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
14	Gable Top Containers – Beverage	▪ Polycoat containers with a gable shaped top, milk and milk substitutes like soy, almond, and rice milk, and juices	Recycling
15	Gable-Top Containers – Non-Beverage	▪ Polycoat containers with a gable shaped top that previously contained some foods or other products, e.g., sugar, molasses, etc.	Recycling
16	Aseptic Containers – Beverage	▪ Polycoat fibre and foil containers (e.g., Tetra Pak) for beverage e.g., soy, almond, and rice milk, juice boxes	Recycling
17	Aseptic Containers – Non-Beverage	▪ Polycoat fibre and foil containers (e.g., Tetra Pak) for soup, sauces, etc.	Recycling
03	**Plastics**		
18	#1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles – Beverage	▪ Soft drink/water bottles	Recycling
19	#1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles, Jugs and Jars – Non-Beverage	▪ Salad dressing bottles, peanut butter jars	Recycling
20	#1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Thermoform	▪ #1 clamshells, #1 egg cartons, #1 trays, #1 blister packaging, #1 drink cups, etc.	Recycling
21	#2 High-Density Polyethylene Beverage	▪ Milk jugs, juice containers and drinakble yogurt bottles	Recycling
22	#2 High-Density Polyethylene Non-Beverage	▪ Laundry detergent, bleach, vinegar, personal care products such as shampoos, conditioners, and body wash, winshield washing fluid containers, cleaning supplies. Other #2 containers such as margarine and yogurt containers and lids made from high-density polyethylene	Recycling
23	#3 Polyvinyl Chloride	▪ Tubs, condiment containers	Recycling
24	#5 Polypropylene	▪ #5 bottles and containers. plastic bottles includes nutritional supplement drinks, shampoos, etc. ▪ #5 containers such as margarine and yogurt containers and other containers made from polypropylene, including tubs and lids with resin codes #5 polypropylene	Recycling
25	#6 Polystyrene – Expanded	▪ Foam take-out containers such as drink cups, large, white packaging foam, meat trays, coloured foam insulation	Garbage
26	#6 Polystyrene – Non-Expanded	▪ Polystyrene clear clamshell containers such as berry and muffin containers, rigid polystyrene cups, plates, and bottles	Recycling
27	#7 Biodegradable/Compostable Plastics	▪ Might not have #7 label; include Biodegradable Products Institute (BPI) certification	Garbage
28	Plastic Film	▪ High-density polyethylene and low-density polyethylene film, dry cleaning bags, bread bags, milk bags, toilet paper and paper towel over-wrap, lawn seed bags	Garbage
29	Low-Density Polyethylene and High-Density Polyethylene Film – Products (Non-Packaging)	▪ Non-packaging low-density polyethylene and high-density polyethylene film (e.g., kitchen catchers, sandwich and freezer bags, etc.)	Garbage
30	Plastic Laminates and Other Film Packaging	▪ Laminated plastic film and bags that are at least 85% plastic (by weight). Includes chip bags, vacuum sealed bags, cereal liners, candy wraps, pasta bags, boil in a bag, plastic based food pouches, etc.	Garbage

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
31	Other Rigid Plastic Packaging	▪ Other rigid containers (#4 and #7), non-polyethylene terephthalate blister packaging, unmarked/coded packaging, plant pots and trays, pails, etc.	Garbage
32	Durable Plastic Products	▪ Non-packaging such as videocassette recorder tapes, compact discs, toys, games, tupperware, etc. Include multi-material items that are mainly plastic – e.g., a plastic toy truck with metal axles	Garbage
04	**Metals**		
33	Aluminum Beverage Cans	▪ Aluminum soft drinks, soda, juice, alcoholic beverages, beer cans	Recycling
34	Aluminum Non-Beverage	▪ Food containers, aluminum foil wrap, pie plates, baking trays, etc.	Recycling
35	Aerosol Containers	▪ Mousse spray cans, air freshener spray cans, deodorant spray cans, hairspray cans, food spray cans for cheese or whipped cream, empty spray cans, cooking oil, etc.	Garbage
36	Other Aluminum	▪ Aluminum siding, baking trays, etc.	Garbage
37	Steel Beverage Cans	▪ Steel apple juice, alcoholic beverages, beer cans, Sapporo, etc.	Recycling
38	Steel Food Cans	▪ Soup, beans, peaches, etc. ▪ No alcohol containers	Recycling
39	Other Metal	▪ Wire, hardware, copper	Depot
05	**Glass**		
40	Glass Beverage Containers	▪ Juice, beer, and wine bottles	Recycling
41	Glass Non-Beverage	▪ Food containers	Recycling
42	Other Glass	▪ Window glass, plates, and glasses, light bulbs (fluorescent tubes and compact fluorescents go in Household Hazardous Waste)	Garbage
06	**Household Hazardous Waste**		
43	Household Hazardous Waste	▪ Labelled CAUTION, WARNING, CORROSIVE, EXPLOSIVE, FLAMMABLE, POISONOUS or TOXIC ▪ Acid, adhesives, automotive, batteries, cleaners, cylinders, coorsives, fuels, light bulbs, mercury, oxidizing chemicals, paint, pesticides and fertilizers, pharmaceuticals, solvents	Depot
07	**Food Waste**		
44	Avoidable Food Waste	▪ Whole fruits and vegetables, meat, bread, prepared meals, fruits and vegetables trimmings	Organics
45	Unavoidable Food Waste	▪ Inedible food, such as peelings, bones, solidified fats, cooking oils, and food grease	Organics
08	**Yard Waste**		
46	Yard and Garden Debris	▪ Grass clippings, leaves, weeds, plant parts, pumpkins, topsoil, and sod	Organics
47	Brush and Branches	▪ Small twigs and tree trimmings that are no more than 60 cm in length and 2 cm in diameter, conifer cones and needles, wood chips and bark mulch	Organics

	Category	Description and/or Examples	Diversion Potential
09	**Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment**		
48	Electronics	▪ Laptop computers, notebooks, tablet PCs, TVs and computer monitors, printers, fax machines, photocopiers and scanners, personal, portable, or home DVD, Blu Ray, CD, MP3, record players; film or digital cameras/video recorders; digital picture frames; audio and video baby monitors; cable/satellite TV receivers; amps, receivers; speakers, headphones, microphones, coaxial, telephone, speaker wires, coffee makers, mixers, bread makers, toaster ovens, waffle, makers, crock pots, saw, drill, etc.	Depot
10	**Construction And Demolition Wastes**		
49	Dimensional Lumber – Untreated	▪ Unpainted or unstained lumber and pallets	No program
50	Dimensional Lumber – Treated	▪ Painted, stained, or treated lumber	No program
51	Composite Wood	▪ Plywood, oriented strand board, medium-density fibreboard, particle board	No program
52	Gysum Wallboard	▪ Drywall	No program
53	Asphalt Roofing Shingles	▪ Asphalt shingles and tarpaper	No program
54	Mixed Metals	▪ Ferrous, non-ferrous, aluminum	No program
55	Concrete, Bricks	▪ Concrete, paving stones, cement bricks	No program
56	Ceramics, Porcelain	▪ Tiles, toilets, sinks	No program
57	Carpeting	▪ Carpeting, underlay, mats	No program
58	Other Construction and Demolition Wastes	▪ Vinyl siding, misc. conduits, ceiling tiles, plumbing pipes, insulation	No program
11	**Bulky Waste**		
59	Furniture or Fixtures	▪ Chairs, sofas, cabinets, tables, garden furniture, etc.	No program
60	Other Large Bulky Items	▪ Other large items not classified elsewhere	No program
12	**Household Hygiene**		
61	Diapers	▪ Diapers	Garbage
62	Sanitary Products	▪ Sanitary napkins, hygiene products, etc.	Garbage
63	Pet Waste	▪ Animal feces, bedding, kitty litter	Garbage
13	**Other Materials**		
64	Textiles	▪ Clothing, shoes, mats, drapes, sheets, etc. Plastic rice sacks go in Other Rigid Plastic Packaging	Depot
65	Tires and Other Rubber	▪ Rubber tires and tubes, other rubber items such as hoses	Garbage
66	Other Waste	▪ Materials not classified elsewhere, wooden fruit basket, vacuum bags, wax candles, furnace filters, etc.	Garbage
67	Wood Utensils	▪ Chopsticks, wooden forks, toothpicks, etc.	Organics

APPENDIX D

WASTE COMPOSITION RESULTS

Table D-1: Fall 2024 Waste Composition Results – by Stream

Category	SF			MU	DO
	Garbage	Recycling	Organics	Garbage	Garbage
01 Paper	**8.3%**	**21.1%**	**1.7%**	**8.5%**	**0.1%**
01. Mixed Paper	1.4%	17.8%	0.1%	2.3%	0.0%
02. Tissue/Toweling	6.3%	0.2%	1.4%	5.1%	0.0%
03. Food Soiled Paper	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
04. Shredded Paper	<0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
05. Other Paper – Non-Obligated	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.1%
02 Paper Packaging	**5.3%**	**46.9%**	**1.5%**	**6.7%**	**0.4%**
06. Corrugated Cardboard	0.8%	25.4%	1.1%	1.7%	0.4%
07. Boxboard/Cores	1.5%	16.0%	0.1%	2.5%	0.0%
08. Kraft Paper	0.6%	1.2%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
09. Molded Pulp	0.2%	1.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
10. Polycoat Beverage Cups	0.6%	0.3%	<0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
11. Ice Cream Containers and Other Bleached Long Polycoat Fiber	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
12. Laminated Paper Packaging	1.3%	1.4%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
13. Spiral Wound Containers	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
14. Gable Top Containers – Beverage	<0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
15. Gable Top Containers – Non-Beverage	<0.1%	<0.1%	0.0%	<0.1%	0.0%
16. Aseptic Containers – Beverage	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	<0.1%	0.0%
17. Aseptic Containers – Non-Beverage	<0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
03 Plastics	**13.2%**	**14.5%**	**0.5%**	**12.3%**	**0.3%**
18. #1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles – Beverage	<0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
19. #1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Bottles, Jugs, and Jars – Non-Beverage	0.5%	1.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
20. #1 Polyethylene Terephthalate Thermoform	0.5%	2.7%	<0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
21. #2 High-Density Polyethylene Beverage	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
22. #2 High-Density Polyethylene Non-Beverage	0.5%	3.1%	<0.1%	0.7%	<0.1%
23. #3 Polyvinyl Chloride	0.1%	<0.1%	0.0%	<0.1%	0.0%
24. #5 Polypropylene	1.2%	2.2%	<0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
25. #6 Polystyrene – Expanded	0.5%	0.1%	<0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
26. #6 Polystyrene – Non-Expanded	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
27. #7 Biodegradable/Compostable Plastics	<0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	<0.1%	0.0%
28. Plastic Film	1.5%	0.6%	<0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
29. Low-Density Polyethylene and High-Density Polyethylene Film – Products (Non-Packaging)	1.7%	0.2%	<0.1%	1.6%	0.0%
30. Plastic Laminates and Other Film Packaging	2.7%	0.5%	<0.1%	1.9%	0.0%
31. Other Rigid Plastic Packaging	1.0%	1.0%	<0.1%	0.7%	0.0%

Category	SF			MU	DO
	Garbage	Recycling	Organics	Garbage	Garbage
32. Durable Plastic Products	2.8%	1.5%	<0.1%	2.4%	0.3%
04 Metals	**3.5%**	**5.7%**	**<0.1%**	**4.9%**	**0.9%**
33. Aluminum Beverage Cans	<0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
34. Aluminum Non-Beverage	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%
35. Aerosol Containers	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
36. Other Aluminum	0.4%	0.2%	<0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
37. Steel Beverage Cans	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
38. Steel Food Cans	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
39. Other Metal	2.1%	1.2%	0.0%	3.2%	0.9%
05 Glass	**2.1%**	**8.9%**	**0.0%**	**1.8%**	**0.0%**
40. Glass Beverage Containers	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
41. Glass Non-Beverage	1.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
42. Other Glass	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
06 Household Hazardous Waste	**1.3%**	**<0.1%**	**0.0%**	**0.4%**	**0.0%**
43. Household Hazardous Waste	1.3%	<0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
07 Food Waste	**30.2%**	**0.7%**	**17.9%**	**21.9%**	**0.3%**
44. Avoidable Food Waste	22.3%	0.5%	11.5%	16.5%	0.3%
45. Unavoidable Food Waste	7.9%	0.2%	6.4%	5.4%	0.0%
08 Yard Waste	**8.4%**	**0.2%**	**76.6%**	**6.7%**	**14.9%**
46. Yard and Garden Debris	8.3%	0.2%	72.2%	6.7%	0.3%
47. Brush and Branches	0.1%	<0.1%	4.4%	0.1%	14.6%
09 Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment	**2.2%**	**<0.1%**	**0.0%**	**6.8%**	**2.6%**
48. Electronics	2.2%	<0.1%	0.0%	6.7%	2.6%
10 Construction and Demolition Wastes	**7.0%**	**0.1%**	**1.6%**	**8.9%**	**58.5%**
49. Dimensional Lumber – Untreated	0.6%	<0.1%	0.1%	<0.1%	0.0%
50. Dimensional Lumber – Treated	2.1%	0.1%	0.1%	4.0%	29.2%
51. Composite Wood	1.2%	0.0%	<0.1%	1.9%	2.8%
52. Gypsum Wallboard	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
53. Asphalt Roofing Shingles	<0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
54. Mixed Metals	<0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
55. Concrete, Bricks	0.4%	<0.1%	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%
56. Ceramics, Porcelain	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
57. Carpeting	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.9%
58. Other Construction and Demolition Wastes	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	17.6%
11 Bulky Waste	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**0.0%**	**1.5%**	**12.0%**
59. Furniture or Fixtures	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	12.0%

Category	SF			MU	DO
	Garbage	Recycling	Organics	Garbage	Garbage
60. Other Large Bulky Items	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
12 Household Hygiene	**13.2%**	**0.8%**	**<0.1%**	**10.2%**	**0.2%**
61. Diapers	4.8%	0.1%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%
62. Sanitary Products	1.0%	<0.1%	<0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
63. Pet Waste	7.4%	0.6%	<0.1%	3.2%	0.2%
13 Other Materials	**5.3%**	**1.1%**	**0.2%**	**9.4%**	**0.9%**
64. Textiles	3.2%	0.7%	<0.1%	5.0%	0.9%
65. Tires and Other Rubber	0.3%	0.1%	<0.1%	2.9%	<0.1%
66. Other Waste	1.6%	0.1%	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
67. Wood Utensils	0.2%	0.1%	<0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
15 Bagged Garbage	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**8.9%**
68. Bagged Garbage	-	-	-	-	8.9%
	100.0%	**100.0%**	**100.0%**	**100.0%**	**100.0%**

Notes:
DO – Drop off.
MU – Multi-unit.
SF – Single family.

APPENDIX E

SECTORS AND NAMING CONVENTIONS

Sectors & Naming Convention

The naming convention for samples should be as follows:

Example: **FA24 - NUT - G**

	Season and Year	Route	Stream
Options:	Summer = SU24 Fall = FA24 Winter = WI25	See Table	Garbage = G Recycling = R Organics = O

Example: **FA24 - MU - 01**

	Season and Year	Stream	Sample Number
Options:	Summer = SU24 Fall = FA24 Winter = WI25	MU = Multi-unit DO = Drop off	Number Consecutively as loads arrive

Route	Collection Route	Community
NUT	01	Nutana
NPA	02	Nutana Park
EAS	03	Eastview
ROS	04	Rosewood
WIL	05	Willowgrove
CIT	06	City Park
SIL	07	Silverwood Heights
MOU	08	Mount Royal
DUN	09	Dundonald
PAR	10	Parkridge