**MINUTES**

**CITY OF SASKATOON**

**BOARD OF REVISION**

 Date: June 12, 2017

 Location: Committee Room B

 Session: 1:00 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Dr. Asit Sarkar, Panel Chair

 Mr. Dave Putz, Board Member

 Dr. Colin Butler, Board Member

 Ms. Penny Walter, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The appellants were advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including appellants and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

1. **Appeal No. 186-2017**

**Civic Address: 1033 9th Street**

**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 120135349 and 120135350**

**Roll No. 1033 9th Street**

## Appearing for the Appellant

Ms. Nan Hua Xia and Long Ghiem

Appearing for the Respondent

Mr.Randy McKay, Senior Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Ms. Joann Baraneiki, Assessment Appraiser, Assessment and Taxation

Grounds and Issues

The grounds and issues for this appeal as identified in the Notice of Appeal (Exhibit A.1) are as follows:

“That the assessment is excessive given the condition of the home, and that a $200,000 increase in assessment cannot be justified. Under questioning, it arose that a discrepancy existed between the Assessor’s contention that the detached building was to be assessed as a detached garage, and the Appellant’s contention that the building had never been a garage. As this is a simplified appeal, the Board felt that this discrepancy could be included as a ground, despite its non-inclusion in Exhibit A.1.”

## Exhibits

Exhibit A.1: Notice of Appeal from Nan Hua Xia to the Board of Revision, received March 9, 2017

Exhibit R.1: Report titled “Single Family Market Area 5 Response,” submitted by the City Assessor, received June 1, 2017.

Exhibit C(R).1: CONFIDENTIAL report submitted by the City Assessor title “confidential Appeal Response,” received June 1, 2017.

Supplementary Notations

All giving testimony affirmed to tell the truth at the commencement of the hearings.

Conclusion

For the reasons given in the Record of Decision dated July 14, 2017 the appeal is adjusted and the filing fee is to be returned.

The hearings concluded at 1:42 p.m.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearings held on June 12, 2017.

 Penny Walter, Panel Clerk

Board of Revision