

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, April 5, 2004
at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Alm, Birkmaier, Dubois, Fortosky, Heidt, Hnatyshyn,
Neault, Paulsen, Penner and Wyant
City Manager Richards;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp;
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
General Manager, Utility Services Hewitt;
City Solicitor Dust;
City Clerk Mann;
Councillors' Assistant Long.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of City Council held on March 22, 2004 be approved.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the regular order of business be suspended and that Clause F1, Administrative Report No. 7-2004, and A2 to A6, A11 to A17, AA6 and AA16 of Communications be brought forward and considered, and that the speakers be heard.

CARRIED.

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2004

**F1) Concept Plan
South Downtown
(File No. CC. 4130-2-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 2

At the meeting of April 5, 2004 Mr. Gwyn Symmons of CitySpaces Consulting Ltd. will be presenting a concept plan for the potential development of both the east and west sections of the South Downtown site.

This plan was developed with the assistance of Rob Crosby of Crosby Hanna & Associates and Derek Kindrachuk of Kindrachuk Agrey Architecture.

A steering committee comprised of representatives from the City, Meewasin Valley Authority, The Partnership and the Riversdale Business Improvement District provided advice to the consultants.

It is hoped that this concept plan will provide a basis for a final determination on overall site design. After the tabling with City Council, meetings will be held with various stakeholders to obtain their feedback. Formal open houses will be held at the Centennial Auditorium on Tuesday, April 27 and Wednesday, April 28 for public input.

From the feedback received, a final plan will be developed for submission to City Council and the Meewasin Valley Authority from which a Request for Proposal will be developed and issued.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

A2) Harry Janzen
Saskatoon Real Estate Board, dated March 19

Requesting permission for Al Didur to address Council with respect to development of the south downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

A3) Ken Achs, President
Mid-West Development (2000) Corp., dated March 22

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

A4) Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director
Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce, dated March 22

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 3**

**A5) Shirley Ryan, Executive Director
North Saskatoon Business Association, dated March 31**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Gathercole site. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

**A6) Cindy Popadyne, Administrative Assistant
Riversdale Business Improvement Association, dated April 1**

Requesting permission for Randy Pshebylo to address Council with respect to the south downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

**A11) Susan Lamb, Chief Executive Officer
Meewasin Valley Authority, dated April 2**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the South Downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

**A12) Daniel Guenther, Acting President
Eighth Street Business Association, dated April 1**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to demolition of the Gathercole building. (File CK. 4130-2-11)

A13) Amara, dated April 5

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

A14) Bob Conway, dated April 5

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown (File CK. 4130-2-11)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 4**

**A15) Lenore Swystun
Gathercole Initiative Group and Citizens for a Quality Downtown, dated April 5**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown (File CK. 4130-2-11)

**A16) John D. Bury
The Waterford, undated**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown (File CK. 4130-2-11)

**A17) Terry Scaddan, Executive Director
The Partnership, dated April 5**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the south downtown (File CK. 4130-2-11)

**AA6) Lorna Shaw-Lennox, A/Chair
Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee, dated March 29**

Responding to Council's referral of March 22, 2004 regarding disposal of material in an environmentally-friendly manner when demolishing the Gathercole Building. (File No. CK. 4130-2-11)

**AA16) Judith Benson, President
SOS Elms Coalition, dated March 30**

Submitting comments with respect to the elm trees on the Gathercole site. (File CK. 4130-2-11)"

Mr. Gwyn Symmons, CitySpaces Consulting Ltd., gave a presentation outlining the concept plan for development of the south downtown.

Mr. Al Didur, Chair, Government Affairs Committee, Saskatoon Real Estate Board, spoke in support of Council moving forward with the development of the Gathercole and A.L. Cole sites. He encouraged Council to increase communications with the public.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 5

Mr. Ken Achs, Mid-West Development (2000) Corp., spoke in support of Council moving forward with development of the Gathercole site and expressed the opinion that the majority of the population is in support of Council's decisions. He cautioned that parking is critical to the success of the development.

Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce, expressed the opinion that it is critical that development move forward, and the importance of removing the Gathercole building.

Ms. Shirley Ryan, North Saskatoon Business Association, spoke in support of the concept plan, and the importance of moving forward with development.

Mr. Randy Pshebylo, Riversdale Business Improvement District Board of Management, thanked Council for including development of the A.L. Cole site, and spoke in support of the downtown housing strategy.

Ms. Susan Lamb, Meewasin Valley Authority, spoke in support of the concept plan, and indicated that the Meewasin Valley Authority is looking forward to working with Council to finalize the details.

Mr. Daniel Guenther, Eighth Street Business Association, commended Council and spoke in support of the demolition of the Gathercole building.

Amara expressed concerns with respect to the lack of public participation in the whole planning process.

Mr. Bob Conway thanked and congratulated Council for moving ahead with development of the site.

Ms. Lenore Swystun, Gathercole Initiative Group and Citizens for a Quality Downtown, spoke with respect to the importance of citizens being involved in the decision making through the whole process, and the importance of a master plan.

Mr. John Bury, The Waterford, expressed concerns with respect to the importance of open space and concerns regarding the height of the buildings in the plan. He encouraged Council to extend an invitation for real community input.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 6**

Mr. Terry Scaddan, The Partnership, expressed the importance of residential areas in the downtown, and indicated that The Partnership is pleased that Council has tied Riversdale with development of the south downtown.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the Mayor contact each Veterans' Association in the City, and request that they meet with him to discuss the possibility of creating a veterans museum within the existing downtown Legion building.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the Administration include the following organizations in its communication plan for the public input meetings scheduled for April 27 and April 28, 2004: the Riversdale Business Improvement District, the Partnership, the Chamber of Commerce, the Saskatoon Real Estate Board, the North Saskatoon Business Association, the Eighth Street Business Association and the Meewasin Valley Authority.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the regular order of business be suspended and Clause A3, Administrative Report No. 7-2004 be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2004

**A3) Review of the DCD1 Guidelines
File No. PL 4131-2-4**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the advertising respecting the proposal to:
 - a) adopt a revised Direct Control District 1 in the City of Saskatoon Development Plan and Zoning Bylaw for South Downtown as outlined in Attachment 4;
 - b) amend the City of Saskatoon Development Plan Land Use map to designate the land as Direct Control District 1 as shown in Attachment 5;
 - c) amend the Zoning Bylaw to rezone the land to DCD1 as shown in Attachment 6;
 - 2) that the General Manager of Community Services be instructed to prepare the required notice for advertising the proposed amendments;
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the required Bylaws;
 - 4) that at the time of the Public Hearing, City Council receive the comments and recommendations of the Municipal Planning Commission; and
 - 5) that the Administration report back on the procedure to implement the Architectural Review Guidelines for the South Downtown as outlined in Attachment 7.

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 2003, City Council held a special meeting to consider an Executive Committee report concerning the redevelopment of the Gathercole Site. At that meeting, City Council resolved:

“that City Council review the DCD1 guidelines for the Gathercole Site.”

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 8

On January 19, 2004, City Council adopted a recommendation by the Administration to conduct public meetings in February to review the DCD1 guidelines and to hire a consulting architect to assist with the review and presentation of the guidelines. The Meewasin Valley Authority (MVA) also was invited to participate on the presentation team.

The City of Saskatoon hired the firm of Saunders Evans Architects Inc. to prepare a set of computer models that would illustrate the development guidelines. Members of the general public were invited to four (4) public meetings held at the following places and times:

1. Wednesday February 11, 2004, 7 pm, Radisson Hotel;
2. Thursday February 12, 2004, 1 pm, Quality Hotel;
3. Tuesday February 17, 2004, 7 pm, Radisson Hotel;
4. Saturday February 21, 2004, 1 pm, Quality Hotel.

The dates and times were varied to allow for the greatest participation possible for the general public. Copies of the DCD1 guidelines and feedback forms were handed out to each individual.

REPORT

A total of 143 people attended the public meetings. Many people attended multiple meetings. Discussion varied; however, many wished to address issues about the planning process, preservation of the Gathercole Building, the role of the MVA, vehicular access, and the need for an overall Master Plan.

The DCD1 guidelines are technical in nature and many members of the public had a difficult time grasping their meaning. Saunders Evans Architects, staff from the City Planning Branch, and the MVA attended each meeting to explain the intent and purpose of the DCD1 to allow the public to evaluate the guidelines.

Comment forms were provided to all people who attended the meetings. The written comments have been read by staff and are compiled in **Attachment 1**.

The Existing DCD1 guidelines address the following development items:

- Objectives;
- Linkage and Land Use;
- Building Form and Massing;
- Open Space;
- Pedestrian Environment;
- Parking and Off-Site Loading;
- Subdivision;
- Environmental Constraints; and
- Approval Process.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 9**

Public Consultations

The public consultations yielded the following suggestions, which were common to all meetings:

1. Keep the Direct Control District. Many people felt that the Direct Control District's most important aspect is that it allows City Council to approve any final project proposals in a public meeting and that a development agreement can be attached to any approval. Standard "off the shelf" zoning does not allow for a public approval process.

Another benefit to Direct Control is that City Council may require a Development Agreement to be signed between the City and any developer. The Development Agreement can require the provision of (or payment of) such things as:

- a) amenities for public use either on the land or within buildings;
- b) consistent landscaping treatments on site;
- c) surface treatments on such things as walkways; and
- d) facilities for lighting, fences, etc.

2. Simplify and Clarify Guidelines. The development guidelines are technically worded and do not convey what the intent of the guideline is in a clear manner. It is difficult for people to readily understand what the public benefit is in each guideline.
3. Increase Certainty (reduce variances). A confusing aspect for most people is the current provisions which allow height to be varied and open space to be transferred between sites.
4. Include the A.L. Cole Site in the Direct Control District. It was the desire of many to see the A.L. Cole site be included and to work towards a unified South Downtown Riverfront development.
5. Keep Access Corridors Pedestrian Only. Many people expressed a desire to see any access to the site remain pedestrian only. The goal of maximizing pedestrian access to the site is shared by the Administration. However, due to the overall size of the site, it is not practical, nor desirable, to prohibit vehicles altogether. Many people, such as the disabled and elderly, will benefit from allowing vehicular access to the site, in particular the Riverfront Park. It is anticipated that traffic calming elements will be incorporated into the final design. It is the opinion within the Community Services Department that the proposed access corridors at 2nd Avenue and Spadina Crescent will accommodate both pedestrians and vehicles comfortably.

Proposed Direct Control District

The Community Services Department is recommending the following changes for consideration.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 10

1. Amend the boundary of the DCD1 in the following manner:
 - a) exclude Block 146 from Direct Control District 1 and return the site to B6; and
 - b) include the former A.L. Cole Site and adjacent parcels within the Direct Control District 1; **(Please refer to Attachments 2 and 3.)**
2. Simplify and clarify clauses that are confusing (e.g. building orientation, linkages);
3. Eliminate clauses that are no longer required (east/west public linkage requirement);
4. Eliminate clauses that allow for variances which are not publicly acceptable (i.e. height and open space variances); and
5. Update the Land Use Table to include more certainty and an appropriate range of permitted uses.

A proposed new Direct Control District is attached as **Attachment 4**.

The following table illustrates the proposed changes to the DCD1.

Table 1 - Proposed Changes to the DCD1.

| Clause | Subject | Recommendation | Explanation |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 19.2 | Objectives | Reword | <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The Objectives re-worded to add the A.L. Cole site.- Clarify the intent to develop a unified Riverfront.- Re-ordered and slightly reworded some clauses for emphasis. |
| 19.2.2.1 | Linkage and Land Use | Expand and Clarify | <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Added Open Space as a Land Use Category.- Included proposed uses for A.L. Cole site.- Restricted Offices to no more than 50,000 ft².- Added more Example Uses for clarity.- Strengthened requirements for uses at grade level.- Clarified the Intent of each Land Use Category.- Simplified the Public Linkage requirement.- Added Provision for People with Disabilities. |

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 11

| Clause | Subject | Recommendation | Explanation |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | - Strengthened requirements for consistency in design features in the South Downtown. |
| 19.2.2.2 | Building Form and Massing | Simplify and Clarify. | - Deleted Building Envelope. - Specify Heights of Buildings at specific locations. - Added 5 metre setback above 4 storeys. - Added 3 metre Building Setback at 3 rd Avenue. - Added 3 metre maximum building setback at 2 nd and Spadina. - Added Floor Space Ratio to link the size of building to the size of site. - Removed confusing building orientation and open space clauses. |
| NEW | Safety and Security | New Guideline | - Added Design Guidelines to enhance safety and security. |
| 19.2.2.3 | Open Space | Deleted | - The Riverfront Park, Sportsfields, Friendship Park, and Access Corridors will guarantee that over 35% open space is provided in the South Downtown. |
| 19.2.2.4 | Pedestrian Environment | Enhanced | - These guidelines have been enhanced with new guidelines such as Safety and Security, Landscaping, and Building Setbacks. |
| NEW | Landscaping & Signage | New Guidelines | - New guidelines to ensure high degree of landscaping is provided to beautify open spaces and spaces between buildings. - New guidelines to ensure appropriate signage is used in the South Downtown. |
| 19.2.2.5 | Parking and Off-Street Loading | Enhanced | - Clarified parking standards for Hotels and Multi-unit Residential developments. - Added clauses for parking access, loading, service areas to ensure the streetscape is not interrupted. |
| 19.2.2.6 | Subdivision | No Change | |
| 19.2.2.7 | Environmental Constraints | Clarified | - Clarified to ensure no development contributes to slope instability. |
| 19.2.2.8 | Approval Process | Clarified | - Clarified to state that the City has a 60-day decision requirement. |

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 12**

Architectural Review

It should be noted that a Direct Control District does not allow for Architectural Review. Architectural Review could include a review of building materials, colour, window treatments, building facades, roof treatments, etc. Current legislation only allows for Architectural Review if City Council establishes an Architectural Control District (ACD). The City of Saskatoon Development Plan contains provisions to implement an Architectural Control District (ACD) using an 'overlay' in the Zoning Bylaw. An ACD is primarily intended for two purposes:

- a) to preserve the existing physical character of an area; and
- b) to promote a selected design theme for a new area.

In general, an ACD should only be applied where there is a clear public interest, and where it is considered economically feasible to establish and fund a design review process.

Architectural Design Plan

Each ACD requires that an Architectural Design Plan be drafted by a qualified architect or urban planner. The Design Plan must be approved by City Council. The Architectural Design Plan may contain provisions for the review and control of key architectural features of buildings and landscaping of sites within the area designated as an Architectural Control District. **Attachment 7** contains key elements, which may be expanded into a formal Architectural Design Plan.

The key elements include the following:

- 1. Proportion
- 2. Scale
- 3. Massing
- 4. Walls
- 5. Roofs
- 6. Fenestration
- 7. Windows
- 8. Doors
- 9. Architectural Style
- 10. Solid/Void
- 11. Color
- 12. Variety
- 13. Relationship to Streetscape
- 14. Signage

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 13

Design Review

Each application for a building permit within an ACD is required to be reviewed by qualified Design Review Staff, or Council may appoint a Design Review Committee. A decision by the Design Review Committee must be rendered within 30 days of receipt of an application.

OPTIONS

Option 1 (recommended option) – adopt the Proposed Amended Direct Control District 1 in **Attachment 4**.

Option 2 – Rezone the South Downtown Area with an existing zoning district such as B6. Using an existing zoning district may not provide City Council or the general public with assurances needed to develop the South Downtown into a special “people place”. It is the opinion within the Community Services Department that there is no existing zoning district which is appropriate for this area.

Option 3 – Create a new Zoning District specifically for the South Downtown Area and utilize rezoning agreements for each major proposal. Although this option is legally available, it would require more time and yield virtually the same results as a modified Direct Control District, and is less flexible. A Rezoning Agreement also has no provision for a Development Agreement to ensure development elements are consistent between public and private developments.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Written Comments Received Public Review Process.
2. Existing DCD1 Area
3. Proposed DCD1 Area
4. Proposed New Direct Control District for South Downtown.
5. Proposed Amendments to the City of Saskatoon Development Plan Land Use Map.
6. Proposed Amendments to the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw.
7. Outline of Architectural Review Guidelines in the South Downtown.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Peggy Sarjeant be heard.

CARRIED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 14

Ms. Peggy Sarjeant, Saskatoon Heritage Society, indicated that the Heritage Society is pleased that the Control District has been extended to include the A.L. Cole site, and relief that the retention of the concept of the mixed-use development is included. She expressed concerns that no recognition has been given in the Guidelines for the need for strong linkages between the development area, the downtown and the river, and concerns with respect to the proposed height of the buildings and the view down 3rd Avenue to the river. She requested that there be more than two public meetings in April.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the matter of the DCDI Guidelines be referred to the April 26, 2004 public meeting of the Executive Committee.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Clause 2, Addendum to Report No. 6-2004 of the Executive Committee be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

“ADDENDUM TO REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

2. South Downtown - Budget
(File No. CK 4130-2)

RECOMMENDATION: that Council approve in principle a budget for the South Downtown totalling \$30,870,000, comprised of the following:

- Environmental Cleanup
- Park Design
- Services Design
- Architectural Design
- Land Acquisition
- Demolition
- Project Management/Consulting
- Servicing: 19th St (Demolition & streetscape)
 - On site services
 - Off Site Levies
 - Ave A Streetscape
 - On site streetscaping

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 15

- Park Construction
- Village Square
- Pumphouse Lookout
- Noise Abatement (Electrical Sub-station)
- Suspension Bridge
- Pedestrian Walkway
- East/West connector (landscaping, parking, etc.)
- Mini-Park (2 acres on site)
- Multi-Purpose Feature

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the following report of the City Manager dated April 5, 2004:

“Infrastructure Canada has requested that we submit our application for funding under the Strategic Infrastructure Program as soon as possible. With the tabling of the draft concept plan for South Downtown, we can then prepare the proposal to formally request Federal Government funding.

Although City Council has approved \$10 million for the plan, a further \$5 million remains unallocated, as it was to fund the main library moving into the former Bay building. We are recommending that this \$5 million be allocated to the South Downtown, Southeast Riversdale Sector, to fund a multi-purpose feature.

The Administration will come forward with a more detailed budget at a later date.”

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Council approve, in principle, a budget for the South Downtown totalling \$30,870,000, comprised of the following:

- *Environmental Cleanup*
- *Park Design*
- *Services Design*
- *Architectural Design*
- *Land Acquisition*
- *Demolition*
- *Project Management/Consulting*

- *Servicing: 19th St (Demolition & streetscape)
On Site Services
Off Site Levies
Ave A Streetscape
On site streetscaping*
- *Park Construction*
- *Village Square*
- *Pumphouse Lookout*
- *Noise Abatement (Electrical Sub-station)*
- *Suspension Bridge*
- *Pedestrian Walkway*
- *East/West Connector (landscaping, parking, etc.)*
- *Mini-Park (2 acres on site)*
- *Multi-Purpose Feature*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT Council submit an application in the amount of \$30,780,000 for funding under the Strategic Infrastructure Program, and include the additional budget estimates of \$8,523,000 that are required to complete the south downtown project.

CARRIED.

Councillor Paulsen excused herself from the meeting at 9:25 p.m.

HEARINGS

- 2a) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment
Revised Fees for Rezoning, Discretionary Use and Development Permit Applications
Proposed Bylaw No. 8295
(File No. CK. 4330-1)**
-

Report of the City Clerk:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8295, a copy of which is attached.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 17**

Attached is a copy of Clause 8, Report No. 3-2004 which was dealt with as stated by City Council at its meeting held on February 23, 2004:

- '1) that the cost recovery for subdivision applications be increased for 2004 from 50 percent to 60 percent of the costs incurred by the Community Services Department in processing administering and regulating activities associated with these applications;
- 2)
 - a) that the Subdivision Bylaw be amended to include the subdivision application and approval fee for 2004, as outlined in Attachment 1, Table 2;
 - b) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare and bring forward the necessary amendment to the Subdivision Bylaw to provide for this fee increase for 2004;
- 3) that the cost recovery objective for rezoning applications, discretionary use applications, and development permit applications be increased for 2004 from 50 percent to 60 percent of the costs incurred by the Community Services Department in processing, administering, and regulating activities associated with these applications;
- 4)
 - a) that the Zoning Bylaw be amended to include the rezoning, discretionary use, and development permit fees for 2004, as outlined in Tables 3, 4 and 5 of Attachment 1, as well as the listing of standard, complex, and highly complex discretionary uses outlined in Attachment 2;
 - b) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Bylaw to provide for the 2004 changes;
 - c) that the Community Services Department be instructed to undertake the necessary advertisement;
 - d) that the Municipal Planning Commission be requested to report on this matter at the time of the public hearing; and
- 5) that any further fee increases beyond 2004 be referred to the Administration to review with the stakeholders.'

Attached is a letter dated March 16, 2004, from the Secretary of the Municipal Planning Commission, indicating that the Commission supports the following recommendations:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 18

- '1) that the cost recovery objective for rezoning applications, discretionary use applications, and development permit applications be increased for 2004 from 50 percent to 60 percent of the costs incurred by the Community Services Department in processing, administering, and regulating activities associated with these applications; and
- 2) that the Zoning Bylaw be amended to include the rezoning, discretionary use, and development permit fees for 2004, as outlined in Tables 3, 4 and 5 of Attachment 1 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated February 11, 2004, as well as the listing of standard, complex, and highly complex discretionary uses outlined in Attachment 2 to the February 11, 2004 report.'

Also attached is a copy of Notice, which appeared in the local press under dates of March 13 and 20, 2004."

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Councillor Paulsen was not in attendance for the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Community Services Department, indicated the Department's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. John Waddington, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, indicated the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment, however, indicated that it was felt that there needs to be further study on the issue.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8295.

THE MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST ON A TIE VOTE.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

**1) Gordon E. Sarty, Saskatoon RASC and
Saskatchewan Light Pollution Abatement Committee, dated March 4**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to light pollution reduction. (File No. CK. 375-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Gordon Sarty be heard.

It was noted that Mr. Sarty was not present in the gallery.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**2) Harry Janzen
Saskatoon Real Estate Board, dated March 19**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

**3) Ken Achs, President
Mid-West Development (2000) Corp., dated March 22**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

**4) Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director
Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce, dated March 22**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 20**

- 5) **Shirley Ryan, Executive Director**
North Saskatoon Business Association, dated March 31

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

- 6) **Cindy Popadyne, Administrative Assistant**
Riversdale Business Improvement Association, dated April 1

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

- 7) **Frank Regier, dated March 29**

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Police Service. (File CK. 5000-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Frank Regier be heard.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Frank Regier be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Frank Regier expressed concerns with respect to recent incidents he has witnessed regarding near accidents involving the City Police. He also expressed concerns with respect to the increase in taxes.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

- 8) **Bob Conway, Past President**
Sutherland Business Association, dated March 29

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to parking on Central Avenue. (File No. CK. 6120-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 21**

RECOMMENDATION: that Bob Conway be heard.

It was noted that Mr. Conway had withdrawn his request to speak on the matter.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**9) Sid Katzman, Volunteer
Hemophilia Saskatchewan, dated March 31**

Requesting permission for representatives of the University of Saskatchewan Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Student Branch and Hemophilia Saskatchewan to address Council with respect to the 19th Annual High Voltage Classic. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that representatives of the University of Saskatchewan IEEE Student Branch and Hemophilia Saskatchewan be heard.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT representatives of the University of Saskatchewan IEEE Student Branch and Hemophilia Saskatchewan be heard.

CARRIED.

Messrs Ian Stavness and Ryan Dusyk, University of Saskatchewan Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and Mr. ~~Sid Katzman~~, Saskatchewan Hemophilia Society, outlined the success of the 19th Annual High Voltage Classic, which was held on March 27 and 28, 2004, and thanked the City's administration for their assistance, and the Mayor and the Councillors who participated in the event.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 22**

10) Norman Briscoe, dated March 30

Requesting permission to address Council with respect to the Smoking Bylaw. (File CK. 185-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that Norman Briscoe be heard.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Norman Briscoe be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Norman Briscoe spoke with respect to the smoking bylaw, expressing the opinion that it shouldn't include private clubs, patios and decks.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**11) Susan Lamb, Chief Executive Officer
Meewasin Valley Authority, dated April 2**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

Councillor Paulsen reentered the meeting at 10:25 p.m.

**12) Daniel Guenther, Acting President
Eighth Street Business Association, dated April 1**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

13) Amara, dated April 5

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

14) **Bob Conway, dated April 5**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

15) **Lenore Swystun
Gathercole Initiative Group and Citizens for a Quality Downtown, dated April 5**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

16) **John D. Bury
The Waterford, undated**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

17) **Terry Scaddan, Executive Director
The Partnership, dated April 5**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

AA. **ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL**

1) **Christina Breker, dated March 18**

Advising that her questions regarding recycling have been answered. (File No. CK. 7830-5)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) **Len Jabush, Business Manager
Saskatoon Wildlife Federation, dated March 17**

Submitting a proposal for an all caliber indoor shooting facility. (File No. CK. 4125-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 24**

RECOMMENDATION: that the matter be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

CARRIED.

**3) Dennis Lozinsky, 50th Anniversary Committee Member
Saints Peter and Paul Ukrainian Catholic Church, dated March 16**

Requesting permission for temporary closure of Munroe Avenue between Main Street through 11th Street East from 7:00 p.m., Saturday, September 18, 2004 to midnight Sunday, September 19, 2004 and use of Raoul Wallenberg Park on September 18 and 19, 2004, in connection with Saints Peter and Paul Ukrainian Catholic Church 50th Anniversary celebrations. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the requests be approved subject to administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the requests be approved subject to administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

**4) D. Drever, P. Eng., Manager
Public Works Branch, Infrastructure Services Department, dated March 19**

Requesting that Ms. Bobbi-Daye Temblay be appointed to the Board of Trustees of Defined Contribution Plan for Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees.

RECOMMENDATION: that Ms. Bobbi-Daye Tremblay be appointed to the Board of Trustees of Defined Contribution Plan for Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees to replace Merv Sorenson.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Ms. Bobbi-Daye Tremblay be appointed to the Board of Trustees of Defined Contribution Plan for Seasonal and Non-Permanent Part-Time Employees to replace Merv Sorenson.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 25**

**5) Ted Mitchell, CEO
Saskatoon Regional Economic Development Authority Inc., dated March 17**

Requesting that the Saskatoon Advantage Temporary Incentive Policy C09-030 be extended for two years. (File No. CK. 3500-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the Saskatoon Advantage Temporary Incentive Policy C09-030 be extended for two years, to expire March 31, 2006.

Moved by Councillor Alm, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the Saskatoon Advantage Temporary Incentive Policy C09-030 be extended for two years, to expire March 31, 2006.

IN REFERRAL

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the matter be referred to the Executive Committee for a report.

*THE REFERRAL MOTION WAS PUT AND LOST.
THE MAIN MOTION WAS PUT AND CARRIED.*

**6) Lorna Shaw-Lennox, A/Chair
Saskatoon Environmental Advisory Committee, dated March 29**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

7) Patricia Chartier, dated March 22

Expressing concerns with respect to the Ramada/Golf Dome parking lot. (File No. CK. 1870-1)

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 26

RECOMMENDATION: that the issue of noise be referred to the Administration to attempt to resolve and that the letter be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the issue of noise be referred to the Administration to attempt to resolve, and that the letter be referred to the Board of Police Commissioners.

CARRIED.

8) Don Schlosser, President
Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association, dated March 19

Submitting an invoice for the 2004 Membership Fees to the Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association. (File No. CK. 155-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that the 2004 Membership Fees for the Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association be paid in the amount of \$84,784.98

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the 2004 Membership Fees for the Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association be paid in the amount of \$84,784.98

CARRIED.

9) Sylvie Delaquis, Policy, Advocacy and Communications Department
Federation of Canadian Municipalities, dated March 23

Forwarding a copy of a response from the Honourable Andrew Mitchell, Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, regarding Resolution MAR03.2.02 – Aid for Aboriginal Population, sponsored by the City of Saskatoon, and adopted by delegates at the 2003 FCM Annual Conference. (File No. CK. 155-2)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 27**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Birkmaier,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

10) Ari Avivi, dated March 22

Expressing appreciation for being allowed to address Council at the meeting held on March 22, 2004, regarding animal control and poundkeeping services. (File No. CK. 151-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

11) Merry Mines, dated March 26

Requesting a four-way stop at 7th Street and Grosvenor Avenue. (File No. CK. 6280-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the matter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration to respond to the writer.

CARRIED.

**12) Byron Horachek, Chair
Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management, dated March 23**

Requesting that Mr. Ray Penner be appointed to the Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management. (File No. CK. 175-48)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 28**

RECOMMENDATION: that Ray Penner be appointed to the Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management.

Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT Ray Penner be appointed to the Downtown Business Improvement District Board of Management.

CARRIED.

**13) Darren Williams
Rotary Club of Saskatoon, dated March 30**

Requesting permission to fly the Rotary flag at City Hall during Rotary Week, April 12 to 18, 2004.

RECOMMENDATION: that permission be granted to the Rotary Club to fly the Rotary Flag at City Hall from April 12 to 18, 2004.

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to the Rotary Club to fly the Rotary Flag at City Hall from April 12 to 18, 2004.

CARRIED.

**14) Joanne Sproule, Secretary
Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated March 29**

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board hearing for property located at 802 Heritage Crescent. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**15) Joanne Sproule, Secretary
Saskatoon Development Appeals Board, dated March 30**

Submitting notice of Development Appeal Board Hearing for property located at 2035 Idylwyld Drive North. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 29**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

- 16) **Judith Benson, President**
SOS Elms Coalition, dated March 30

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

- 17) **Dennis Johnson, Chair**
Meewasin Valley Authority, dated March 31

Requesting that the City maintain funding to the Meewasin Valley Authority at \$612,400 for this year. (File No. CK. 1711-)

RECOMMENDATION: that the matter be referred to the Administration.

Moved by Councillor Hnatyshyn, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the letter be joined to the file.

CARRIED.

B. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

- 1) **Bill Nixon, dated March 19**

Submitting comments with respect to tax increases. (File No. CK. 1905-1) **(Referred to the Budget Committee.)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

C. PROCLAMATIONS

**1) Jim Bitinsky, President
Saskatoon and District Labour Council, dated March 15**

Requesting that Council proclaim April 28, 2004 as an Annual Day of Mourning in Saskatoon, in recognition of workers killed, injured or disabled on the job. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**2) Collette Roettger, Communications Assistant, Saskatchewan Division
Multiple Sclerosis Society of Canada, dated March 1**

Requesting that Council proclaim May, 2004 as MS Month in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**3) W.A Restall, President and Chief Executive Officer
Saskatoon Airport Authority, dated March 25**

Requesting that Council proclaim June 1, 2004 as Saskatoon Airport Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**4) Van Simonson, Operations Superintendent, Water and Sewer
Public Works Branch, Infrastructure Services Department, dated March 25**

Requesting that Council proclaim May 16 to 22, 2004 as Public Works Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**5) Dr. Kent Bailey, Vice-President, Board of Directors
Canadian Naturopathic Association , undated**

Requesting that Council proclaim May 1 to 8, 2004 as Naturopathic Medicine Week in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 31**

**6) Lisa Sands, Heart and Stroke Foundation and
Steve Chisholm, Magic 98.3, dated March 15**

Requesting that Council proclaim May 26, 2004 as History of Rock & Roll – Tribute to Grease Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section C; and*
- 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

REPORTS

John Waddington, Chair, presented Report No. 4-2004 of the Municipal Planning Commission;

General Manager, Community Services Gauthier presented Section A, Administrative Report No. 7-2004;

General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp presented Section B, Administrative Report No. 7-2004;

General Manager, Corporate Services Veltkamp presented Section B, Addendum to Administrative Report No. 7-2004;

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Uzelman presented Section D, Administrative Report No. 7-2004;

General Manager, Utility Services Hewitt presented Section E, Administrative Report No. 7-2004;

City Manager Richards presented Section F, Administrative Report No. 7-2004;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 32**

City Solicitor Dust presented Section B, Legislative Report No. 7-2004;

Councillor Fortosky, Chair, presented Report No. 6-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee;

Councillor Heidt, Member, presented Report No. 6-2004 of the Administration and Finance Committee;

His Worship the Mayor, Chair, presented Report No. 6-2004 of the Executive Committee; and

Councillor Penner, Member, presented Addendum to Report No. 6-2004 of the Executive Committee.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Alm,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the following reports:

- a) Report No. 4-2004 of the Municipal Planning Commission;*
- b) Administrative Report No. 7-2004;*
- c) Addendum to Administrative Report No. 7-2004;*
- d) Legislative Report No. 7-2004;*
- e) Report No. 6-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee;*
- f) Report No. 6-2004 of the Administration and Finance Committee;*
- g) Report No. 6-2004 of the Executive Committee; and*
- h) Addendum to Report No. 6-2004 of the Executive Committee.*

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Penner as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

“REPORT NO. 4-2004 OF THE MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION”

Composition of Commission

Mr. John Waddington, Chair
Mr. Jack Billinton, Vice Chair
Councillor Bev Dubois
Mr. Dieter André
Mr. Gord Androsoff
Mr. Roy Ball
Mr. Michael Chyzowski
Mr. Lloyd Hedemann
Mr. Brad Sylvester
Ms. Karen Thogersen
Mr. Vern Waldherr
Mr. Randy Warick
Mr. Jim Zimmer

**1. Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan
(File No. CK. 4131-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received and considered with Clause 2, Report No. 6-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee.

The Municipal Planning Commission has considered the report of the Community Services Department dated March 11, 2004, on the Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan. Presentations were received from representatives of the Community Services Department and Mr. Don Armstrong, Dundee Development Corporation, outlining the details of the proposed new neighbourhood.

Your Commission’s review of this report included questions and discussions relating to access in and out of the neighbourhood and impact on existing roadways; integration with adjacent neighbourhoods; proximity to the airport and regulations relating to air shows; the design of curbs for housing with front garages and driveways; provision for front-street garbage pickup; expectations relating to the provision of schools and how this is communicated to the public; comparisons between Willowgrove and proposed Hampton Village neighbourhoods with respect to lot pricing, housing products, housing types, and amenities; the integrated community centre concept; proposed wet pond in Dundonald and public access to the pond; special levies relating to improvements to sanitary collection and storm sewer drainage systems; and estimated timeframe for development of the proposed new neighbourhood.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 34

Following consideration of this matter, your Commission is supporting the recommendations of the Community Services Department for approval in principle of the Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan dated January 19, 2004, and incorporating the Hampton Village neighbourhood in the Confederation Suburban Development Area Sector Plan.

Moved by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Clause 2, Report No. 6-2004 of the Planning and Operations Committee be brought forward and considered.

CARRIED.

“REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

**2. Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan
(File No. CK. 4131-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve, in principle, the Confederation Suburban Development Area Sector Plan, incorporating the Hampton Village Neighbourhood; and
 - 2) that City Council approve, in principle, the Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan dated January 19, 2004.

Your Committee has considered and supports the recommendations outlined in the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 24, 2004, with respect to the proposed Hampton Village Neighbourhood.

The Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan was also reviewed and supported by the Municipal Planning Commission, as set out in Clause 1, Report No. 4-2004 of the Municipal Planning Commission.

Representatives of the Development Services Branch, Dundee Development Corporation, and the Land Branch will be in attendance to make a presentation with respect to the concept plan. This will include a Power Point presentation.”

IT WAS RESOLVED: 1) that City Council approve, in principle, the Confederation Suburban Development Area Sector Plan, incorporating the Hampton Village Neighbourhood; and

- 2) that City Council approve, in principle, the Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan dated January 19, 2004.*

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2004

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Request For Encroachment Agreement
339 Avenue A South
Lots 1 and 2, Block 17, Plan CE
(File No. CK. 4090-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council recognize the encroachment at 339 Avenue A South (Lots 1 and 2, Block 17, Plan CE);
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the appropriate encroachment agreement making provision to collect the applicable fees; and
 - 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this encroachment.

ADOPTED.

Cuelenaere, Kendall, Katzman & Watson, Barristers & Solicitors on behalf of Pelican Signs & Decals Inc., the purchaser of the property located at 339 Avenue A South, has requested to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Saskatoon. As shown on the attached Real Property Report, part of the building encroaches onto Avenue A and onto 19th Street. The total area of the encroachment is approximately .86m² and, therefore, an annual charge of \$50.00 will apply.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Letter from Cuelenaere, Kendall, Katzman & Watson, Barrister & Solicitor, dated March 8, 2004.
2. Real Property Report dated February 20, 2004

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 36

**A2) Land-Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between March 16, 2004 and March 29, 2004
(For Information Only)
(File Nos. PL 4315, 4350, 4300)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Development Plan

- Amendment No. DPA 4/04: South Downtown
Applicant: City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Gathercole Site; Parcel M, Plan 91S04164, plus various smaller parcels; Block 146, Parcel CC, Plan 00SA33273, Friendship Park
Current Land Use Designation: DCD1
Proposed Land Use Designation: Amendment to the DCD1
Neighbourhood: Central Business District
Date Received: March 10, 2004

Rezoning

- Application No. Z5/04: 401 Avenue A South – A.L. Cole Site
Applicant: City of Saskatoon
Legal Description: Parcel K, Plan 63S01249, Parcel DD, Plan 01SA21306 and various smaller parcels
Current Zoning: M3(H)
Proposed Zoning: DCD1
Neighbourhood: Riversdale
Date Received: March 2004

Rezoning

- Application No. Z6/04: 227 Pinehouse Drive
Applicant: D.C. Properties Ltd.
Legal Description: Lot 2, block 911, Plan 79S43600
Current Zoning: B2 (Contract)
Proposed Zoning: Amendment to B2 (Contract)
Neighbourhood: Lawson Heights Suburban Centre
Date Received: March 4, 2004

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 37

Subdivision

- Application No. 9/04: Boychuk Drive/Highway 16
Applicant: Webster Surveys for Neil Bartlett
Legal Description: Parcel F, Plan 94S17318
Current Zoning: R1A/FUD
Neighbourhood: South East Development Area
Date Received: March 15, 2004

Subdivision

- Application No. 10/04: 2200 Block 8th Street East
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Dodge City and Aravantis Holdings
Legal Description: Lots 1,2,3, Block 283; Lots 1-3, 6-9, 15-18, and A, Block 284; Lane & Part of Argyle Avenue, Plan 61S17721 & Parcel Class Code Change of Lane and Part of Argyle Avenue, Plan 61S17721
Current Zoning: B4
Neighbourhood: Brevoort Park
Date Received: March 15, 2004

Subdivision

- Application No. 11/04: 129 1st Street East
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Farbacher Enterprises Inc.
Legal Description: Lots 31 and 32, and 33, Block 30, Plan G229
Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Buena Vista
Date Received: March 18, 2004

Subdivision

- Application No. 12/04: Dundonald Ave./Malouf Road (transmission line)
Applicant: Peters Surveys for City of Saskatoon, SaskPower and others
Legal Description: Part of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ 18 & SW $\frac{1}{4}$ 19-36-5-W3M
Current Zoning: AG and IL1
Neighbourhood: S.W. Industrial and SaskPower Management Area
Date Received: March 18, 2004

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021 (Public Notice Policy), is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Rezoning Z5/04
2. Plan of Proposed Rezoning Z6/04
3. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 9/04
4. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 10/04
5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 11/04
6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 12/04

**A3) Review of the DCD1 Guidelines
File No. PL 4131-2-4**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 6.

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

**B1) Federal Budget – 2004
(File No. 1700-1 and 1860-14)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

On March 23, 2004, the Federal Government revealed its budget plans for their fiscal year April 1, 2004 to March 31, 2005. While the budget provided no new funding for municipalities, it did accelerate a capital program first announced last year, and confirmed its recent announcement to provide a 100% rebate of GST on municipal purchases.

The \$1 billion Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund, originally to be applied over a ten-year period, will now be provided to municipalities over a five-year period. The conditions of the grant have not yet been disclosed, but based on previous programs, it is anticipated that approximately 3.8% (\$38,000,000) will be made available to Saskatchewan. Because Saskatoon and Regina have had access to the Federal Strategic Infrastructure Program, only 10% of those funds (half of the normal distribution) will be available to each of those cities. It is anticipated that the Province will also need to match the federal share as part of the funding formula. Once the final agreements are in place, proceeds from this fund will be included in the City's 2005 Capital Budget (2006-9 Capital Plan) that will be forwarded to City Council at the end of this year.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 39

The budget also contained \$4 billion, over ten years, for the ongoing cleanup of contaminated sites. However, with \$3.5 billion dedicated to federal lands, and the specific examples of the Sydney tar ponds as a focus of the balance of \$500 million, it is not clear if the City will benefit from this fund to assist in the clean up of contaminated properties within Saskatoon.

The Budget also included \$1 billion for affordable housing. This will be the subject of a further report, sometime later this year, which will reference Saskatoon's commitment to affordable housing within the context of all senior government initiatives.

While the announcements on the Infrastructure Program and the GST are certainly important and welcome, they do not provide the type of support that is needed to proceed with the major projects that are unique to cities. Part of the discussions on the "New Deal for Cities" had focused on a gas tax revenue sharing formula that would see cities receiving upwards of five cents per litre to be used for these projects. While the budget commits to further discussions on this, the budget appears, intentionally or otherwise, to have reduced the special status previously attributed to cities, and is now referencing the discussions as a "New Deal for Communities". Examples cited as a down payment on the new deal include not only the GST announcement and the Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund, but also the funds being committed to the cleanup of federal contaminated sites. Hopefully, the reference to "communities", and the funds applied to federal lands, does not dilute the original intent to recognize that cities are the economic and social engines for Canada and, therefore, have special needs that should be acknowledged. It continues to be your Administration's opinion that, without a substantial and sustainable source of funding from senior levels of governments, the City of Saskatoon's requirement to proceed with some very major capital projects will be in serious jeopardy.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required

ADDENDUM TO ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7—2004

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

B2) Provincial Budget – 2004
(File No. 1700-3)

RECOMMENDATION: that this report be forwarded to City Council's meeting of April 19 for consideration when dealing with the report of the Budget Committee.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 40

The Province of Saskatchewan delivered its 2004-2005 budget on March 31, 2004. Several announcements contained in the budget will have a direct impact on the City's 2004 Operating Budget that was considered by the Budget Committee at its meeting of March 30, 2004.

As Council is aware, the Province announced a 1% increase in the Provincial Sales Tax. This action impacts directly on the cost of goods included in the City's budget, increasing those costs by an estimated \$300,000 (on an annualized basis) in mill rate supported programs, as well as directly impacting the City's capital and utility programs. The Provincial budget will also impact the anticipated increase in Revenue Sharing of \$2,361,400 that has been included in the City's proposed budget. While the promised increase of \$10,000,000 to the Revenue Sharing Pool has been fulfilled, the distribution of the pooled funds do not match what had been calculated for our own budget purposes. As well, discussions are still underway regarding the methodology that will be used to distribute the increase in Revenue Sharing. Based on information currently available, the City's Revenue Sharing Grant will decrease by a minimum of \$84,600 to a maximum of \$183,100 from the total that is currently included in the City's preliminary budget.

On April 19, 2004, the Budget Committee will be forwarding its recommendations to City Council for final approval of the 2004 Operating Budget. It is your Administration's recommendation that the Committee's recommendations be amended to include an adjustment for the reduction in Saskatchewan Revenue Sharing included in Vote 07. This would result in a revision of the proposed property tax increase identified by the Committee from 3.60%, to between 3.70% and 3.82% depending on final verification of the revenue sharing distribution.

The Provincial Budget also included the continuation of a supplementary payment to the Meewasin Valley Authority. In 2003, City Council responded to this supplementary payment as follows:

“that a grant of \$55,670 to the Meewasin Valley Authority be approved for one year only, pending a change in the funding formula by statute.”

The Preliminary Budget considered by the Budget Committee did not provide any additional funding for the Meewasin Valley Authority, so City Council will need to consider how it wishes to respond to the Provincial Government's inclusion of funding similar to 2003. A recommendation to approve an increase to the preliminary budget will result in a further property tax increase of .07%.

The budget also included, as a special contribution to municipal infrastructure, the sum of \$2,600,000 for the redevelopment of the riverbank. This confirms the first installment towards the funding previously committed by the Government for this project.

It is also your Administration's recommendation that the impact of the revised PST not result in any amendments to the proposed budget. Your Administration's understanding is that should year-end results reflect that the absorption of these costs has not been achievable, full consideration will be given to the revised PST when the 2005 Budget is being prepared.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2004 – CONTINUED

Section D – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**D1) School Signing Revisions
(File No. 6280-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the proposed school signing revisions, as set out in the following report, be approved.

ADOPTED.

Infrastructure Services has been requested to revise the signing at the following school:

- Brevoort Park School

Consultations with the principals, representatives of the school boards, and a member of Infrastructure Services have resulted in the preparation of new school signing plans (using the School Signing Guidelines) to address the particular need of each facility. The following changes have been reviewed and approved by Infrastructure Services, the school boards, and the schools' principals:

The recommended signing changes are described below and shown on the attached plan:

Brevoort Park School Plan No. 212-0021-001r004 (Attachment 1)

The school would like to allow parents, who are dropping off their children to playschool, extra time and therefore requested the installation of a '15 MINUTE PARKING ZONE, 08:00 – 17:00, MONDAY-FRIDAY' on the east side of Brevoort Park School along Webb Crescent

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan No. 212-0021-001r004

D2) Enquiry – Councillor T. Alm (December 15, 2003)
Foundation Drainage Requirements
File No. CK 301-1

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The following enquiry was made by Councillor Alm at the meeting of City Council held on December 15, 2003:

“Would the Administration please report how we can enforce the City’s foundation drainage requirements that permit surface discharge from a sump pump when we have not passed a drainage bylaw.

My specific concern relates to liability where a homeowner pumps the water out per our specifications and it subsequently floods a neighbour’s home.

I would recommend we approve a drainage or grading bylaw and have resolved all technical and design specifications with regards to the foundation drainage requirements prior to enforcing these new regulations which are proposed to come into effect on January 1, 2004.”

The disposal of ground water from weeping tiles has been an ongoing concern for the City. After major rainfalls, the City has received large numbers of claims for sewer backup. Studies conducted by Reid Crowther in 1996 and CH2M Hill in 2001 concluded that a significant portion of the excess flow, which caused the backups, was coming from the foundation weeping tiles. Both studies recommended disconnection of the weeping tiles from the sanitary sewer system. Research conducted by City of Saskatoon staff found that nearly all major cities in Canada had already banned the connection of weeping tiles to the sanitary sewer system. A report of the findings was sent to City Council, which also noted that the existing sewer bylaw already prohibited the connection of foundation weeping tiles to the sanitary sewer system.

At its meeting held on March 5, 2001, City Council adopted the following recommendation:

“that the foundation weeping tiles from all new buildings constructed in the City of Saskatoon be drained to a sump pit and the pit pumped to the ground surface adjacent to the building or to a storm sewer.”

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 43

Following City Council's adoption of the above recommendation, the Administration developed regulations governing the disposal of ground water from weeping tiles, and design standards for sanitary sewers and the design of lot grades. A report outlining these regulations was forwarded to City Council at its meeting held on December 2, 2002, and adopted the following recommendation:

“that the attached regulations for the disposal of ground water collected by foundation weeping tiles within new neighbourhoods, approved for subdivision after January 1, 2003, and all buildings constructed after January 1, 2004, be approved.”

REPORT

All necessary bylaws and regulations have been in place since January 1, 2003 to require weeping tiles for new buildings to be either pumped to surface or pumped to the storm sewer system. The regulations have been reviewed with the homebuilders, and after certain minor amendments were made, the regulations met with their acceptance. These regulations have been enforced on all building permits issued since January 1, 2004.

Due to the limited capacity available in the sanitary sewer system, further development in certain sectors of the City is not feasible without the new regulations. For instance, the proposed Hampton Village neighbourhood could not proceed without these regulations being in force.

The regulations governing sump pumps prohibit discharge to side yards, where it would be most likely to cause problems for a neighbour, and require that the discharge be directed away from adjacent properties.

The City's Risk Management section is also in favour of the new weeping tile regulations. As mentioned earlier, consultants have identified the City's lack of modern weeping tile regulations as a cause of sewer backups. In most cases, the City is liable for these sewer backup claims. The City pays up to \$1 million per year in claims, of which close to 50% is for sewer backups. The previous Council authorized an ongoing program of steps to reduce these claims, of which the weeping tile program is one.

The City's design standards require that surface drainage plans be developed for new neighbourhoods. These standards have recently been updated in order to improve the performance of the surface drainage system. The design grades for the lots are provided to the property owners so that they may properly landscape their yards. At present, if a property is being flooded as the result of an improperly graded adjacent property, the owner of the flooded property may take civil action against their neighbour. The Administration has been working on a new Drainage Bylaw that will allow the City to enforce drainage on private property. This Bylaw will be presented to the Planning and Operations Committee by midyear.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D3) Enquiry – Councilor Waygood (July 14, 1997)
Prevention of Access to Underside of Bridges
(File No. CK. 6050-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Councillor Waygood made the following enquiry at the meeting of City Council held on July 14, 1997:

“Would the Administration please report on the following:

- measures that could be taken to prevent access to the underside of all bridges crossing the river within City limits (safety concerns); and
- the cost of each option.”

REPORT

The following are reasonable measures that could be taken to prevent access to the underside of all bridges crossing the river within the City limits:

1) Alarm / Photo Systems

These systems act as deterrents only and will not physically prevent access to the underside of the bridges. These systems can either take photos, alert the authorities, or broadcast pre-recorded messages at anyone trying to access or vandalize the areas. The cost for an alarm system is approximately \$12,000 per bridge, plus yearly operating and maintenance costs in the amount of \$2,000/year.

2) Concrete Infill Walls

Cast in place or pre-cast concrete walls could be installed to the base of the concrete to arch piers at either side of the river. The cost is approximately \$20,000 per arch bridge (University and Broadway). This method would not be practical for use on the Victoria Bridge, and likely not necessary on the Buckwold or Circle Drive Bridges.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 45

3) Expanded Metal Fence

This option allows for the installation of expanded metal fence with access hatches for emergency / maintenance operations. The expanded metal fence is resistant to vandalism and difficult to climb. The cost for this option is approximately \$7,000 per bridge. This method would not be practical for use on the Victoria Bridge, and likely not necessary on the Buckwold or Circle Drive Bridges.

4) Razor Wire / Barbed Wire

This option would allow for the installation of rolled razor wire or barbed wire to access points of the arches, which would not be aesthetically pleasing. The City Solicitor's Office does not recommend this option due to liability issues. Maintenance costs could also be an issue as well as access in emergency situations. The initial cost of this option is approximately \$3,000 per structure. This method would not be practical for use on the Victoria Bridge, and likely not necessary on the Buckwold or Circle Drive Bridges.

The expanded metal fence option was installed on the Broadway Bridge in 2003. Preliminary results show that this option/method has been fairly successful, as there has been no new graffiti on the arches of the structure. Infrastructure Services will evaluate the merits of this fencing, with the intent of using it on other structures.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**D4) 2004 Capital Budget
Project No. 1396-04
Land Development – Greaves Court
Willowgrove – Residential Development
(File No. CK. 4111-39)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve funding for the construction of sidewalks, road construction and street lighting in the City developed area of Willowgrove on Greaves Court, Capital Project No. 1396-04 for a total of \$349,000.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 46

The City of Saskatoon will begin the underground servicing of Greaves Court within the Willowgrove neighbourhood as approved in the 2004 Capital Budget this spring. The surface improvements were to begin in 2005. The City has experienced robust residential sales during the initial four months of this year that has resulted in a need to accelerate the land development program. The Infrastructure Services Department requests that the 2005 plan identified within the capital budget for this area including 49 lots along Greaves Court, be approved for construction in 2004. The area and legal description for this proposed property is shown on Attachment 1, and includes Lots 19 - 37, block 508, and Lots 3 - 32, block 509, all in Registered Plan No. 101749248. The cost details and sources of funding for this project are as follow:

| Description: | Project No. | Amount |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| <u>Gross Cost Details:</u> | | |
| Land Development – Willowgrove Subdivision | 1396-04 | <u>\$ 349,000</u> |
| <u>Financing Details:</u> | | |
| General Prepaid Services – Engineering | | \$ 322,000 |
| General Prepaid Services – Electrical – City Electrical | | <u>27,000</u> |
| Total | | <u>\$ 349,000</u> |

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan of Subdivision

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 47**

**D5) 2004 Capital Budget
Project No. 593-3
Land Development – Faithful Crescent
Hudson Bay Industrial – Industrial Development
(File No. CK. 4125-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve funding for the construction of curbing and road construction in the City developed area of Hudson Bay Industrial on Faithful Crescent, Capital Project No. 593-3 for a total of \$466,000.

ADOPTED.

The City of Saskatoon completed the underground servicing of the Faithful Crescent area in 2002. Servicing of the crescent with surface improvements has been planned for 2005 as indicated within the approved 2004 Capital Budget. The Land Branch has received expressions of interest for parcels of land on the crescent that back onto existing businesses along Miners Avenue. To meet the needs of expanding business, additional servicing is required to complete the Faithful Crescent roadway in 2004. The Infrastructure Services Department requests that the 2005 program be accelerated to 2004 and that additional funds be approved from the prepaid service reserves for sidewalks and road construction. The area and legal description for this proposed property is shown on Attachment 1, and includes Parcels 18 – 29, Block 863 and Parcels 7 – 12, Block 865, all in Registered Plan No. 84-S-41976. The cost details and sources of funding for this project are as follow:

| Description: | Project No. | Amount |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| <u>Gross Cost Details:</u> | | |
| Land Development – Hudson Bay Subdivision | 593-3 | <u>\$ 466,000</u> |
| <u>Financing Details:</u> | | |
| General Prepaid Services – Engineering | | <u>\$ 466,000</u> |

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan of Subdivision

**D6) Holiday Park Golf Course Underground Servicing Access
(File No. CK. 4135-2)**

RECOMMENDATION: that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute, on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal and in a form that is satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the agreement with respect to this facility crossing.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

In order to maintain water, storm and sanitary services to the Holiday Park Golf Course, a local municipal engineering consulting firm was commissioned to review the current conditions, make recommendations, and proceed to initiate corrective measures. (See Attachment 1). The recommendation was to re-serve the site from Fletcher Road, rather than from Avenue P, due to the capacity and grade of the proposed service.

In October 2003, the Infrastructure Services Department issued a tender to provide these services. Tenders were received with the low bid being recommended and accepted by the City of Saskatoon. Work is expected to proceed in early spring of 2004. Petro-Canada has sent a “crossing agreement” to the City of Saskatoon which identifies the protocol and liability regarding work within the Petro-Canada oil pipeline right of way. The Infrastructure Services Department was informed that all requests regarding “crossing agreements” must be referred to and approved by City Council, prior to the work proceeding.

REPORT

The corrective work to sustain utility services to the Holiday Park Golf Course will be done this spring. Crossing agreements between the City of Saskatoon and Petro-Canada have occurred previously (Spadina Landfill), and the City of Saskatoon and local Petro-Canada representatives have always cooperated with one another. This request is to authorize the City of Saskatoon to enter into a crossing agreement with Petro-Canada wherein the City agrees to accept legal responsibility for damage that might occur as a result of providing utility services through the Petro-Canada right of way. The City Solicitor’s Office has received and reviewed the crossing agreement.

JUSTIFICATION

Servicing from Fletcher Road is recommended due to existing capacity and grades.

OPTIONS

There are no other practical options of providing utility services to the Holiday Park Golf Course. Risks of damage to the Petro-Canada oil pipeline are minimal as all work is by hand within the noted right of way. All review and inspection will be provided by representatives from the Engineering Consulting firm, Infrastructure Services Department and Petro-Canada (Saskatoon office).

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The crossing agreement was prepared and sent from the Petro-Canada, Calgary Head Office, at no cost, to the City of Saskatoon.

COMMUNICATIONS PLAN

All parties are aware of the process when crossing a right of way.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Catterall & Wright Consulting Engineers correspondence dated June 11, 2003.
2. Partial site plan identifying the location of the pipeline within the Holiday Park lands.
3. Instructions For Use Facility Crossing Agreement

Section E – UTILITY SERVICES

E1) Communications to Council

**From: Meredith Walker
Date: November 1, 2003
Subject: Street Lights in Back Alleys
(File No. CK 6300-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the request for lane lighting behind 1605 7th Street East be denied.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

At its meeting on November 17, 2003, Council received a copy of an email to Council sent on November 1, 2003 from Meredith Walker. Ms Walker's enquiry was for Council's approval to install streetlights in the back alley behind her apartment at 1605 – 7th Street East. Ms Walker sited instances of vandalism, theft and fires occurring in the back lane and expressed a feeling of being unsafe in the parking lot of her apartment after dark.

This enquiry was forwarded to the City of Saskatoon – Utility Services Electric System for response. The Electric System asked Police Services to provide information and statistics on criminal occurrences and other warrants for public funding of lighting in this area as part of the process called Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CEPTED).

The report from Police Services was received on February 27, 2004. It indicated that there were seven complaints from 1605 7th Street East – three related to theft and damage to auto, and four to complaints of suspicious people hanging around the area, causing a disturbance or parking complaints. It also indicated that there were seven incidents of damage to and theft from/of autos from Jubilee Ford and IGA parking lots. It was uncertain if lighting was an issue in these incidents. The Police Services did not confirm that installing lane lighting would reduce the incidents of crime. The Electric System therefore recommends that the request for lane lighting be denied.

The Electric System installs street lighting, sidewalk lighting, and pathway lighting for public safety on these thoroughfares; but does not provide security lighting for private property. The owners of private property are responsible for installing lighting that would offer security for themselves or for their tenants/customers.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 51

The Electric System bases its decision to install lane lighting on the recommendation of Police Services or when Council has given special approval. The Electric System has installed lighting in back lanes in areas such as: one in the 200 block of Avenue M South behind the St. George Cathedral; one in the lane between 20th and 21st Street east of 1st Avenue South; and one in the lane south of 17th Street West, east of Avenue W South.

Each light costs approximately \$2000 to install and adds \$176 to the annual street lighting operating cost funded directly from the mill rate.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 or Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

E2) Communications to Council

From: Ben Reynard (March 2, 2004)

Date: March 11, 2004

Subject: Use and Operation of the Transit System Regarding People with Disabilities

(File No. CK. 7305-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

At the meeting of March 8, 2004, City Council considered a presentation with respect to concerns people with disabilities have using the Transit System. Council passed a motion that the matter be referred to the Administration for a report and to the Transit Strategic Plan Study Steering Committee for consideration.

BACKGROUND

Saskatoon Transit Operators receive standard driver training, wheelchair securement, sensitivity and customer service training as part of the 8-week training program that must be successfully completed. Operators requiring refresher training in customer service and/or wheelchair securement receive this by trained Supervisors.

Transit Services has in Operating Rules and Procedures in place, governing the actions of transit operators. All operators have received the procedural information on the steps to take when dealing with customers in wheelchairs. This information is also contained in the Saskatoon Transit Operators' Guide. These rules and procedures are not policy but serve as a tool to guide and support Transit Operators in providing professional transit service. The guide was developed through a joint process between Administration and the Amalgamated Transit Union Local 615.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 52

REPORT

Within the Transit Operator's Guide operators are expected to offer assistance to anyone when it appears that a customer needs help with boarding or exiting the bus. On Low Floor Buses, Operators should ask passengers in wheelchairs if assistance is required and should perform the following procedure if a passenger requires assistance:

- If necessary, ask passengers to move to another seat to accommodate a wheelchair.
- If a passenger refuses to give up their seat, politely inform the wheelchair passenger there is no room to accommodate them. Notify dispatch of the circumstances. In this case the passenger is advised that an accessible taxi will be sent to transport them at the same cost as a regular bus fare and a mobile supervisor may be sent to the location. This is the same process that is followed when a low floor bus is not available on a scheduled service or if the bus is full of passengers to the point where there is no room.
- Under no circumstances should the Operator move the bus without the wheelchair secured in the proper position.
- If necessary, assist the wheelchair in the proper location.
- If requested, assist by handing the lap belt to the passenger and letting the passenger cross over the lap belt in the proper position.
- Asking the passenger to assist may speed the procedure and help to keep the Operator on schedule.
- Loop the belts through the frame on both sides. Under no circumstances is the belt to be used to secure a wheelchair by its wheels.
- Passengers with mobility devices are allowed to have an attendant accompany them on the bus to assist them in positioning and securing the mobility device. (One fare covers both people)

Operators of Saskatoon Transit who have physical limitations may decline customer requests for assistance with a suitable explanation to the customer. It should be noted however that the level of assistance and attention conventional Transit Operators can give is often related to maintaining schedule adherence and ensuring transfer connections for other passengers.

Should any operator or Saskatoon Transit Services not meet the expectations of a customer, complaints can be filed with the Transit Customer Service Centre. All complaints received from customers are investigated and followed up by an Operations Supervisor, an approach Transit Services has found to be both effective and efficient. We are unaware that this complaint was filed with Transit Services prior to going to Council.

Mr. Ben Reynard has recently registered with the Special Needs Transportation Service. This door-to-door shared ride service may prove to be more suitable for this passenger than the conventional service. The Special Needs Transportation Service provides full securement done by the drivers for all passengers in wheelchairs or other mobility devices.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Moved by His Worship the Mayor,

THAT the meeting be extended beyond the hour of 11:00 p.m.

CARRIED.

Section F – CITY MANAGER

- F1) Concept Plan
South Downtown
(File No. CC. 4130-2-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 1.

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 7-2004

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

- B1) Proposed Two Hour Parking Restriction for Sturdy Stone Parking Lot
(File No. CK. 6120-2)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8298.

ADOPTED.

At City Council's meeting held on February 23, 2004, it was resolved:

- “1) that a “Two Hour, 09:00 to 18:00, Monday to Saturday” parking restriction be implemented for the Sturdy Stone Parking Lot, located at 122 3rd Avenue North;
- 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to amend Bylaw 7200, The Traffic Bylaw, to reflect the changes as outlined in this report; and

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 54

- 3) that City of Saskatoon permit holders be prohibited from parking on the lot.”

The City of Saskatoon and Saskatchewan Property Maintenance Corporation (“SPMC”) are currently parties to a lease agreement for the lease of the surface parking area at the Sturdy Stone Centre. SPMC has expressed concern with respect to the lack of short-term parking available on the Sturdy Stone lot, and has expressed its intention to terminate the Lease Agreement. Parking Services considers this lot to be a valuable part of the Central Business District parking supply and wants to maintain this relationship. SPMC has offered to continue leasing the Sturdy Stone lot to The City of Saskatoon under the conditions that the current nine hour parking restriction be reduced to a two hour maximum, and that City of Saskatoon permit holders be prohibited from parking in this lot. The implementation of these conditions necessitates a change in The Traffic Bylaw No. 7200.

Attached is Bylaw No. 8298 which reflects the necessary changes to The Traffic Bylaw No. 7200 in respect of Council’s first resolution respecting a two hour maximum. Bylaw 7200 currently prohibits a person from parking on a street for a longer time than allowed by signs. The amendment prohibits a person from parking in the Sturdy Stone Centre lot for a longer time than allowed by signs. The signs in the Sturdy Stone lot will reflect a two hour maximum. The signage in any other lot will remain as is unless and until Council advises otherwise.

Council’s second resolution respecting the restriction of parking privileges for City of Saskatoon permit holders will be handled administratively. City employees with parking permits will be notified that they are prohibited from parking in the Sturdy Stone Centre lot. Any employee parking in the lot will be ticketed.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8298.

**B2) Expansion - Riversdale Business Improvement District (BID)
Betker Livestock - 425 Avenue P South
(File No. CK. 4125-6)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw No. 8293.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 55

On February 9, 2004, City Council received a request from the Board of Management of the Riversdale Business Improvement District to enlarge the area of the district to include the property located at 425 Avenue P South.

The owner of the property is Layton Reese Livestock Equipment Sales Ltd. The president of the company is Mr. Fred Betker. The occupant of the property is Betker Livestock - a Division of United Farmers of Alberta Cooperative Ltd. Mr. Betker is also the General Manager of this business.

The request for inclusion in the District was originated by Mr. Betker. He strongly supports the work being done by the Riversdale BID and would like to be included in the District.

Our Office was instructed to take the appropriate action to enlarge the District to include this property.

In accordance with Council's instructions, our Office sent a notice of Council's intention to pass a bylaw to enlarge the District to the Riversdale BID itself, Betker Livestock - a Division of United Farmers of Alberta Cooperative Ltd. and Layton Reese Livestock Equipment Sales Ltd. The notices were sent by registered mail, and were received by the respective parties.

Attached is a copy of Bylaw No. 8293, The Riversdale Business Improvement Amendment Bylaw, 2004. The Bylaw enlarges the District to include the property at 425 Avenue P South. The Bylaw also updates various sections of the Bylaw to reflect changes brought about by the passage of *The Cities Act*.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

Proposed Bylaw No. 8293.

**B3) Enquiry - Councillor O. Fortosky (August 11, 2003)
Charging for Fire Protection Services
(File No. CK. 2500-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the City Solicitor put forward a proposed amendment to *The Cities Act* as outlined in this report; and

- 2) that the Administration report on the implications of the proposed amendment.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Councillor Fortosky made the following enquiry at the meeting of Council on August 11, 2003:

"Would the Administration please report on the possibility of Fire and Protective Services charging for service on owners of properties who have more than three fires on property owned by the same individual or corporation."

On October 6, 2003, the General Manager of Fire and Protective Services reported to Council that *The Cities Act* did not currently permit the setting of fees for specific individuals or corporations. Council resolved that the matter be referred to the Administration for a further report.

REPORT

In order to accomplish Councillor Fortosky's objective, an amendment to *The Cities Act* is required. We are currently starting the process for 2005 amendments. This involves the City Solicitors' meeting in April to put together a proposed list of amendments. This list is endorsed by SUMA and the City Mayors and Managers at their Spring meeting in May, 2004. It is then presented to the Department of Government Relations in early June, 2004. This time frame is for amendments which will actually be passed by the Provincial Legislature in Spring 2005.

With Council's approval, we will include an amendment regarding Fire and Protective Services' fees in the proposed amendments package. Our understanding is that the goal is to be able to charge a service fee for each callout of the Fire Department after three calls in a calendar year. Our understanding is that this is not three callouts per property, but rather three callouts per owner. In other words, if one corporation owned 10 properties, the corporation would be entitled to three "free" fire responses among all of the properties per year.

We are assuming that false alarms would not be included. We are also assuming that the "fee" for each callout could not exceed the actual cost of the callout.

Finally, we are assuming that we would also ask for an amendment to allow any unpaid service fees to be added to the taxes of any or all of the properties of that owner, rather than only to the taxes of the property to which the callout had been made.

We have not included actual draft wording as, at this stage, we just want to be clear as to what we are trying to accomplish (both with the City Mayors and Managers and with the Province).

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 57

If Council wishes to pursue this, we would also recommend that it receive a report from the Administration on the impact of the proposed amendment. Specifically, we would suggest that such a report should include, if possible, the number of multiple property owners in Saskatoon, the cost of an average callout and the effect of such a policy, if any, on the availability of affordable housing in Saskatoon. It may be that we will also need to talk to the Saskatoon Landlords Association.

In the meantime, a recommendation for an amendment can be put forward so that it is in “the pipeline”. It can always be adjusted, if necessary, later in 2004 as the amendments are not finalized until yearend.

OPTIONS

One option would be to ask for the right to charge a fee after the same property has had three fires in one year. This would be much less onerous than the current proposal which is three fires per owner (regardless of where the fire occurred).

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**B4) Development Services Branch 2004 Operating Budget
Cost Recovery for Subdivision Applications
Bylaw No. 8294
(File No. CK. 1720-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that Council consider Bylaw No. 8294.

ADOPTED.

City Council at its meeting held on February 23, 2004, resolved in part, that the Subdivision Bylaw No. 6537 be amended to revise the fee schedule for subdivision applications to establish an approval fee per lot of \$70.00 and to provide for the maximum approval fee for applications of \$2,800.00.

We enclose proposed Bylaw No. 8294, The Subdivision Amendment Bylaw, 2004, which amends the subdivision regulations in accordance with Council’s resolution.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

Proposed Bylaw No. 8294.

REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor O. Fortosky, Chair
Councillor T. Alm
Councillor D. L. Birkmaier
Councillor E. Hnatyshyn
Councillor M. Neault

**1. Purchase of 7.66 Acres of Land from Twin Dragon Holdings Ltd.
Proposed Melville Street Relocation and Clarence Avenue Road Widening
(File No. CK. 4020-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the purchase of 7.66 acres of un-serviced land from Twin Dragon Holdings Ltd. at a purchase price of \$340,000 plus applicable taxes with terms and conditions as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department;
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary purchase agreement and that His Worship, the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement; and
 - 3) that this land purchase be financed in part by a withdrawal from the Property Realized Reserve in the amount of \$322,736.34.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the recommendations outlined in the attached report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 22, 2004, with respect to the above matter.

**2. Hampton Village Neighbourhood Concept Plan
(File No. CK. 4131-1)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 33.

REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor, G. Penner, Chair
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Wyant

- 1. Communications to Council**
From: Carl Bunnin
Canadian Owners and Pilots Association
Date: November 17, 2003
Subject: Tax Rate on Private Aircraft Storage Hangars
(File No. CK. 1920-1)
-

RECOMMENDATION: that a sub-class for private aircraft hangars at the Saskatoon Airport be established which will provide a separate mill rate for these structures, as provided for by Section 254(1) of *The Cities Act*, and the Administration take the appropriate steps to establish this sub-class.

ADOPTED.

City Council considered a presentation made by Mr. Carl Bunnin, Canadian Owners and Pilots Association, requesting a review of the tax rate on private hangar storage space at the Saskatoon Airport at its meeting held on December 1, 2003, and the matter was referred to the Administration and Finance Committee. Your Committee met with Mr. Carl Bunnin and, later with both Mr. Bunnin and Mr. Drew Britz, Chief Financial Officer, Saskatoon Airport Authority, and subsequently requested that the Administration approach the Saskatchewan Assessment Management Agency (S.A.M.A.) to change the S.A.M.A. Manual.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 60

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department, dated March 7, 2004, (Attachment A), which indicates that there was no support by S.A.M.A. to make this change in classification for taxation purposes, and which provides three options for dealing with this matter.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with Mr. Carl Bunnin and Mr. Drew Britz and supports Option No. 2, which would create a sub-class for private aircraft storage hangars for taxation purposes. The Saskatoon Airport Authority has indicated that their approval for these private aircraft hangars at the Airport is very restricted in order that they do not compete with the commercial aircraft operations.

Your Committee believes that it makes sense to create a sub-class for taxation purposes for these private aircraft storage hangars because they are severely restricted in terms of having limited services and no opportunity to undertake commercial operations while providing some taxation and a location that contributes to the safety aspects of recreational aviation. This sub-class would reflect these hangars as private, non-commercial storage units with restricted services and operations that are in compliance with the Saskatoon Airport Authority standards. Also, without this service at the Airport, these hangars would be located at smaller land strips outside the City. It is the Committee's view that this would not only be inappropriate, but unsafe.

Attached, as background information, are copies of the following:

- Letter dated February 11, 2004, from the Saskatoon Airport Authority (Attachment B); and
- Presentation made by Carl Bunnin to Administration and Finance Committee on December 8, 2003 (Attachment C).

2. 2004 Property Tax Abatements
(File No. CK. 1965-1)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the abatement of taxes for 2004 be approved for all former applications, as outlined in Section C to L of the attached report;
 - 2) that the new application, from The Gathering Place of the Saskatoon Inner City, be denied; and
 - 3) that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8297.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 61

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Corporate Services Department, dated March 17, 2004, regarding property tax abatements for all former applications for 2004 prior to the changes proposed for the property tax abatement program to commence in 2005. Also attached is a copy of Bylaw No. 8297, which provides for these property tax abatements.

Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the Administration and supports the provision of tax abatements to all former applicants for 2004.

**3. Lease – Goldfin Office Space at Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre
(File No. CK. 613-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that a lease agreement between the City of Saskatoon and the Saskatoon Goldfin Swim Club for the use of the “gondola” area at Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre as office space be approved in accordance with the terms and conditions of the previous Agreement, for a three-year term from April 1, 2004, to March 31, 2007;
 - 2) that the rental payment rate for each of the years of the term be charged to the Saskatoon Goldfin Swim Club as follows:

| | | |
|----|------------|--------------|
| a) | Year One | \$2,436 |
| b) | Year Two | \$2,496 |
| c) | Year Three | \$2,544; and |
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate amending Agreement and that his Worship, the Mayor, and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated March 11, 2004, regarding the extension of a lease agreement for the Saskatoon Goldfin Swim Club to use of the “gondola” area at Harry Bailey Aquatic Centre as an office. Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the Administration and supports the proposal.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 62

4. Communications to Council

From: Adam Breker

Date: December 30, 2003

Subject: Request for Financial Support

(File No. CK. 1870-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

City Council considered the above-noted communication at its meeting held on January 5, 2004, and the matter was referred to the Administration to report to the Administration and Finance Committee.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department, dated March 17, 2004, in response to Council's referral, which contains a copy of Mr. Breker's communication referenced above. As will be noted, the Administration has responded direct to Mr. Adam Breker.

5. Grade Separation Levy

Land Bank Enquiry

(File No. CK. 4131-1)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that approval be granted, in principle, for the creation of an interchange levy to be included in the City's off-site land development charges; and
- 2) that upon consultation with the development community, the Administration report further on a policy and rate structure for the new interchange levy for implementation in 2005.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a copy of the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated March 4, 2004, in response to the following resolution passed by the Land Bank Committee on June 14, 2002, (and subsequently referred to the Administration and Finance Committee):

“that the Administration be requested to look at a Grade Separation Levy for all new developments, and the impact this would have on the cost of lots.”

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004

PAGE 63

Your Committee has reviewed this report with the Administration and recognizes that, although the policy and funding strategy for this proposed new levy still needs to be decided, there is substantial evidence in support of this levy for future interchange projects. Your Committee therefore supports this proposed new levy in principle, in order to allow the Administration to undertake further study, including meetings with the development community.

**6. Bylaw on Spitting, Urinating and Littering
(File No. CK. 185-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare a bylaw to provide for the issuance of tickets to deal with matters of spitting, urinating and littering in public places.

ADOPTED.

City Council considered a letter from Ms. Mary Kahanski with respect to the above-noted matter at its meeting held on September 8, 2003, and referred the matter to the Administration for a report to the Administration and Finance Committee. Attached are copies of the reports of the City Solicitor dated March 5, 2004, (Attachment A) and November 13, 2003, (Attachment B) outlining the City's options. Also attached is a copy of the original letter from Ms. Mary Kahanski received by City Council (Attachment C).

Your Committee has determined that it does not wish to create court action for these offences, however, there needs to be a way to deter them. The Committee believes the issuance of tickets for these offences will be the appropriate deterrent.

REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor T. Alm
Councillor D.L. Birkmaier
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor O. Fortosky
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor E. Hnatyshyn
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Business Development Incentive Application
GC Teleservices Canada Corporation
(File No. CK. 3500-13)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the application from GC Teleservices Canada Corporation for a five-year tax abatement on the incremental taxes on improvements to a proposed call centre project at 2610 Koyle Avenue be approved as follows:

50% in Year 1
40% in Year 2
30% in Year 3
20% in Year 4
10% in Year 5; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to bring forward the appropriate bylaw and agreement.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the attached tax incentive application from GC Teleservices Canada Corporation.

ADDENDUM TO REPORT NO. 6-2004 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor T. Alm
Councillor D.L. Birkmaier
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor O. Fortosky
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor E. Hnatyshyn
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor G. Wyant

**2. South Downtown - Budget
(File No. CK 4130-2)**

DEALT WITH EARLIER. SEE PAGE NO. 14.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

ENQUIRIES

**Councillor T. Alm
Speed Limit – Preston Avenue South
(File No. CK. 5300-1)**

On Saturday, April 3, 2004 at approximately 3:15 a.m., an automobile rollover occurred in the 2900 block of Preston Avenue. The speed of the vehicle contributed to the cause of the accident. Would the Administration please report on what speed reduction measures could be implemented on Preston Avenue between Arlington Avenue and Circle Drive South and at what cost.

Councillor T. Alm
Feasibility of Destruction of Crows' Nests by Fire and Protective Services
(File No. CK. 151-2)

Saskatoon's crow population is a carrier of West Nile Virus and a threat to the health of our human population. Would the Administration review and report what would be required to have the Fire Department destroy crows' nests where they have been identified as a nuisance.

Councillor B. Dubois
Signage – Forestry Farm Park and Zoo
(File No. CK. 4205-8)

Would the Administration please report back on whether there is sufficient signage in the City directing people to the Forestry Farm Park, for example: College Drive, Central Avenue and Circle Drive North.

Councillor G. Penner
Public/Private Consortium Construction of Freeways/Bridges
(File No. CK. 6000-1)

I heard recently of a public/private consortium in Edmonton that is constructing a new freeway/bridge around the city.

In light of our need for bridges and roadways, would the Administration please investigate the Edmonton situation to determine how the public/private partnership works and whether or not there is anything that could work in funding future Saskatoon projects.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- 7a) **Saskatoon Downtown Parking Study – Stantec Consulting Ltd.**
And
Proposal to Increase Discounted Penalty for Parking Tickets
Parking Enforcement Audit Report
And
Parking Permits
And
Provision of Parking for People with Disabilities
And Communications to Council
From: Larry Fogg, President
Saskatchewan Government Insurance
Date: July 16, 2002
Subject: Parking Program for People with Disabilities
(File No. CK. 6120-1)
-

Report of the City Clerk:

“Attached is an excerpt from the minutes of meeting of City Council dated March 22, 2004 at which time Council resolved that the matter be deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council.

Also attached is a letter dated March 23, 2004 from Alana Crozier.”

Moved by Councillor Birkmaier, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 8293

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8293, being “The Riversdale Business Improvement District Amendment Bylaw, 2004” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 68**

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8293 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8293.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8293 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8293 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 69**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8293 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 8294

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8294, being “The Subdivision Amendment Bylaw, 2004” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8294 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8294.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 70**

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8294 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8294 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8294 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 8297

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8297, being "The Tax Exemption Bylaw, 2004" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 71**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8297 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8297.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8297 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8297 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 72**

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8297 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Bylaw No. 8298

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Hnatyshyn,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8298, being “The Traffic Amendment Bylaw, 2004” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT Bylaw No. 8298 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Fortosky,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8298.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Penner in the Chair.

Committee arose.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2004
PAGE 73**

Councillor Penner, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8298 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8298 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8298 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a third time and passed.

Moved by Councillor Penner,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 11:40 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk