

Salon B, TCU Place
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
Monday, June 28, 2010
at 12:00 noon

**JOINT MEETING
SASKATOON CITY COUNCIL AND
THE COUNCIL OF THE R.M. OF CORMAN PARK**

**RE: ADOPTION OF SASKATOON PLANNING DISTRICT
OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN**

PRESENT: The City of Saskatoon

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison;
Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Lorje, Neault, Paulsen,
Penner, and Wyant
City Manager Totland;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
City Solicitor Dust;
Future Growth Manager Hartney;
City Clerk Mann;

The R.M. of Corman Park

Reeve Hobday;
Councillors Dyck, Germs, Janzen, J. Kasahoff, L. Kasahoff, Pilka,
Rempel, Riddell, Samson, Trask, and Ulrich
Administrator Knuttila;
Director of Planning Grismer;
Planning and Operations Manager Delainey

The meeting was co-chaired by Mayor Atchison and Reeve Hobday.

Mayor Atchison advised all present that this was a joint meeting of the two Councils to hold a public hearing with respect to the adoption of the Corman Park – Saskatoon Planning District Official Community Plan. He advised that each step in the process would have to be taken by each Council and outlined the process to be followed during the meeting.

**MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING
SASKATOON CITY COUNCIL AND
THE COUNCIL OF THE R.M. OF CORMAN PARK
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 2**

HEARING

**1a) Corman Park - Saskatoon Planning District Official Community Plan
Proposed Bylaw No. 8444
(File No.: CK. 4240-5; PL 4240-5)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8844.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8844 (A copy of the new Saskatoon District Official Community Plan can be viewed in the City Clerk’s Office or on the City’s website at www.saskatoon.ca - click on “C” for “Corman Park-Saskatoon Planning District”, then click on “What’s New”.);
- Clause A3, Administrative Report No. 9-2010, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 25, 2010, and attachments referred to therein including a report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 14, 2010, which summarizes the key new policies of the proposed new Corman Park-Saskatoon Planning District Official Community Plan; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of May 29 and June 5, 2010.”

City Clerk Mann distributed copies of a letter from Ray and Joan Spence dated June 2010.

Mayor Atchison and Reeve Hobday formally called to order the meeting of their respective Councils, and opened the hearing.

Councillor Lorje was unable to take part in any discussion and voting on the matter, since she was not present during the entire hearing.

Planning and Operations Manager Delainey and Future Growth Manager Hartney gave a presentation regarding the process that was followed in preparing the Official Community Plan and summarized its foundations and goals.

Earl Hill, NE 11-36-05 W3rd, posed several questions relating to the implications of the Official Community Plan upon his property.

Brian Henderson, Stensrud Road, asked several questions regarding possible future annexations.

**MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING
SASKATOON CITY COUNCIL AND
THE COUNCIL OF THE R.M. OF CORMAN PARK
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 3**

David Klippenstein, David Klippenstein & Associates Ltd., Planning and Development Consultants, spoke in favour of the proposed new Official Community Plan and urged both municipalities to move forward with a concept plan for the south area. Mr. Klippenstein provided a copy of his presentation to the R.M. and the City.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the hearing of the City of Saskatoon be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Germs,

THAT the information be received and the hearing of the R.M. of Corman Park be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Samson,

THAT the Corman Park Saskatoon Planning District Official Community Plan being Bylaw No. 22/10 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Germs,

THAT Bylaw No. 22/10 be now read a third time.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING
SASKATOON CITY COUNCIL AND
THE COUNCIL OF THE R.M. OF CORMAN PARK
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 4**

Moved by Councillor Janzen,

*THAT the Corman Park Saskatoon Planning District Zoning Bylaw being Bylaw No. 23/10
be now read a second time.*

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Trask,

THAT Bylaw No. 23/10 be now read a third time.

CARRIED.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw No. 8844

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8844, being “The Corman Park – Saskatoon Planning District Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2010” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8844 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

**MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING
SASKATOON CITY COUNCIL AND
THE COUNCIL OF THE R.M. OF CORMAN PARK
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 5**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8844.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

Committee arose.

His Worship the Mayor, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8844 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8844 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Bylaw No. 8844 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Reeve Hobday expressed appreciation to everyone involved in the process of preparing the Saskatoon District Planning Official Community Plan.

**MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING
SASKATOON CITY COUNCIL AND
THE COUNCIL OF THE R.M. OF CORMAN PARK
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 6**

Moved by Councillor J. Kasahoff,

THAT the meeting of the R.M. of Corman Park Council stand adjourned.

CARRIED

Moved by Councillor Clark,

THAT the meeting of the Council of the City of Saskatoon Council stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 12:55 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk

Council Chambers
City Hall, Saskatoon, Sask.
Monday, June 28, 2010
at 6:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor, in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Dubois, Heidt, Lorje, Neault,
Paulsen, Penner, Pringle, and Wyant;
City Manager Totland;
City Solicitor Dust;
General Manager, Corporate Services Bilanski;
General Manager, Community Services Gauthier;
General Manager, Fire and Protective Services Bentley;
General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek;
General Manager, Utility Services Jorgenson;
City Clerk Mann; and
Council Assistant Mitchener

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the minutes of meeting of City Council held on June 14, 2010, be approved.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the reports of the Administration and Committees.

CARRIED.

His Worship the Mayor appointed Councillor Clark as Chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

THAT while in Committee of the Whole, the following matters were considered and dealt with as stated:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 2**

“ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 11-2010

Section A – COMMUNITY SERVICES

**A1) Land Use Applications Received by the Community Services Department
For the Period Between June 3, 2010 to June 16, 2010
(For Information Only)
(Files CK. 4000-5, PL. 4132, PL. 4355-D, and PL. 4300)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

The following applications have been received and are being processed:

Condominium

- Application No. 4/10: 1505 and 1507 19th Street West (24 Units – New)
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Pleasant Hill Venture Corporation
Legal Description: Parcel D, Plan 101959094
Current Zoning: M2
Neighbourhood: Pleasant Hill
Date Received: June 3, 2010
- Application No. 5/10: 415 Hunter Road (123 Units – New)
Applicant: Larson Surveys for GDP Trillium Project Inc.
Legal Description: Parcel QQ, Plan 101961851
Current Zoning: RM3
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: June 8, 2010

Discretionary Use

- Application No. 9/10: 1024 College Drive (Type II Hostel)
Applicant: Brian MacKay
Legal Description: Lot 33, Block 14, Plan F5527
Current Zoning: M2
Proposed Use: Type II Hostel
Neighbourhood: Varsity View
Date Received: June 8, 2010

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 3

Subdivision

- Application No. 40/10 : Crimp Place and Fairmont Drive
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Quinton Matzner
Legal Description: Walkway W2, Plan No. 76S07281, and Lot 18, Block 845, Plan No. 76S14680, and Lots 50 to 51A, Block 845, Plan No. 76S29318

Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Fairhaven
Date Received: June 8, 2010

- Application No. 41/10: 3543 Fairlight Drive and 222 Cooper Crescent
Applicant: Webb Surveys for Stephen and Leahann McMorro
Legal Description: Walkway 54, Plan No. 76S07220, and Consolidation with Lots 25 and 147, Block 849, Plan 76S14681

Current Zoning: R2
Neighbourhood: Fairhaven
Date Received: June 11, 2010

- Application No. 42/10: Hunter Road/Rempel Lane/Ashworth Crescent/
Hartley Road
Applicant: Webster Surveys Ltd. for Dundee Realty Corporation
Legal Description: Part of Parcel J, Plan No. 101961851, and Part of Parcel H, Plan No. 101923477

Current Zoning: RMTN
Neighbourhood: Stonebridge
Date Received: June 16, 2010

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 4/10
2. Plan of Proposed Condominium No. 5/10
3. Plan of Proposed Discretionary Use No. D9/10
4. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 40/10
5. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 41/10
6. Plan of Proposed Subdivision No. 42/10

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 4**

**A2) Naming Report – “Stonebridge Street Names”
(Files CK. 6310-1 and PL. 4001-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The street name plan for the Stonebridge neighbourhood was provided to the Naming Advisory Committee Administrator from Dundee Realty Management Corporation (see Attachment 1).

Dundee Realty Management Corporation has requested that the name “Senick” be applied to three roadways in the Stonebridge neighbourhood. These roadways were initially part of the “Rempel” series of roadways. They are proposed to be separated from the “Rempel” series due to difficulties in applying appropriate street name suffixes to the numerous roadways.

John Rempel was a contractor with a long involvement in residential basement excavation and construction. The relation identified between Nick Senick and John Rempel is their connection to residential development. Nick Senick was a prominent residential sewer and water contractor in the 1930s and 1940s. In the past, naming in the Stonebridge neighbourhood has recognized former City of Saskatoon - elected officials, with some exceptions, one of which is the name “Rempel”. His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison has selected the Senick name, as requested by Dundee Realty Management Corporation.

According to City of Saskatoon Policy C09-008 (Naming of Civic Property and Development Areas), all requests for street names from the Names Master List will be selected by the Mayor. All of the names on the Names Master List have been previously screened by the Naming Advisory Committee and accepted by City Council.

His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison notified the Naming Advisory Committee Administrator of the name selected. The selection of His Worship Mayor Donald Atchison was forwarded to Dundee Realty Management Corporation, and the family has been notified.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Map of Stonebridge Street Names

Section B – CORPORATE SERVICES

B1) Corporate Inventory Status
(Files CK. 1290-1 and CS. 1290-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The Inventory and Disposal Services Section of the Corporate Information Services Branch, Corporate Services Department, is responsible for monitoring and reporting on the City of Saskatoon's inventory. The Inventory and Disposal Services Section has authority to prescribe corporate standards, criteria, and guidelines for inventory management in a decentralized stores environment. This decentralized approach allows departments to keep the materials they require while ensuring industry guidelines and generally accepted good inventory management practices, standards, and controls are followed. Attachment No. 1 shows the locations and inventory levels throughout the City. As shown in the chart, the majority of inventory value (78%) is held at Saskatoon Light and Power.

To analyze inventory held, the material is classified into groups that identify what will be used, what is kept for stock out insurance and what is slow moving and inactive. Attachment No. 2 shows the inventory breakdown by store. During 2009, corporate inventory and the percentage of slow moving/inactive inventory decreased. These decreases were primarily due to reduced inventory levels held at Saskatoon Light and Power. The following are the major factors that affected the inventory level at Saskatoon Light and Power:

1. Capital inventory held at Saskatoon Light and Power was used.
2. Critical spare and safety stock amounts were reviewed and adjusted.
3. Slow-moving and inactive stock was reviewed and used or written off.
4. The minimum and maximum inventory amounts reviewed and adjusted as required.

During 2009, the significant changes in overall corporate inventories are:

- Inventory held at year-end decreased 7% to \$7,432,226. Since 2007, year-end inventory has decreased \$962,070 or 11.5%. (See Attachment No. 3, Corporate Inventory Balances.)
- Material issues increased 4% to \$7,818,854.
- Inventory turnover increased from .94 to .95.
- Slow-moving/inactive inventory decreased 25% to \$3,401,656. Since 2007, slow-moving/inactive inventory has been reduced from \$5,326,930.

It is expected the slow-moving/inactive material will be reduced as the capital projects are completed, although it is recognized that there will always be some amount of this material due to

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 6

changes/delays of projects, and variances in breakdown and maintenance requirements. Inventory Management and Saskatoon Light and Power have implemented improvements that continue to gradually improve the overall inventory performance statistics and the level of inactive material. Your Administration will continue to identify and write-off material that is surplus to operations.

To manage the inventory kept at the decentralized department stores, the Inventory and Disposal Services Section annually reviews the Corporate Guidelines for Management of Inventory with each store. Each department's stores inventory practices are checked and documented. The Inventory and Disposal Services Section confirms that the Corporate Guidelines for Management of Inventory are being followed and any deviations, because of operational requirements, are covered by approved compensating procedures.

The team approach of working with all departmental stores to implement the inventory management improvements and ensuring that corporate guidelines are followed continues to improve inventory controls and improve efficiencies.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Corporate Inventory Levels
2. Corporate Inventory Indicators
3. Corporate Inventory Balances

B2) 2010 City of Saskatoon Operating Budget (Files CK. 1704-1 and CS. 1704-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Attached for City Council's information, is a copy of the 2010 City of Saskatoon Operating Budget. This budget reflects all changes made by the Budget Committee and City Council, with final approval at the April 26, 2010, City Council meeting.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 7**

ATTACHMENT

1. 2010 City of Saskatoon Operating Budget

Section C – FIRE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES

**C1) The Last Steamship
The Search for the S.S. City of Medicine Hat
(Files CK. 1870-1 and x CK. 710-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council approve a one-time commitment of \$7,500 for sponsorship of the final production of The Last Steamship documentary to Colourful Luggage Productions, to be funded from within Vote 11 – Financial Assistance to Community Groups.

BACKGROUND

In 2007, Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services' divers discovered the anchor from the S.S. City of Medicine Hat which sank 102 years ago. On June 8, 2008, a ceremony was held below the Traffic Bridge (River Landing) to unveil the anchor also commemorating the 100th Anniversary of the wreck.

REPORT

In the last Provincial Budget (March 2010) funding for the SCN Network was abolished, therefore, funding for The Last Steamship Documentary was terminated. The documentary was shot in 2008 over a one-week timeframe working with SFPS divers during regular search pattern training, which followed a winter of research to best locate additional artifacts.

Plans of the documentary producers are to hold the premiere screening outdoors at River Landing during the annual Fireworks Festival with Canadian historian and former Saskatonian Ted Barris.

Your Administration is recommending \$7,500.00 in funding to support this production. Appropriate recognition of the sponsorship would be provided by the producers.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that City Council approve a one-time commitment of \$7,500 for sponsorship of the final production of The Last Steamship documentation to Colourful Luggage Productions, to be funded from the Cash Grant Contingency account over two years.

Section E – INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

**E1) Capital Project 2044 – Gravel Street Upgrades
107th Street Improvements
Property Acquisition - South Portion of Block M, Plan 88S07750
156 – 107th Street
(Files CK. 4020-1, x CK. 6315-1 and IS. 6000-13)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the purchase of 10.87 metres of land located on the south portion of Block M, Plan 88S07750 (156-107th Street), as shown as Option 1 in attached Plan 240-0071-004r001, for a purchase price of \$60,300, be approved;
 - 2) that the cost of acquisition and any related expenses be charged to the Dedicated Roadway Reserve; and
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary Sale Agreement, based on the terms and conditions outlined in this report, for execution by the Mayor and City Clerk, under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Approved Capital Project 2044 – Gravel Street Upgrades, includes \$1,500,000 for improvements to 107th Street, from a gravel street to a paved street. During construction, it was determined that the acquisition of 10.87 metres of land, located at Block M, Plan 88S07750 (156-107th Street), as shown as Option 1 in attached Plan 240-0071-004r001, is required to properly upgrade this portion of the street. The property is currently owned by Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR).

The City's Property Agent has negotiated and signed an Offer to Purchase Agreement with CPR, subject to Council approval.

Significant terms and conditions of the Offer to Purchase Agreement are as follows:

Purchase Price

Purchase price for the property is \$60,300, with an initial deposit of \$6,030 within 10 days of acceptance of the Offer, and the balance on Closing.

Conditions Precedent

Approval of Saskatoon City Council by June 28, 2010.

Possession Date

Upon receiving necessary approvals by both parties.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 9**

Closing Date

The earliest date acceptable to both Buyer and Seller, subsequent to subdivision approval and registration of the subject Lands.

Legal Costs and Disbursements

Each party shall be responsible for its own legal costs.

Other Terms

The Buyer shall be responsible for all survey and subdivision costs, Land Titles disbursements in respect to the registration of the transfer of title from the Seller to the Buyer, save and except for the discharge of any encumbrances which is the responsibility of the Seller to discharge.

OPTIONS

Attached Plan 240-0071-004r001 shows two options which were considered. The Administration has determined that Option 1 is the only option which provides the land necessary for the improvement of this roadway.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The Administration is recommending that the acquisition of 10.87 metres of land located on the south portion of Block M, Plan 88S07750 (156-107th Street), in the amount of \$60,300, and any related expenses, be funded from the Dedicated Roadway Reserve.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan 240-0071-004r001

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 10**

**E2) Capital Project No. 1616 – Waste Water Collection
14th Street Sanitary Sewer River Crossing Repair
(Files CK. 7820-3 and IS. 7820-51)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

On June 4, 2009, a leak was discovered in the sewer pipe which crosses the river in line with 14th Street on the east side and the lift station adjacent to the boat launch at Kiwanis Park on the west. On June 6, 2009, a temporary bypass pumping and piping operation was put in place to redirect the sewage until the necessary repairs could be made.

At its meeting held on July 13, 2009, City Council considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, explaining that the timely design and repair of the sewer pipe involved several elements for which the City Manager and the Administration required the ability to hire contractors and procure materials on an expedited basis, and the ability to be part of the repair process, making incremental decisions as needed. Council approved the following recommendations:

- “1) that \$1,500,000 be transferred from the 2010 allocation of the Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Reserve to Capital Project 1616 – Waste Water Collection, to fund the repair of the 14th Street Sanitary Sewer River Crossing; and
- 2) that the City Manager be authorized to sole source the purchase of goods and services over \$100,000, to an aggregate total of \$1,500,000, required to facilitate the repair of the 14th Street Sanitary Sewer River Crossing.”

The repair was made to the line and the siphon was in full operation by the beginning of September, 2009. However, decisions which the Administration was required to make resulted in additional costs which were not originally budgeted for as follows:

- An increase to the length of time needed to divert the sanitary sewer to allow more time to assess the damage and engineer a solution resulted in an increased cost of \$200,000. This decision resulted in the Band Shell Sanitary Lift Station, located at the river’s edge in Kiwanis Memorial Park, being taken out of commission as it is no longer needed. The engineered solution allows for gravity to take all sanitary sewer from the east side to the west side interceptor without the use of electricity and pumps, thus removing significant operating costs.
- Upon inspection of the existing sanitary line, many holes were discovered, allowing river water to enter into the sanitary system. This was rectified by pulling a new line through the existing line. The cost to construct this solution resulted in an increased cost of

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 11

\$200,000. This decision resulted in a decrease to the overall load on the interceptor, lowering operating costs at the Wastewater Treatment Plant due to less sewage, in the form of river water, being treated.

The additional \$400,000 brought the total cost of repairs to \$1,900,000. Sufficient funds are available within Capital Project 1616 - Waste Water Collection to cover these additional costs.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E3) 2010 Capital Budget/Capital Project 1417
Blairmore Sanitary Sewer Lift Station and Force Main
Award of Engineering Services
(File No. CK. 7820-3)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal for engineering services submitted by AECOM for the detailed design of the Blairmore Sanitary Sewer Lift Station and Force Main, on a time and expense basis, at an estimated cost of \$867,926 (plus G.S.T.) be accepted; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary Engineering Services Agreement for execution by the Mayor and City Clerk under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

On May 14, 2010, Infrastructure Services issued a Request for Proposals for the detailed design of Capital Project 1417 - Blairmore Lift Station and Force Main. The proposed location of the lift station is north of 33rd Street and west of Hughes Drive.

This lift station will serve the new Kensington neighbourhood, the proposed Blairmore 2 neighbourhood, and the light industrial/commercial sectors adjacent to the airport. The lift station will also be used to reroute a large portion of sanitary sewage flow from eight existing neighbourhoods west of Circle Drive. It will deliver sewage to the existing sanitary trunk sewer in the Marquis Industrial area (near 66th Street and Millar Avenue) through a large diameter force main around or through the Airport Management area.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 12**

Proposals were received from the following five local consulting firms:

AECOM;
Associated Engineering;
Catteral and Wright;
Clifton Associates Ltd.; and
Stantec Consulting Ltd.

After a systematic evaluation of the proposals, the Administration rated the proposal from AECOM as being superior.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The proposal from AECOM was priced on a time and expense basis, at an estimated total cost of \$867,926.00 (plus G.S.T.). The estimated net cost to the City for the engineering services would be as follows:

Base Fees	\$ 867,926.00
G.S.T.	\$ 43,396.30
Total Fees	\$ 911,322.30
G.S.T. Rebate	\$ 43,396.30
Net Cost to City	<u>\$ 867,926.00</u>

There is sufficient funding in place within Capital Project 1417 - Blairmore Lift Station and Force Main to allow for the provision of the engineering services to proceed.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E4) Capital Project 0837 – Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements
Award of Contract
Greystone Lane Rehabilitation
(Files CK. 6315-1 and IS. 6000-4)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the proposal submitted by PSI Technologies Inc. for the Greystone Lane Rehabilitation, at a total estimated cost of \$137,256 (including G.S.T. and P.ST.) be accepted; and
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the contract documents, as prepared by the City Solicitor, under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 13

REPORT

Approved Capital Project 0837 – Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements, includes funding for the rehabilitation of approximately eight gravel lanes in the Greystone Heights neighbourhood which have poor drainage and are in significantly poor condition.

Request for Proposals including methods and prices on how to correct these issues and restore the lanes to a maintainable gravel surface closed on May 27, 2010. The work under this contract will include:

- Providing a design for gravel lane structural rehabilitation;
- Providing a design for gravel lane surface restoration;
- Structurally rehabilitating approximately 3,800 m² of gravel lanes;
- Restoring the surface on approximately 4,700 m² of gravel lanes; and
- Providing dust control on approximately 1,300 m² of gravel lanes.

Proposals were received from PSI Technologies Inc. and Acadia Construction Inc.

Each proposal was evaluated based on the following criteria and weighting factors:

- Project Cost – 45%
- Methodology - 27%
- Schedule – 15%
- Experience – 9%
- Presentation – 4%

PSI Technologies Inc. had the highest overall score based on the evaluation criteria and was the lowest in project costs. They also received slightly more points on their methodology.

The net cost to the City of Saskatoon for the proposal submitted by PSI Technologies to rehabilitate the Greystone lanes is estimated to be \$137,256.00 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.).

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The net cost to the City for the low bid submitted by PSI Technologies Inc., is as follows:

Base Quotation	\$130,730.00
G.S.T.	<u>\$6,536.00</u>
Total Contract Price	\$137,256.00
G.S.T. Rebate	<u>\$6,536.00</u>
Net cost to City	<u>\$130,730.00</u>

The budget estimate for this project was \$119,000; however, additional funding is available within Capital Project 0837 - Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 14**

The Canada-Saskatchewan Infrastructure Stimulus Fund will fund eligible expenses up to a maximum of \$39,667. A total of \$79,333 was previously allocated from Capital Project 0837 - Lane Rehabilitation and Drainage Improvements for this project. There are sufficient funds within Capital Project 0837 to fund the additional \$11,730.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**E5) Federal Building Canada Plan and Economic Action Plan
Funding for Projects – Approval No. 5
(Files CK. 1860-1 and CS. 1860-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve the capital projects identified in this report;
 - 2) that the Administration be authorized to enter into any required Contribution Agreements required to secure funding;
 - 3) that the City Manager and the City Clerk be authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal, all Contribution Agreements required to secure funding;
 - 4) that the City Manager be authorized to sole source purchase goods and services by extending current unit price contracts over \$100,000, as stated in this report, to an aggregate total of \$5,400,000; and
 - 5) that City Council authorize the affected Reserve temporarily be in a deficit position.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

The Federal Economic Action Plan is an economic stimulus package that provides significant infrastructure funding opportunities for municipalities across Canada. The funding allocation under the Infrastructure Stimulus Fund (ISF) was calculated on a per capita basis, with Saskatoon receiving a total of \$13.0 million. The focus of this fund is on rehabilitation of existing assets, with all approved projects being funded 67% from the municipality and 33% from the federal government. This funding split is applied to all eligible costs as defined by the federal government. The municipality is responsible to fund 100% of all ineligible costs.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 15

At its November 16, 2009, July 13, 2009 and June 22, 2009 meetings, City Council approved 41 projects that were to receive funding from the Infrastructure Stimulus Fund. A financial analysis of these projects is shown on Attachment 1. This analysis, which is based on both incurred and tendered costs, indicates that the funding split is estimated to be 71% City and 29% Federal, due mostly to the fact that the City must fund all ineligible costs. The spreadsheet on Attachment 1 shows that we are \$1.3 million over budget on our portion based on current estimates. Funding of this over expenditure will be reported further during 2011 Capital Budget deliberations. It also shows there is an unused balance of Federal ISF funds totalling nearly \$1.9 million. Currently, there are plans to expand some of the projects' eligible costs and lower the unused ISF balance to \$1,193,900.

In order to take advantage of the unused funding, the Administration has identified new replacement projects and has submitted two new applications to Infrastructure Canada. The new projects are:

1. ISF 15765 Water Main Renewal Phase Three totalling \$1,581,700 – This project will reduce the water distribution system infrastructure deficit by providing for the renewal of 1.8 kilometres of water main which is in poor condition; and
2. ISF 15770 Waste Water Collection Renewal Two totalling \$2,000,000 – This project targets critical collection mains in poor and fair condition providing for the renewal of 13.9 kilometres of gravity collection mains and 1.1 kilometres of metallic force main using cured in place lining technology.

Both of these projects are extensions of already approved ISF projects. The City currently has eight competitively tendered unit price contracts in place to undertake water and waste water renewal projects for a total ISF contract value of \$12.5 million. Under standard provisions of our unit price contracts, the City has the right to extend quantities. The value of additional work is determined by unit prices named in the contract (General Conditions, Section 00700, Clause 24). The value of contract extensions is typically restricted by Administrative Policy A02-027 – Corporate Purchasing Procedure to 25% of the contract value. The current unit price contracts represent all major local water and sewer contractors and three out of province contractors, two of which specialize in cured-in-place sewer main lining technology. The Administration estimates an additional \$5.4 million in contract value is required to replace the ineligible project costs.

In order to take advantage of favourable unit pricing and meet the March 31, 2011 completion deadlines, the Administration will need to single source other pieces of these projects by extending the current unit price contracts. Attachment 2 identifies current successful water and sewer contracts and contractors working on existing ISF projects, and the following proposed contract extensions:

- ISF 10081 – Contract 9-0065 – Water Distribution–Water Main Renewal – Hamm Construction - \$340,850;
- ISF 10081 – Contract 9-0096 – Water Distribution–Water Main Renewal – Sprague-

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 16

- Rosser - \$340,850;
- ISF 10081 – Contract 9-0100 – Water Distribution–Water Main Renewal - Trisan Construction–\$900,000;
- ISF 10372 – Contract 10-0027 – Waste Water Collection Rehab - \$1,000,000; and
- ISF 10372 – Contract 9-0106 – Waste Water Collection Rehab - Institutiform Technologies-\$1,000,000.

Of the eight contracts, Trisan is the only contractor with a unit price contract to provide cured-in-place water main lining technology. Trisan's current contract provides for the renewal of approximately four kilometres of water main. This technology represents approximately 40% reduction in water main renewal costs over conventional excavation technology. Trisan is currently in Saskatoon undertaking work on another ISF project which will be completed approximately mid-July. The objective would be to extend the contract before Trisan returns to Ontario. The Administration is recommending an extension of Trisan's contract by two kilometres to make up for ineligible funding under the current approved Project 10081 - Water Distribution Water Main Renewal; and an additional one kilometre under the new ISF submission 15765 - Water Main Renewal Phase Three, subject to approval of City Council and Infrastructure Canada to extend the current contract unit prices.

The remaining works will be assigned based on the contractor with the lowest unit cost for the same class of work and availability.

OPTIONS

City Council has the option of not utilizing the unused portion of the Federal Infrastructure Stimulus funding.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The City's portion of funding for these projects is from the Water and Sanitary Sewer Reserve. Approval of these projects also means approving the allocation from the Reserve. These projects are being advanced from future years which may place some Reserves in a deficit position, which contradicts the Reserve Capital Bylaw.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. ISF Capital Project Summary
2. Current ISF Contracts and Contractors

Section F – UTILITY SERVICES

**F1) Water and Wastewater Treatment Branch
Award of Sole Source Purchase for Water Meters
(Files CK. 1000-3 and WT. 1000-5)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the sole source purchase of 9,600 low lead water meters with advanced infrastructure capabilities, from Elster Metering, a Division of Elster Canadian Meter Co. Inc., over the next 3 years for \$530,640.00 including taxes, be approved; and
 - 2) that the Corporate Services Department, Purchasing Services Branch issue the appropriate blanket purchase order.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

The Water Meter Shop, Water and Wastewater Treatment Branch, is responsible for the supply and installation of water meters in residential, industrial and commercial developments. This includes meter installations in new developments and replacement of existing aging water meters that are possibly not registering as accurately as new meters. Funding for the supply of water meters is within the annual Operating Budget WTR–Water Meters.

REPORT

Water meters have a life expectancy of 20 years. As the water meters age they lose accuracy, consistently measuring less than actual water usage, resulting in reduced revenue for the utility. Through the Meter Replacement Program meters are replaced before they reach 20 years in age to ensure accurate meter reading. To date, 75% of the City’s water meters have been replaced using the Elster Meter brand.

Advancements in metering technology now provide a module for advanced metering infrastructure (AMI) capabilities. In these “Smart Meter” systems, the Utility has the potential for two way communication with the meters. The module can be fitted, with no modifications, to the Elster water meters that have been installed over the last 16 years. The module can then communicate through the electrical meter to a smart grid system.

There is a definite utility trend in Canada to implement advanced metering infrastructure systems. Provinces such as Ontario and British Columbia are well into the process. Several other provinces are expected to follow suit soon. If the City’s policy is to remain current with the technology and the future direction, the Water Meter Shop must install water meters that are AMI compatible.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 18

The AMI capability of the meters also permits customers and the utilities to access their consumption profiles. Such information can be used by customers to monitor their consumption and improve water conservation efficiencies. The information can also be used by the utility as an important part of an automated distributed system or “Smart Grid” where water consumption can be monitored to improve operational and design requirements. The overall benefit is that of improved customer service.

OPTIONS

Water meters could be purchased through the normal tendering process; however, to provide consistency with previously purchased meters and to ensure that the Water Meter Shop receives the most suitable water meters for present and future requirements, we are recommending acquisition of the Elster water meters through sole sourcing.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

As in the past, Elster has committed to a firm price for the duration of the three year blanket purchase order with an exchange (USD/CAD) clause to ensure both parties are protected from substantial swings in the exchange rate. For the same reason, Elster is also proposing a material exchange clause, based on the world index pricing for copper (London Metals Exchange). The Purchasing Department has experience with such clauses in the contract. Elster would like to use the material and exchange adjustment review every six (6) months from the date of contract renewal (Attachment 1). A breakdown of the costs is indicated below:

Low Lead Water Meters for 3 year contract	\$482,400.00
G.S.T. (5%)	24,120.00
P.S.T. (5%)	<u>24,120.00</u>
Total Meter Cost	\$530,640.00
Less G.S.T. Rebate	<u>(24,120.00)</u>
Net Cost to the City	\$506,520.00

Sufficient funding exists within the approved 2010 Operating Budget WTR–Water Meters for the purchase of water meters required in 2010. Sufficient funding in 2011 and 2012 will require a 5% funding increase in each of the subsequent years which is considered reasonable.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Elster – Currency Exchange and Material Variance Adjustment Formulas

F2) 2010 Capital Budget

Capital Project #2211-01 – Wastewater Treatment Plant

Electrical Redundancy/Standby Generation – Standby Generation

Contract No. 10-0273 – Electrical Standby Generation Equipment Purchase

Tender Approval

(Files CK. 7800-1, CK. 1702-1 and WWT. 7990-70)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the tender submitted by Cummins Western Canada for Contract No. 10-0273, Wastewater Treatment Plant Electrical Standby Generation Equipment Purchase, at a total cost of \$2,183,504.40 (including G.S.T.) be accepted;
 - 2) that funding within Capital Project #1236 – WWT – UV Disinfection System, in the amount of \$1,140,000 be transferred to Capital Project #2211-01 – WWT – Electrical Redundancy/Standby Generation – Standby Generation; and
 - 3) that Purchasing Services issue a Purchase Order to Cummins Western Canada for Wastewater Treatment Plant Electrical Standby Generation Equipment Purchase.

ADOPTED.

BACKGROUND

Capital Project #2211 - WWT – Electrical Redundancy/Standby Generation, provides funding to address the electrical service redundancy and standby generation requirements for the Wastewater Treatment Plant. Sub Project 01, Standby Generation, includes the supply and installation of diesel electric generators and the associated cabling and switchgear to enable the Wastewater Treatment Plant to fully function in the case of a total supply power failure. The project was funded in the amount of \$2,363,000 in the 2010 Capital Budget. In February 2010, a proposal for engineering services was awarded to Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Stantec). The original award and two subsequent scope increases bring the cost of engineering services to \$100,228.32. During the design phase, it was decided to tender the equipment and switchgear separately prior to tendering the installation work.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 20**

REPORT

Tenders for Contract No. 10-0273, Wastewater Treatment Plant Electrical Standby Generation Equipment Purchase were advertised and opened publicly on May 20, 2010. One tender was received and is listed below:

<u>Bidder</u>	<u>Total Tender Price</u>
Cummins Western Canada (Saskatoon, SK)	\$2,183,504.40

The consultant's pre-tender opinion of probable cost was \$2,195,000 not including G.S.T.

The net cost to the City for the bid, submitted by Cummins Western Canada is calculated as follows:

Generator Trailers	\$ 975,376.00
Generator Controls	135,000.00
600/4160V Substation	530,000.00
600V Emergency MCC	18,700.00
600V Transfer Switches	85,500.00
4160V Transfer Switches	165,000.00
Square D MCC Sections	8,065.00
Installation & Commissioning	<u>67,363.00</u>
Subtotal	\$1,985,004.00
P.S.T.	99,250.20
G.S.T.	<u>99,250.20</u>
Total Tender Price	\$2,183,504.40
G.S.T. Rebate to City	<u>(99,250.20)</u>
Net Cost to City	<u>\$2,084,254.20</u>

OPTIONS

The only option available is to not award to the single bidder, substantially rework the tender specifications, and retender the equipment supply. Not awarding at this time will postpone construction to 2011 and delay the ability of the Wastewater Treatment Plant to fully function in the case of a prolonged power outage.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Capital Project #2211 - WWT – Electrical Redundancy/Standby Generation, has \$2,363,000 of approved funding. Engineering consultant fees and the equipment supply tender total \$2,184,482. Stantec's Opinion of Probable Cost (OPC) for the installation tender is \$1,015,000 with a variance of -20% to +30%. The result is a project shortfall of between \$633,482 and \$1,140,518. The

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 21**

standby generation project is linked to three subsequent projects which will lead to the Wastewater Treatment Plant using the gas generated in the digesters to generate electricity. These are Project #1247 - Energy Recovery, budgeted at \$4,200,000 and \$5,775,000 in the 2012 and 2014 Capital Plans and Project #2211-02 - Electrical Redundancy, budgeted at \$5,250,000 in the 2013 Capital Plan. During the design phase of the equipment supply tender, specifications for the equipment were upgraded so that it could be re-used when moving forward to co-generation. Stantec determined the equipment upgrades contributed \$475,000 to the cost of the equipment tender. Stantec also noted that one of the two generating trailer packages will be used in the co-generation projects which will result in future savings, as smaller co-generation units will be specified.

Administration proposes using reallocation of \$1,140,000 from Capital Project #1236 – WWT – UV Disinfection System. This project is substantially complete and has \$2,385,000 of remaining funding. The \$1,245,000 remaining funding in Capital Project #1236 after reallocation is sufficient for any issues identified in the post commissioning phase and Administration expects the majority to be returned to source when the project is closed.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

Section G – CITY MANAGER

**G1) River Landing Destination Centre
Award of Professional Consultant Services
(Files CK. 4129-15 and CC. 4130-2)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the consultant team of Kuwabara Payne McKenna Blumberg Architects in association with Smith Carter Architects and Engineers Incorporated, be approved, for the design of the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan, an underground parking garage, and an expansion of the existing Persephone Theatre, for the upset limit for professional fees of \$5,486,241 (including G.S.T. and P.S.T.) be approved, subject to receiving a formal letter of approval in principle from Infrastructure Canada; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 22**

- 2) that the City Solicitor be instructed to prepare the necessary agreement for execution by His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk under the corporate seal.

ADOPTED.

REPORT

Capital Project #1788 – River Landing Destination Centre includes the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan, a public gathering space and winter haven for River Landing visitors; areas complementary to an art gallery; an underground parking garage; and an expansion of the existing Persephone Theatre. A two-stage, quality-based, selection process was developed which included an Expression of Interest/Request for Proposal terms of reference.

Analysis of the Proposals

The proposals were rated systematically according to five groups of criteria under the following headings: Design Team; Understanding of the Project; Proposed Approach; Experience; and Fee Proposal. Fifteen Expressions of Interest were received. Following analysis by the Architectural Design Selection Committee, five teams were invited to submit detailed proposals. One team withdrew for internal reasons.

The Request for Proposal (RFP) required the short-listed firms to provide written proposals and be subject to an interview by the Architectural Design Selection Committee. The Architectural Design Selection Committee (Attachment 1) interviewed the short-listed companies on May 20 and 21, 2010, and reviewed the written proposals on June 10, 2010.

The proposals were evaluated according to the following points rating for each criteria:

Proposed design team	25%
Proposed approach to the project	25%
Understanding of the project	20%
Application of related experience	20%
Fee proposal	10%
TOTAL	100%

Four teams submitted detailed proposals including the professional consulting fees. All fees proposed by the teams were within budget. The four teams included:

- Gibbs Gage Architects (Calgary)/Frederick Fisher and Partners Architects (Los Angeles)
- Kuwabara Payne McKenna Blumberg (KPMB) Architects (Toronto) in Association with Smith Carter Architects and Engineers Incorporated (Winnipeg)
- Number TEN Architectural Group (Winnipeg)/Klypak Rusick Architects (Saskatoon)/Diamond and Schmitt Architects Inc. (Toronto)

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 23

- Teeple Architects Inc. (Toronto)/P3 Architecture Ltd. (Regina and Saskatoon)

The Architectural Design Selection Committee systematically evaluated the proposals according to the rating criteria and unanimously selected the team of Kuwabara Payne McKenna Blumberg (KPMB) Architects in association with Smith Carter Architects and Engineers Incorporated. KPMB is a well respected Toronto firm with a diverse body of work. Among the art gallery projects it has designed are the Gardiner Museum of Ceramic Art and the Art Gallery of Hamilton. Smith Carter is a well established Winnipeg firm and is currently working as part of the team on the Canadian Museum of Human Rights. The two firms have successfully collaborated on previous projects including the new Canadian Embassy in Berlin and the Manitoba Hydro Place in Winnipeg. The key personnel assigned to the project all have gallery and museum experience. The team has strong engineering and specialist consultants.

KPMB is the design architect with Smith Carter undertaking the technical drawings. They intend to use local engineering firms for the construction period, selected in consultation with City Administration. The collaboration of the team will be further facilitated by developing the building using a shared BIM three-dimensional model. The proposal was well articulated and provided a thoughtful statement on their understanding of the project. The proposal described a collaborative approach based on appropriate consultation with input from all stakeholders and the community.

The Board of Trustees of the Mendel Art Gallery, at its meeting of June 10, 2010, unanimously endorsed the recommendation “That the Board of Trustees of the Mendel Art Gallery endorses the recommendations of the Architectural Design Selection Committee that Kuwabara Payne McKenna Blumberg Architects in association with Smith Carter Architects and Engineers Incorporated be engaged as the architect for the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan and Related Facilities and unreservedly supports this recommendation being made to City Council.”

Persephone Theatre’s Site Committee, at its meeting of June 14, 2010, endorsed the recommendation of the Architectural Design Selection Committee that Kuwabara Payne McKenna Blumberg Architects in association with Smith Carter Architects and Engineers Incorporated be engaged as the architect for the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan and Related Facilities. The Persephone Theatre Board of Directors at its meeting of June 21, 2010, approves the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan Selection Committee’s recommendation to appoint KPMB/Smith Carter as architect for the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan and Persephone Theatre’s expansion project.

Your Administration has identified an estimate for the design costs including specialists and disbursements for the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan and the underground parking and it is within the budget estimated.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 24**

The net cost to the City for consultant services by KPMB/Smith Carter is as follows:

Base Fee	\$3,985,000
Additional Fee for Parking Structure	\$ 290,000
Sub-Consultants	\$ 515,000
Expenses	<u>\$ 373,920</u>
Sub-total	\$5,163,920
P.S.T.	<u>\$ 64,125</u>
Total Fee to the City	\$5,228,045
G.S.T.	<u>\$ 258,196</u>
TOTAL FEE	<u>\$5,486,241</u>
Less G.S.T. Rebate	\$(258,196)
Net Cost to City	<u>\$5,228,045</u>

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The project has received conditional funding approval from the Government of Canada (\$13.02 million), and Government of Saskatchewan (\$13.02 million), under the Building Canada Fund Major Infrastructure Component. The functional program plan was submitted to Infrastructure Canada immediately following City Council approval of the document on April 26, 2010.

An Infrastructure Canada representative has been corresponding on a regular basis with the Administration regarding questions of follow-up as they analyze the project and Class "D" estimate of costs. All questions have been responded to diligently and in a timely manner. On June 10, 2010, an email was received from the Policy Section of Infrastructure Canada indicating they had completed their project review of the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan, and the review documentation was now going to the Project Approval Board. The Project Approval Board will complete its project review and if there are no further questions or changes, the project review will then proceed for signature to the Assistant Deputy Minister and the Deputy Minister, and then to the Minister of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities. Should the Minister provide approval in principle, the next step of the process will commence, which is the development of the Contribution Agreement. Your Administration is recommending that the professional consulting services contract be awarded, subject to receiving a letter of approval from Infrastructure Canada.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Architectural Design Selection Committee

**G2) 2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability:
How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity
(File No. CK. 3500-1, x CK. 116-1 and CC. 100-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council adopt the resolution proposed by the Saskatoon Combined Business Group requesting, “That the City of Saskatoon continue to work with the Saskatoon business community to maintain Saskatoon’s position as Canada’s Business Friendliest City over this City Council’s elected term between 2009 and 2012”; and
 - 2) that City Council endorse the “2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability: How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity”.

IT WAS RESOLVED: that consideration of the matter be deferred to the presentation of the speakers. See Page No. 100

LEGISLATIVE REPORT NO. 8-2010

Section B – OFFICE OF THE CITY SOLICITOR

**B1) Enquiry - Councillor B. Dubois (September 14, 2009)
Amendment - Animal Control Bylaw
(File No. CK. 151-15)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

At the meeting of City Council held on September 14, 2009, Councillor B. Dubois made the following enquiry:

“Would the Administration please investigate and report on the considerations associated with changing the Animal Control Bylaw (Bylaw 7860) to help prevent pet owners from allowing their pets to urinate on the privately held property of others.”

BACKGROUND

The City of Saskatoon Bylaw No. 7860, The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999 (the “Bylaw”) does not currently address the issue of pet urination. However, the Bylaw does have provisions dealing with picking up pet excrement. Section 13 deals with defecation on public property or private property other than that of the property of the dog or cat owner. It requires that owners

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 26

immediately remove their pet's defecation. Section 14 prohibits the accumulation of feces on the property of the owner to a degree that it causes a health hazard.

The Bylaw was drafted in this manner to reflect that animals regularly urinate and defecate out of doors. The Bylaw, therefore, seeks only to ensure that feces be picked up so as to alleviate the nuisance it may cause to the public. There is no practical way to pick up urine in the same manner.

We have reviewed the animal regulation bylaws of various municipalities across Canada. None have provisions prohibiting owners from allowing their pets to urinate on property other than that of the owner. Nor do they contain provisions requiring clean-up of urine.

Saskatoon Animal Control Agency (the "Agency") documents complaints received from members of the public. In 2009, there was one complaint to the Agency about animal urination on private property other than that of the owner. The complaint was that an owner allowed his dog to urinate in the other citizen's front yard. The dog owner subsequently complained that the citizen deposited noxious substances on the boulevard.

REPORT

It is our view that the Bylaw could be amended to prohibit owners allowing their pet to urinate on private property other than their own. However, there are some issues which arise out of such a prohibition.

Firstly, other than in older areas of the City, the proposed Bylaw amendment would not prohibit most pet urination along front yards as the portion of the yard next to the sidewalk is City boulevard.

Secondly, such a provision would be difficult to enforce. Private property owners would have to be able to establish that an animal deposited urine past their property line. In addition, private property owners would have to be willing to make a formal complaint, complete a witness statement and testify in court that urine was deposited on their property in order to prove the offence. It is not clear whether testimony that a dog lifted a leg or squatted would be sufficient to prove the offence if urine flow was not seen or urine marks in snow or dirt were not evident.

Enforcement of urination provisions would be similar to those for failure to immediately remove defecation. However, the requirement to remove defecation applies to both public and private property, other than that of the pet owner. Therefore, it is not necessary for a private property owner to establish the location of his or her property line. In addition, it is generally easier to establish that defecation has occurred.

The Agency keeps statistics on the number of Notices of Violation it issues respecting pet excrement. The Agency advises that in 2009 there were a total of four Notices of Violation issued for violation of Sections 13 and 14 of the Bylaw, and in 2008, a total of eight Notices of Violation were issued.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 27**

The Animal Services Coordinator has approved this report.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

**B2) Proposed Changes to *The Animal Control Bylaw No. 7860*
To Provide for Appropriate Exemptions for Police Service Animals
(File No. CK. 151-15)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Proposed Bylaw No. 8863.

ADOPTED.

At its meeting of December 14, 2009, City Council adopted Clause 4, Report No. 10-2009 of the Administration and Finance Committee which made the following recommendation:

“that the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an amendment to Bylaw No. 7860, *The Animal Control Bylaw, 1999*, to provide for the appropriate exemptions for Saskatoon Police Service animals while in training or active service, with the exception of licensing.”

The attached Bylaw provides the amendments requested by the Saskatoon Police Service K-9 Unit and endorsed by the Advisory Committee on Animal Control and the Administration and Finance Committee.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8863, The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 3)

**B3) Impounding Bylaw
(File No. CK. 5300-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: that City Council consider Bylaw No. 8864.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 28**

At its meeting held on July 13, 2009, City Council passed the following resolution:

- “1) that the City Solicitor be requested to draft a bylaw amendment which provides for the City to tow from commercial properties or those owned by school boards, churches or non-profit organizations, where the owner has entered into an agreement with the City regarding reimbursement and indemnification; ...”

Attached, please find Bylaw No. 8864 which amends The Impounding Bylaw as requested.

We apologize for the delay in bringing this amendment to City Council. We had put it aside, waiting for the backlog to be cleared up at the Impound Lot. When the Impound Lot was cleared out this year, we should have brought this amendment back immediately, but forgot to do so.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Proposed Bylaw No. 8864, The Impounding Amendment Bylaw, 2010

REPORT NO. 10-2010 OF THE PLANNING AND OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Wyant, Chair
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Pringle

1. **2010 Prepaid Service Rates (Direct and Offsite)**
(Files CK. 4216-1 and IS. 4216-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the 2010 Prepaid Service Rates, as set out in the attachments to the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 7, 2010, be approved.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 7, 2010, with respect to the 2010 Prepaid Service Rates for direct and offsite services.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 29**

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and is supporting the proposed rates for 2010.

**2. Reserve and Rate Sufficiency Review
(Files CK. 4216-1 and LS. 4216-1)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the Parks and Recreation Levy component rate for 2010 on residential lots be increased, as follows:

Neighbourhood	\$202.10
District	\$ 83.25
Multi-District	<u>\$ 20.65</u>
Total	\$306.00

2) that the Community Centre Reserve for 2010 on residential lots be increased, as follows:

Hampton Village	\$141.90
Stonebridge	\$ 91.75
Rosewood	\$119.80
Evergreen	\$146.20

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 7, 2010, with respect to proposed increases to the Parks and Recreation Levy component rate and the Community Centre Levy rate for 2010 on residential lots.

Your Committee has reviewed and supports the proposed increased as outlined in the above recommendations.

**3. Sale of Predesignated Land – 55 Borden Crescent
Buffalo Ridge Developments Inc. – Mortgage Flexibilities Support Program
(Files CK. 4215-1, x 750-4, PL. 951-78 and LA. 4217-39)**

RECOMMENDATION: 1) that the City of Saskatoon enter into a six month Option to Purchase Agreement with Buffalo Ridge Developments Inc. to sell Lot B, Block 180, Plan 82S03197 (55 Borden Crescent), for the purpose of constructing 27 affordable housing units according to the terms as set out in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 31, 2010;

- 2) that funding equal to 10 percent of the total project cost, estimated at \$459,000 for the construction of 27 affordable housing units by Buffalo Ridge Developments Inc. be approved under the City of Saskatoon Policy C09-002 (Innovative Housing Incentives);
- 3) that these 27 housing units be designated under the City of Saskatoon's Mortgage Flexibilities Support program, as defined in Section 3.8 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C09-002 (Innovative Housing Incentives), subject to approval by Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation or Genworth Financial Canada to provide mortgage flexibilities; and
- 4) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary documents to execute this Option to Purchase Agreement, and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 31, 2010, with respect to the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the report with the Administration and supports the above recommendations.

4. Official Community Plan and Zoning Bylaw Review
Sign Regulations Review: Electronic Message Centres – (Digital Signs)
(Files CK. 4350-13 and PL. 4005-12)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the report be forwarded to the Municipal Planning Commission for information; and
 - 2) the Administration be instructed to circulate the report to appropriate sign industry and business community stakeholders, and report back to City Council, through the Municipal Planning Commission, with possible City of Saskatoon Bylaw 8770 (Zoning Bylaw) amendments.

ADOPTED.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 31

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 7, 2010, introducing the issue of digital signs and proposing some regulatory amendments for further input from sign industry and business community stakeholders prior to consideration by City Council.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration. Your Committee has also received a presentation from a representative of Pattison Outdoor Advertising, copy attached, in support of the proposed bylaw changes as the basis of further review, with a proposal to proceed with a pilot project to convert two existing traditional billboards to Static Digital Billboards. This would provide further data and research information on their suggested model which would display 9 static ads in a one-minute time loop, providing for each ad to be displayed for 6 seconds with a transition between messages. Your Committee was advised that this is the standard used across Canada.

Your Committee was further advised that the Administration will work with Pattison Outdoor Advertising on a proposed pilot, including the appropriate loop time, particularly in terms of any potential traffic safety issues relating to those billboards located close to major arterial and high speed roadways, as discussed in the submitted report. The Administration will be proceeding with appropriate consultation prior to reporting to City Council, through the Municipal Planning Commission, on possible amendments to City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 8770. It is anticipated that the report will be brought forward later in 2011.

Following review of this matter, your Committee is supporting the above recommendations as outlined in the submitted report.

5. Zoning Bylaw Review
Home Based Businesses – Photography Studios
(Files CK. 4350-62 and PL. 4350-Z6/10)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 7, 2010, providing information in response to a referral from City Council on the above matter.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and is forwarding the report to City Council for information.

**6. Developer Funded Affordable Housing Tax Sponsorship Program
Innovative Residential Inc.
(Files CK. 750-4 and PL. 951-84)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council endorse the new Developer Funded Affordable Housing Tax Sponsorship Program for affordable homeownership at Camponi Place and 715 Hart Road; and
 - 2) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate agreement and that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk execute the agreement under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 8, 2010, with respect to the above proposal.

Your Committee has reviewed the proposal with the Administration and a representative of Innovative Residential Inc. and supports the above recommendations.

**7. Business License Program – 2009 Employment Profile
(Files CK. 300-1 and PL. 1702-8)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 3, 2010, submitting the 2009 Employment Profile Report.

Your Committee has reviewed the matter with the Administration and is forwarding the report to City Council for information.

Copies of the 2009 Employment Profile have already been provided to City Council members. A copy of the 2009 Employment Profile is available for review in the City Clerk's Office and will be on the City's website www.saskatoon.ca under the Community Services Department.

REPORT NO. 10-2010 OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor G. Penner, Chair
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor T. Paulsen

- 1. Water Treatment Plant
Long Term Capital Development and Expansion Plan
Peak Demand Management
(Files No. CK. 670-3 and WT-205-5)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

Attached is a report of the General Manager, Utility Services Department dated June 7, 2010, regarding the above. Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the Administration and is submitting the report to City Council for its information.

REPORT NO. 2-2010 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor B. Dubois, Chair
Councillor G. Wyant
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor M. Neault

- 1. Internal Audit Plan – 2009 – 2010
Garman, Weimer & Associates Ltd.
(File No. CK. 1600-3)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 34**

The mandate of the Audit Committee states that one of the functions of the Committee shall be approving the annual and long-term corporate audit plans for internal audits and recommending same to Council.

Attached is the Corporate Audit Plan, which outlines the approved audit plan for 2009 and 2010 as well as an additional 3 year plan that is provided as information at this time as part of the current Internal Audit Services Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and Garman, Weimer & Associates Ltd. This Corporate Audit Plan has been reviewed with the auditor and approved by the Committee. The report can be found on the City of Saskatoon website at www.saskatoon.ca, click on "A" for Audit and then to Audit Reports.

- 2. Summary Reports for Audit Programs**
- a) Water & Waste Water Treatment Branch Payroll System (File No. CK. 1600-9)**
 - b) Utility Billing System (File No. CK. 1600-8)**
 - c) Property Tax Billing System (File No. CK. 1600-8)**
 - d) Corporate Pension Payment System (File No. CK. 1600-3)**
 - e) Parking Ticket System (File No. CK. 1600-19)**
 - f) Leisure Services – Controls for Safeguarding Cash and Preventing Fraud (File No. CK. 1600-14)**
 - g) Land Branch Revenue Collection System (File No. CK. 1600-14)**
 - h) General Superannuation Plan – Pension Governance (File No. CK. 1600-1)**
 - i) Fleet Management – A Review of Alternative Business Models (File No. CK. 1600-9)**
 - j) Animal Services Program (File No. CK. 1600-1)**
 - k) Accounts Payable System (File No. CK. 1600-19)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

In follow-up to discussions between the former external auditor and Administration, a process was developed whereby the public would be informed of in camera audits that have been completed. The thinking at that time was to release a one page audit report for these audits, once all recommendations for the audit had been completed. Upon a recent review of this process, and in keeping with the goal of relevant and timely communication with the public regarding in camera audits, it was determined that the one-page audit report for in camera audits will be released once the Executive Committee has completed its review of the audit report. In order to comply with this new process, attached are one-page summary reports for all in camera audits that have been performed in 2008 and 2009.

Following this 'catch-up' process, all in camera audit report summary pages will be released to the public upon completion of final review of the Audit by the Executive Committee. These one-page summary reports for in camera audits will be posted on the City's web site under "A" for Audit,

once received by City Council. Also, it should be noted that all audit reports that have been reviewed at a public meeting and by City Council, are available on the web site as well.

REPORT NO. 4-2010 OF THE LAND BANK COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

Councillor M. Heidt, Chair
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor M. Neault

**1. Architectural Controls for Multiple-Unit Dwelling Districts
(File No. CK. 4131-1)**

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the new document titled “Architectural Controls for Multiple-unit Dwelling Districts” as the base document for all Land Branch multi-family architectural controls be approved, as set out in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 28, 2010; and
- 2) that the modified architectural review process and sales policy be approved, as outlined in the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 28, 2010.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated January 28, 2010 forwarding the new document titled “Architectural Controls for Multiple-unit Dwelling Districts”, (Attachment “A”). Your Committee reviewed this report at a meeting in February, at which time the Committee requested that the Administration obtain feedback from the industry on the proposed changes that will occur and report back. Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 31, 2010 in this regard, (Attachment “B”).

Your Committee has reviewed this matter with the Administration and supports the new document as the base document for all Land Branch multi-family architectural controls.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 36

2. Request to Sell City-Owned Property

Parcel A, Plan 101928405 (1303 Paton Crescent – Willowgrove)

Parcel B, Plan 101928405 (1550 Paton Crescent – Willowgrove)

Parcel C, Plan 101928405 (1555 Paton Crescent – Willowgrove)

Parcel B, Plan 102006425 (1703 Patrick Crescent – Willowgrove)

Parcel A, Block 979, Plan 101962010 (207 McCallum Way – Hampton Village)

Parcel 5, Block 961, Plan 101907659 (202 McKague Crescent – Hampton Village)

Parcel B, Plan 102000777 (315 Hampton Circle – Hampton Village)

(File No. CK. 4215-1)

RECOMMENDATION:

- 1) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to sell four parcels of land in the Willowgrove neighbourhood and three parcels of land in the Hampton Village neighbourhood to the highest bidders through a public tender process, with reserve bid prices as outlined in the attached report, plus applicable taxes;
- 2) that if the parcels are not sold through the tender process they be placed for sale over-the-counter on a first-come, first-served basis;
- 3) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute the necessary documentation to complete the sales by public tender; and
- 4) that the Land Branch Manager be authorized to administer development controls as a condition of sale in accordance with the criteria outlined in the attached report.

ADOPTED.

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 31, 2010 regarding a proposal to sell parcels of land in the Willowgrove and Hampton Village neighbourhoods.

Your Committee has reviewed this proposal with the Administration, and supports the sale of these properties, as outlined in the report.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 37

- 3. Proposed Re-Assignment of Long-Term Lease – Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 922, Plan 101932545 and Direct Sale of Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 922, Plan 101947372 in Marquis Industrial Phase 3 from Mid Canada Modular Inc. to Acklands Grainger Inc.
(File No. CK. 4225-1)**
-

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the joint request submitted by Mid Canada Modular Inc. and Acklands-Grainger Inc. to re-assign the current Long-Term Lease involving Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8, Block 922, Plan 101932545, between the City and Mid Canada Modular Inc. to Acklands-Grainger Inc. be approved subject to terms and conditions outlined in this report;
 - 2) that contingent upon the Long-Term Lease being re-assigned to Acklands Grainger Inc., Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 922, Plan 101947372, be sold to Acklands-Grainger Inc. subject to the terms and conditions outlined in this report; and
 - 3) that the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary agreements and that His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute these agreements under the Corporate Seal.

ADOPTED.

The following is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 2, 2010 with respect to the above matter:

BACKGROUND

In 2007 the Land Branch was approached by Mid Canada Modular Inc. requesting an approximate 12 acre site for the purpose of building a manufacturing facility for industrial trailers and for the assembly and re-sale of modular homes. After considering other sites and purchasing alternatives, it was agreed upon that a Long Term Lease with an Option to Purchase was the preferred option for Lots 5 to 12, Block 922, Plan 101932545, in the Marquis Industrial Phase 3 area, which fronts both Millar and Mitchelmore Avenues. A condition of exercising the Option to Purchase was the completion of a 40,000 square foot building for the purpose of manufacturing or assembling of modular structures. Following Council's approval Mid Canada Modular Inc. requested that the original eight lots to be leased be reduced to five lots. This occurred in June 2008.

Mid Canada Modular Inc. had been manufacturing industrial trailers and had been operating in Saskatoon for several years. Trailers constructed and sold were to be used in site camps on drilling rigs. Mid Canada Modular Inc had also moved into the sale of modular homes, with the site being proposed for lease also being used for the storage of the homes. Mid Canada Modular

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 38

Inc. had hoped to have an average between 75 - 80 employees when in full operation, and had expected to bring approximately \$18,000,000 in sales to the Saskatoon market for 2008. Construction had been expected to begin on the proposed facility on the four Millar Avenue lots in 2008.

In the spring of 2010, Mid Canada Modular Inc. vacated the site and relocated its modular home business to St. Denis. Discussions then commenced with Mid Canada Modular Inc., a representative of ICR Commercial Real Estate representing both Mid Canada Modular Inc. and Acklands-Grainger Inc. about the future of the site and whether Acklands could take over the Long Term Lease along with the purchase of three adjacent lots.

REPORT

Based on the written request recently received and submitted jointly by Mid Canada Modular Inc. and Acklands-Grainger Inc., your Administration recommends:

- 1) that the current lease be re-assigned to Acklands-Grainger Inc. subject to terms and conditions listed as follows:
 - a) All outstanding lease and property taxes are to be paid prior to execution of the Assignment Agreement; and
 - b) Prior to exercising the purchase option with the current Lease Agreement, Acklands-Grainger Inc. Inc. will provide the City written notification of their intent to exercise the Purchase Option, provide full payment of the purchase price amounting to \$2,086,989.00 plus GST for the five lots. The City will have a 90 day repurchase option at the original sale price less any costs associated with clearing all encumbrances and restoring the site to its original condition. The repurchase option will become exercisable should the required foundation not be completed by December 31, 2011. The repurchase option is to provide assurance of the successful completion of a foundation for a 100,000 square foot building for the purpose of providing a Distribution Centre and Head Office for Acklands-Grainger Inc.
- 2) that Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 922, Plan 101947372 be sold directly to Acklands-Grainger Inc. subject to the following terms and conditions:
 - a) the sale is conditional upon successful completion of the Assignment Agreement by all parties; and
 - b) payment of purchase price of \$ 1,368,500 plus applicable taxes.

OPTIONS

An option to the recommended action is to reject the request. This option is not recommended as the current Lessee has vacated the site and is in agreement that Acklands-Grainger Inc. Inc. now be re-assigned the lease.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 39**

The sale of Lots 10, 11 and 12, Block 922, Plan 101947372 to Acklands-Grainger Inc. Inc. complies with the City of Saskatoon's Sale of Serviced City-Owned Lands Policy (Policy No. C09-033). Section 3.2 a) and b) of this policy states as follows:

- “3.2 The Administration may pursue or entertain direct sale or long term leases under the City's Industrial Land Incentives Program of civic lands when one or more of the following conditions are present:
- a) A business interest is proposing a development for which a serviced site currently does not exist and which is of a configuration or size, which would not normally be for sale through a public tender.
 - b) A business interest is seeking a site, which is of a configuration, or size, which requires the combination of a number of adjacent sites or contiguous sites.”

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are no cost implications as land development and sales are self-supporting. Proceeds from the long terms lease payments would be deposited in the City's General Revenue Account. Proceeds from the sale of the property if the option to purchase is exercised would be deposited in the City's Property Realized Reserve and used to off-set current expenses in the development area.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Marquis Industrial Area – Site Location Map
 2. Millar Avenue & Mitchelmore Avenue 12.08 Acre Site Map

 4. **Land Bank Operations – Land Development
Annual Report for Year Ending December 31, 2009
(File No. CK. 430-4)**
-

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 40**

Attached is the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 31, 2010 containing the 2009 Annual Report on Land Bank Operations and Land Development.

Your Committee has reviewed this report with Administration, and wishes to acknowledge and support the work of the Land Branch with respect to Land Bank Operations and Land Development.

REPORT NO. 9-2010 OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Composition of Committee

His Worship Mayor D. Atchison, Chair
Councillor C. Clark
Councillor B. Dubois
Councillor M. Heidt
Councillor D. Hill
Councillor P. Lorje
Councillor M. Neault
Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor G. Penner
Councillor B. Pringle
Councillor G. Wyant

**1. Investigation Report –
Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner
Disclosure of Personal Information – City of Saskatoon
(File No. CK 416-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Province be requested to amend the *Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* as follows:
 - a) that there be a time limit for the Information and Privacy Commissioner to respond to privacy complaints; and
 - b) that reports and recommendations not be publicized or posted publicly until after a response has been received from the local authority or until the statutory period for response from the local authority has expired, and that any response submitted be posted with the report; and

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 41**

- 2) that a copy of this report together with the report of the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner be posted on the City's website.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Clerk, which is in response to Investigation Report LA-2010-001 of the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner, a copy of which is attached for Council's information (Attachment A). The City Clerk's report has been submitted to the Information and Privacy Commissioner as the City's formal response to his Investigation Report.

“BACKGROUND

On October 21, 2005 the City Clerk received a letter from the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner advising that an individual had alleged that a breach of privacy had occurred by the City of Saskatoon, in that the City had disclosed her personal information to Canada Customs and Revenue Agency (now Canada Revenue Agency and hereafter referred to as CRA for ease of reference) in contravention of Part IV (the protection of privacy section) of *The Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (LAFOIP)*.

The Commissioner advised that the complainant's allegations were that on or about June 7, 2005, CRA requested that the City provide the name and address of the individual that paid utilities at a specific address during the years 2002-2004. Shortly thereafter the City forwarded some of her personal information in response to CRA's request via facsimile. The complainant was concerned that the City disclosed more of her personal information than requested by CRA.

Upon investigation it was determined that the City Treasurer had had a longstanding arrangement (over 27 years) with CRA whereby it provided utility information when requested. For ease of administering requests, the practice had been that a printout of the utility history was made and faxed to CRA, and CRA was charged \$25.00 for each year of information requested. This is what happened in this instance – CRA asked only for the name and address of the individual that paid utilities at the address during those years, and was provided with the complete billing history, which included balances, payment dates and late payment charges.

The complainant, who happened to be an employee of the City Treasurer's Branch, discovered the disclosure of the billing history and questioned the City Treasurer as to whether the Treasurer's Office had the authority to disclose this type of information to CRA. The City Treasurer was in the process of reviewing the matter when the formal complaint was launched with the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner, and upon discussion with the City Clerk the City Treasurer immediately changed the

practice so that CRA would be provided only the information requested, and nothing more. The complainant was verbally advised of this change in practice.

CURRENT PRACTICE

This issue arose five years' ago. It has only been in the last decade that people and organizations have given the issue of privacy the attention it warrants. In the last five years, since this complaint, the City's attention to privacy issues has continued to evolve.

LAFOIP allows municipalities to disclose personal information to other levels of government and their agencies, Crown Corporations or government institutions, for the purpose of administering or enforcing any law or carrying out a lawful investigation. In order to ensure that we release information appropriately, in 2009 we implemented a disclosure form for other levels of government. The City will only release personal information to another level of government upon receipt of a completed form, signed by an authorized official of the body requesting the information. The form requires a description of the purpose for which the information is required and the legislative authority for its collection. A copy of the form is attached (Attachment B).

RESPONSE TO COMMISSIONER'S RECOMMENDATIONS

[72] I recommend that the City communicate to its employees its authority under section 28(2) of LA FOIP to disclose personal information to CRA. In doing so, the City should identify exactly which provisions in which tax or other laws give CRA authority to collect personal information of its clients. The City should also clarify specifically which types of personal information will be shared with CRA in what circumstances.

The City's goal is to protect the personal information of all individuals, not just those of employees. We will immediately include a statement on the Privacy page of the City's website, advising of the provisions of Section 28 of *LAFOIP* under which their personal information might be disclosed.

We believe that the Disclosure Form identified above satisfactorily addresses the issue of determining whether CRA or any other body has authority to collect the personal information of its clients and do not feel it is necessary or practical to identify the provisions in each the various pieces of legislation that authorize the collection of personal information that might be applicable to the City of Saskatoon.

[73] I recommend the City strengthen its policies to ensure that, each time a request is received from CRA, discretion is used on a case by case basis when disclosing personal information without consent pursuant to section 28(2) of LA FOIP.

We feel that the Disclosure Form mentioned above addresses this issue. Discretion is exercised on a case-by-case basis because each request must be accompanied by a form outlining the purpose to which the information will be used and the legislative authority, and all concerns or questions are referred to the City Clerk.

[74] I recommend the City ensure that employees responding to these requests understand and comply with the data minimization principle.

This has been done and will continue to be reinforced.

[75] I recommend the City make every reasonable effort to retrieve from CRA the Complainant's personal information that was provided without authority.

After all this time it is extremely unlikely that we will be able to retrieve the information, but an attempt will be made.

[76] I recommend the City inform the Complainant about its intentions regarding these recommendations as well as any outcomes.

A copy of this report will be forwarded to the Complainant.

[77] I recommend the City offer an apology to the Complainant.

A written apology will be provided to the Complainant.

RECOMMENDED AMENDMENTS TO LEGISLATION

As noted above, the complaint was made to the Saskatchewan Information and Privacy Commissioner in October, 2005. Our last correspondence with the Commissioner was in April, 2006. On April 30, 2010 we received an email saying that a report was imminent. On Friday, May 21, 2010, four and a half years after the complaint was filed, the City received the report of the Commissioner, and five days later, on Wednesday, May 26 (after a long weekend) the Commissioner posted the report on his website.

This report, issued in 2010 and containing recommendations that respond to actions that occurred in 2005, leaves the impression with citizens that the situation described still exists, and that is not the case and it is not fair to the City of Saskatoon to be portrayed that way.

It is recommended that the Province be requested to amend the *Local Authority Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* by implementing a time limit for the Information and Privacy Commissioner to respond to privacy complaints and clarifying

that posting or publicizing of reports and recommendations will not occur until after a response has been received from the local authority or until the statutory period for response from the local authority has expired, and that any response submitted be posted with the report.”

2. Farmers’ Market Lease and Operating Agreement
(File No. CK. 4129-22)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the Lease and Operating Agreement between the City of Saskatoon and Saskatoon Farmers’ Market Co-operative Ltd., be approved as identified in Attachment 1;
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the Agreement under corporate seal on behalf of the City of Saskatoon;
 - 3) that the City upgrade the mechanical system at the Farmers’ Market at an estimated of cost \$82,000 (subject to adjustment when actual costs are known) to be funded from the deposit forfeited to the City for Parcel “Y”; and
 - 4) that 50% of the cost to upgrade the mechanical system and 50% of the City’s cost of borrowing will be recovered from the Farmers’ Market additional rent to be paid over time.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Manager dated June 9, 2010:

“BACKGROUND

The Saskatoon Farmers’ Market Co-operative Limited (Farmers’ Market) leases a 13,561 square foot building in River Landing.

The initial Farmers’ Market Lease and Operating Agreement provided for a three-year term starting April 27, 2007, and ending April 27, 2010.

City Council at its meeting December 14, 2009, resolved:

- “1) that the Administration be authorized to negotiate a renewal of the Lease and Operating Agreement with the Saskatoon Farmers’ Market Co-operative Limited, for the Farmers’ Market building, subject to the parties

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 45**

agreeing on the new rent, taxes, contribution to reserve, and hours of operation; and,

- 2) that City Council review and approve the final agreement.”

The City and the Farmers’ Market Lease and Operating Agreement is on a month-to-month basis commencing May 1, 2010. Additional time was required to finalize the Agreement.

REPORT

Attachment 1 provides a copy of the Lease and Operating Agreement that your Administration and the Farmers’ Market both agree to. The notable changes are underlined in the document. An overview of the significant changes or points of clarification are as follows:

- 1) The terms of the Agreement shall be three (3) years commencing on May 1, 2010 and expiring on April 30, 2013.
- 2) Rent - The parties agree that the annual rent for the Farmers’ Market building shall be a sum equal to the repayment of 50% of the cost to upgrade the mechanical system plus the City’s cost of borrowing. All operating costs, utilities, property taxes, and a maintenance reserve contribution (considered an aspect of the rent) for the Farmers’ Market building are the responsibility of the Farmers’ Market. The maintenance reserve contribution in the amount of \$15,811.20 per annum, which is subject to adjustment on an annual basis to the current rate as identified by Suncorp Valuation Ltd. (being the equivalent of 1.2 % of the new replacement value of the Farmers Market building). Major capital repairs has been clarified to mean all service and utility lines serving the Farmers’ Market building, replacement of heating, ventilation and air conditioning equipment existing at the beginning of the term, and the total restoration of the interior and exterior finishes on the Farmers’ Market building.
- 3) Property Taxes – The parties acknowledge that the property taxes payable by the Farmers’ Market for the 2010 tax year shall be the sum of \$17,856.40, and that the property taxes in subsequent years are based on the current rate.
- 4) Operating Costs and Upgrades – The Farmers’ Market identified that temperatures in the building during the summer months frequently climb to 90 plus degrees and that there is no way to vent hot air from the ceiling. Your Administration concurs that an effective means of providing increased ventilation capacity in the building is required to maximize night time free-cooling and to also provide air flow throughout the building during the hours the building is open.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 46

Your Administration hired Genivar to analyse the existing system and equipment to determine capacity and operation; the desired interior space condition and specification of required new equipment; opinion of probable cost; and installation drawing for equipment location and controls.

The existing ventilation system at the Farmers' Market was designed to conform to a fairly tight budget and relied heavily on the ability of the Market to function with all doors open to the square to provide a sense of connection with the outdoors. The HV system consists of one modestly sized exhaust fan unit, a make-up air unit that provides fresh supply air with the space heat supplied by hanging, gas-fired, unit heaters and some radiant tube heat. This system does not allow for any function much beyond the minimum required to remove odours and supply breathing air. The space also has a large area of roof glazing that contributes to daytime heating of the space through solar gain.

Genivar has recommended installation of a balanced outside supply air system that consists of four supply fans, two exhaust fans and associated distribution duct for the supply air. Adding ample dedicated exhaust and supply allows for quicker, more effective free-cooling during periods when the exterior temperature is lower than the interior temperature.

Genivar has identified that the complete system will provide the best performance because the ducting and diffusers will move the air most efficiently to the occupied zones of the building. Genivar has also confirmed that the installation of any portion of this system should provide an improvement over existing conditions due to increased air changes and an increased ability to take advantage of free-cooling during the night.

Your Administration confirms that the City is obligated by Agreement to provide a building that provides a reasonable day time temperature. The Administration agrees that the day time temperature cooling issue is the result of an inadequate system as opposed to an operational issue. Unless the ventilation system is upgraded, day time cooling will continue to be an ongoing problem. The resolution of a cost share arrangement with the Farmers' Market for the capital cost of a new system is a reasonable approach to address the issue.

Your Administration is recommending that the City assume responsibility to arrange for the work to be performed and pay the cost of such work. The Farmers' Market has agreed to share the cost of the work with the City receiving payments for the work from additional rent to be paid over time, agreed upon based on cost.

- 5) Hours of Operation - The Farmers' Market is committed to ensure the premise is operating at least between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Tuesday to Friday and Saturday from 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 47

- 6) Animation of the Site - The Farmers' Market is committed to ensuring the building is being utilized to at or near capacity during the business hours as follow:
- The Farmers' Market shall conduct a market two times per week plus one evening market during the summer growing season, one of which must be on Saturday, excepting any statutory holiday.
 - The Farmers' Market shall make reasonable efforts to increase the number of subtenants, such that on days when there is not a market per se, patrons shall have a reasonable and varied selection of produce. For the information of the Committee as of June 1, 2010, the Farmers' Market has achieved filling all of the locations. The subtenants include: The Garlic Guru; Cava Cuisine; Saskatoon Sous Chef; Riverbend Plantation; Giuseppi; and Little Market Store.
 - The Farmers' Market shall work cooperatively with the City to take steps to ensure that the Farmers' Market building is occupied and open for business.
 - The City and the Farmers' Market shall establish an advisory committee to assist in the marketing and animation of the Farmers Market Building. The advisory committee is to assist the City and the Farmers' Market to define benchmarks for the operation of the Farmers' Market building and such benchmarks being mutually agreeable to the parties. The goal would be to market the building to potential permanent sub-tenants, and increase special events and rental opportunities during non-market days. Attachment 2 provides a list of activities that are scheduled at the Market in 2010.
- 7) Default and Remedies - Each year the Agreement will be reviewed against the benchmarks established to gauge progress in animation of the building. Failure to achieve the benchmarks set forth in section 8.03 is considered a breach and if the Farmers' Market fails to remedy within the period defined in the Agreement it constitutes a default.
- 8) There is a right of renewal at the end of the term, with the Farmers' Market giving notice of 180 days prior to expiration of the term. The renewal period is subject to negotiation of rent and length of term.
- 9) All other aspects of the Agreement remain typical of a triple-net commercial lease.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The opinion of probable construction costs provided by Genivar for the complete system is as follows:

SYSTEM	COST
Mechanical	\$50,000
Electrical	\$15,000
Controls	\$10,000
Miscellaneous	\$7,000
TOTAL	\$82,000

An approximate annual operating cost was calculated based on the system operating for 40 hours per week for four months each year at \$0.08/kwh. The annual operating cost would be approximately \$182.00.

Your Administration is proposing to fund the mechanical system at \$82,000 (subject to adjustment when actual costs are known) from the deposit forfeited to the City for Parcel "Y".

In order to determine the effectiveness of the proposed system, your Administration is recommending installation of the system in two phases beginning with the addition of two supply fans (one on each end of the building) and one roof mounted exhaust fan without the ducting. The Farmers' Market will monitor the conditions of the building and if this provides an improvement in the free-cooling air supply, the additional equipment and ducting can be installed as part of phase two. The cost of equipment and labour for the first phase is estimated at \$26,000 with the final phase of construction at \$56,000.

The Farmers' Market has agreed, as part of additional rent, to pay 50% of the upgrade for the mechanical system and 50% of the cost of borrowing at the City rate. The amortization of the payment may be over a ten-year period. The payment schedule will be finalized when the actual cost is known.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Lease and Operating Agreement Saskatoon Farmers' Market Co-operative Limited
2. Farmers' Market 2010 Scheduled Events dated May 1, 2010"

3. **Circle Drive South Project**
Additional Work – Circle Drive/Preston Avenue
(File No. CK. 6050-9 x1815-1)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange, as part of the Circle Drive South project, be approved at an estimated total cost of \$30,055,000;
 - 2) that the City revise the Design-Build Agreement with Graham Flatiron Joint Venture to include the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange at a cost of \$27,497,000 plus GST;
 - 3) that City Council approve funding of \$5,674,000 from the Interchange Reserve; and
 - 4) that City Council authorize to temporarily place the Interchange Reserve in a deficit position.

ADOPTED.

Your Committee has considered and supports the following report of the City Manager dated June 16, 2010:

“BACKGROUND

The construction of an interchange at Circle Drive/Preston Avenue has been planned since the initial construction of the south portion of Circle Drive in the late 1960s. A functional planning study completed in 1983, that examined various interchange configurations, recommended that a diamond interchange be constructed at this location when warranted by traffic conditions. At that time it was anticipated that the interchange would be warranted when development occurred south of Circle Drive.

Development of the Stonebridge area south of Circle Drive between Clarence Avenue and Highway 11 has resulted in increased traffic volumes at the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue intersection. Additional increases in traffic volumes at this location are expected as development in the Stonebridge area continues. The Preston Avenue/Circle Drive interchange is the Administration’s highest priority overpass project in Saskatoon.

Capital Project No. 2015 in the City’s 2011-2014 Capital Plan proposes the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange in 2014. Capital Project No. 1465 in the City’s 2010 Capital Budget has approved funding for the upgrading of Preston Avenue south of Circle Drive to Cornish Road to a four-lane divided arterial road standard at a cost of \$1,843,000.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 50

In March 2010, the City of Saskatoon approved the Design-Build (DB) Agreement between the City and Graham Flatiron Joint Venture (GFJV) for the construction of the Circle Drive South project at a cost of \$223,668,326 plus GST. The cost submitted by GFJV was substantially below the budgeted cost for the scope of work included in the DB Agreement.

The current projected total cost for the construction of the Circle Drive South project is \$265,000,000. The total project cost includes, in addition to the DB Agreement cost, costs for land acquisition; City engineering and project management; and City-supplied street lighting, traffic signal, and signing work. The current available funding is \$287,538,000, leaving an unallocated balance of \$22,538,000.

The Administration has investigated the possibility of utilizing the unallocated funding from the Circle Drive South project and the approved funding provided in Project No. 1465 for the completion of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange as part of the Circle Drive South project. The total available funding is \$24,381,000.

REPORT

The Administration is proposing to construct the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange such that it is completed and opened to traffic prior to, or in conjunction with, the September 30, 2012, opening of the Circle Drive South project. The goal of advancing the schedule of construction is to avoid having traffic on Circle Drive experience the delays and detours associated with two consecutive major roadway construction projects. Under the presently proposed schedule, area traffic would experience significant disruption due to the construction of the Circle Drive South project from now until September 2012, followed by additional disruption during 2014 and 2015 for the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange. Construction of both projects concurrently would reduce the overall disruption from five years to three years.

GFJV was awarded the Circle Drive South project through a competitive bidding process involving two other proponents. The price submitted by GFJV was very competitive, being 18% and 22% less than the other bids received, and 21% less than the City's estimate for the scope of work included in the DB Agreement. The Administration proposed to approach GFJV to determine if they would be agreeable to extending the competitive pricing received for the Circle Drive South project for the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange with the condition that the interchange would be completed and opened to traffic by September 30, 2012.

In April 2010, the Administration requested GFJV to provide a cost to complete the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange under the terms of the DB Agreement for the completion of the Circle Drive South project. GFJV, in a letter dated May 28, 2010, agreed to construct the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange under the terms of the DB Agreement for a cost of \$27,497,000 plus GST.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 51**

The construction of the interchange includes the following:

- Lowering and moving the alignment of Circle Drive to the south to provide proper grades and connections to existing Preston Avenue, north of Circle Drive. Circle Drive will have two lanes in each direction between Clarence Avenue and Preston Avenue, and three lanes in each direction between Preston Avenue and the Highway 11/16 cloverleaf. Provision for one future additional lane in each direction will be provided.
- Upgrading Preston Avenue to a four-lane divided arterial roadway standard from north of Circle Drive to Cornish Road. Preston Avenue will pass over Circle Drive.
- The interchange will be a “diamond” configuration similar to the Circle Drive/Clarence Avenue interchange. The two ramp intersections on Preston Avenue will be signalized.
- A 2.5 metre pathway along the east side of Preston Avenue, from north of Circle Drive to Cornish Road.
- Street lighting.

No additional sound attenuation is provided, as the sound walls will be constructed under other capital projects.

A plan of the proposed Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange is attached (Attachment 1).

Under the terms of the DB Agreement, the City is to provide the following:

- supply of all street lighting and traffic signal materials and equipment;
- installation of the above-ground portion of the street lighting and traffic signal systems; and
- supply and installation of signing, other than detour signing and guide signing.

It is recommended that a 5% contingency be included as a cost for the interchange. GFJV has provided a fixed lump sum cost, however, it is based on draining the east half of the interchange into an existing storm sewer on Preston Avenue north of Circle Drive. The Administration is currently determining if that storm sewer has the capacity to handle the additional loading. If it does not, then alternate arrangements for drainage of the interchange will have to be determined.

The total cost of constructing the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange, including all of the above items, is estimated at \$30,055,000.

OPTIONS

In addition to the option described above, the interchange could be constructed using the conventional design-bid-build (DBB) project delivery method. This involves the completion of detailed design drawings, preparation of tender documents and construction

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 52

specifications, tendering the project, and construction. For past projects, the City has acted as the general contractor. Roadway, drainage, and bridge construction are tendered with the remaining work such as street lighting, traffic signals, signing, detouring, and project management and administration completed by City forces.

It is possible that the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange could be completed by September 30, 2012, using the DBB project delivery method if work on the detailed design was begun immediately. Construction would occur in 2011 and 2012.

The cost of constructing the interchange under the DBB project delivery method is estimated at \$34,993,000. The increase in cost is due to a number of factors including contractor mobilization and higher unit prices due to a smaller project. Under the DBB method, the City assumes risks associated with poor or wet soil conditions (which exist at this location), utility relocations, and schedule.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

If the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange was completed as a stand-alone project, it would be delivered through the conventional DBB method. However, the Administration considers the awarding of the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange to GFJV as an extension of the current DB Agreement between the City and GFJV, albeit a significant one that requires City Council approval.

The City's portion of funding (\$5,674,000) is from the Interchange Reserve. Approval of this project means an allocation from this reserve. The Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange is being advanced from future years, and this will place the reserve in a deficit position. Therefore, City Council authorization is required.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The above information indicates that the cost of the construction of the Circle Drive/Preston Avenue interchange would be \$30,055,000 if added to the Circle Drive South project under the terms and conditions of the DB Agreement between the City and GFJV. The cost would be \$34,993,000 if delivered as a separate project using the traditional DBB project delivery method.

The above also shows that there is available funding of \$24,381,000 to finance the construction of the interchange. An unfunded balance of \$5,674,000 would exist if the project was added to the Circle Drive South project.

Within the City's current 2011-2014 Capital Plan – Project No. 2015, the interchange is to be funded by the Transportation Infrastructure Expansion Reserve. The Interchange Reserve is another potential funding source. The existing rates include a provision of \$5,800,000 that will be funded toward the Preston Avenue/Circle Drive interchange. This funding was not anticipated to occur until 2015; therefore, the City would have to finance

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 53**

the early payment of this project. The City is also applying to the Provincial and Federal Governments for additional funding under the Urban Highway Connector Program and/or the Building Canada Program.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice, pursuant to Section 3 of the City of Saskatoon Policy C01-021 (Public Notice Policy) is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. Plan of Circle Drive/Preston Avenue Interchange.”

**4. The Art Gallery of Saskatchewan Inc.
Board of Directors
(File No. CK. 175-27)**

RECOMMENDATION: that the City’s representative be instructed to vote the City’s proxy at the Organizational Meeting of the Art Gallery of Saskatchewan Inc. throughout a term expiring at the conclusion of the terms indicated:

To the end of the 2011 Annual General Meeting

Councillor T. Paulsen
Councillor C. Clark
Mr. Jason Aebig
Mr. Robert Christie
Ms. Carol Cisecki
Mr. John Hampton
Mr. Jack Hillson
Ms. Linda Langille
Dr. Alexander Sokalski
Mr. Dennis Yee

To the end of the 2012 Annual General Meeting

Ms. Herta Barron
Ms. Holly Kelleher
Mr. Art Knight
Ms. Keitha McClocklin

ADOPTED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 54**

The Art Gallery of Saskatchewan (AGS) was incorporated in 2009 and all members of City Council were appointed to serve as the initial interim directors. The AGS fund raising campaign is about to start, and in order to streamline the process and co-ordinate the activities of the AGS and the Mendel Art Gallery, it is recommended that the current trustees of the Mendel Board, as they are from time to time, be appointed as the Directors of the AGS Board.”

His Worship the Mayor assumed the Chair.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

PUBLIC ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

His Worship the Mayor advised that at a joint meeting of the Saskatoon City Council and the R.M. of Corman Park Council was held at noon on June 28, 2010, to adopt a new Saskatoon District Official Community Plan.

HEARINGS

- 7a) Discretionary Use Application
Bed and Breakfast Home
Lot 39, Block 405, Plan No. 95S26266
210 Laycoe Crescent – R1A Zoning District
Silverspring Neighbourhood
Applicant: Donna Haugen
(File No. CK. 4355-010-4)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider the above-noted discretionary use application.

The City Planner has advised that posters have been placed on site and letters sent to all adjacent property owners within 75 metres of the site.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated June 1, 2010 recommending that the application submitted by Donna Haugen requesting permission to use the property located at 210 Laycoe Crescent for the purpose of a bed and breakfast home with two guest bedrooms, be approved subject to the following

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 55**

conditions:

- 1) the bed and breakfast home containing a maximum of two guest bedrooms;
 - 2) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits (such as Building and Plumbing Permits) and licenses; and
 - 3) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application.
- Letter dated June 22, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Gary and Roxanne Haywood dated June 24, 2010, submitting comments and requesting to speak to the above matter.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Planning and Development Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the discretionary use application and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the discretionary use application.

Ms. Donna Haugen, proponent, provided a brief description of the bed and breakfast and asked for Council’s support.

Mr. Gary Haywood, resident near the proposed bed and breakfast location, expressed concern regarding a constant turnover of clientele at the bed and breakfast and the security issues it may present in a family-oriented neighbourhood.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Pringle, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 56**

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the application submitted by Donna Haugen requesting permission to use the property located at 210 Laycoe Crescent for the purpose of a bed and breakfast home with two guest bedrooms, be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1) the bed and breakfast home containing a maximum of two guest bedrooms;*
- 2) the applicant obtaining a Development Permit and all other relevant permits (such as Building and Plumbing Permits) and licenses; and*
- 3) the final plans submitted being substantially in accordance with the plans submitted in support of this Discretionary Use Application.*

CARRIED.

**7b) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment
Permit Taverns as a Discretionary Use in IB Zoning District
Applicant: 101102382 Saskatchewan Ltd.
Proposed Bylaw No. 8861
(File No. CK. 4350-010-2)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8861.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8861;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated May 10, 2010 recommending that the proposal to amend Section 11.4.4 of the City of Saskatoon Bylaw 8770 (Zoning Bylaw) to permit Taverns in an IB District as a discretionary use, be approved;
- Letter dated June 1, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Planning and Development Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw text amendment and expressed the Department's support.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 57**

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw text amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8861.

CARRIED.

- 7c) Proposed Rezoning from B5 District to B2 District
Lots 3 to 7, Block 6, Plan F5509 (ISC Parcels 118969150,
118969161, 118969172, 118969183, and 118969194)
606, 608, 610, 612 and 614 33rd Street West – Mayfair Neighbourhood
Applicant: L. Cannon
Proposed Bylaw No. 8849
(File No. CK. 4351-010-2)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8849.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8849;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 58**

- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated March 25, 2010 recommending that the proposal to rezone 606, 608, 610, 612, and 614 33rd Street West, From a B5 District to a B2 District, be approved;
- Letter dated May 26, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation;
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010; and
- Letter dated June 16, 2010 from John Kearley, Executive Vice-President, Wentworth Properties Limited, submitting comments.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Planning and Development Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. Lloyd Cannon, applicant, advised that it is difficult to finance properties located on a property that is zoned B5.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8849.

CARRIED.

- 7d) Proposed Rezoning from R1A to RMTN, RM3 and B1B and from FUD to R1A Blocks 1 to 3 and 9, Plan No. 101875394 and Part of Parcel BB, Plan 101875394, as shown on Plan of Proposed Subdivision – Rosewood Neighbourhood
Applicant: Boychuk Investments Ltd.
Proposed Bylaw No. 8847
(File No. CK. 4351-010-4)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8847.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8847;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 26, 2010 recommending that that proposal to rezone the following properties, be approved:
 - 1) Blocks 1 and 9, Plan No. 101875394 from an R1A District to an RMTN District;
 - 2) Block 2, Plan No. 101875394 from an R1A District to an RM3 District;
 - 3) Block 3, Plan No. 101875394 from an R1A District to a B1B District; and
 - 4) Part of Parcel BB, Plan 101875394 from FUD District to an R1A District, as shown on the Plan of Proposed Subdivision.
- Letter dated May 26, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Randy Grauer, Planning and Development Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 60**

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,
THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,
THAT the hearing be closed.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,
THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8847.*

CARRIED.

- 7e) Proposed Rezoning from R1A to RMTN
Proposed Parcels 21 and 23 as shown on Plan of Proposed Subdivision of
Part of N.E. ¼, Sec. 18, Twp. 36, Rge. 4, W3M and N.W. ¼, Sec. 17, Twp. 36,
Rge. 4, W3M dated February 27th, 2009 (revised June 8th, 2009)
Rosewood Gate North/Werschner Street - Rosewood Neighbourhood
Proposed Bylaw No. 8862
(File No. CK. 4351-010-7)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8862.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8862;
- Clause 1, Report No. 6-2010 of the Municipal Planning Commission, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on June 14, 2010; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 61**

Mr. Randy Grauer, Planning and Development Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Pringle, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8862.

CARRIED.

- 7f) Proposed New Commercial Zoning District – B5C – Riversdale Commercial
Riversdale Neighbourhood – Zoning Districts – B5, I1, and IH
Applicant: City of Saskatoon, Planning and Development Branch
Proposed Bylaw No. 8850
(File No. CK. 4350-010-1)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8850.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8850;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 62**

- Clause 1, Report No. 5-2010 of the Municipal Planning Commission, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 25, 2010;
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Randy Pshebylo, Executive Director, Riversdale Business Improvement District, dated June 25, 2010, submitting comments regarding the above matter.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Mr. Doug Ramage spoke in support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8850.

CARRIED.

**7g) Proposed Official Community Plan Text Amendments to Section 20.1.1.7
To Update the Summary of the Riversdale Neighbourhood and its Land Use Policies
Proposed Bylaw No. 8851
(File No. CK. 4000-13 x4351-010-3)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8851.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8851;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the Official Community Plan Bylaw No. 8769, Section 20.1.1.7, to update the summary of the Riversdale neighbourhood and its land use policies, be approved;
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 64**

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8851.

CARRIED.

- 7h) Proposed Official Community Plan Map Amendment
To Introduce a New Riversdale Land Use Policy Map and
Amend a Portion of the West Industrial Area Land Use Policy Map
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8852
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8852.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8852;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the Official Community Plan No. 8759, Riversdale and West Industrial Land Use Policy Maps, to re-designate properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood as indicated on Attachment 4 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 65**

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8852.

CARRIED.

- 7i) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Map Amendment
To Rezone Properties in the Riversdale Neighbourhood and
a Portion of the West Industrial Area
Riversdale and West Industrial Area Neighbourhoods
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8858
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8858.

Attached are copies of the following:

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 66**

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8858;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 to rezone properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood and West Industrial Area as indicated on Attachment 7 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Tom Miller, dated June 28, 2010, requesting permission to address City Council on this matter.

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Plan amendment.

Mr. Tom Miller, owner of five properties in the Riversdale/West Industrial area, asked if there could be a transition period for businesses to comply with the new zoning.

Mr. Wallace addressed the concerns of Mr. Miller.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8858.

CARRIED.

- 7j) Proposed Official Community Plan Map Amendment
To Introduce a New Riversdale Land Use Policy Map and
Amend a Portion of the West Industrial Area Land Use Policy Map
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8854
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8854.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8854;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the Official Community Plan No. 8759, Riversdale and West Industrial Land Use Policy Maps, to re-designate properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood as indicated on Attachment 4 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010 (See Attachment 7h).”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 68**

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8854.

CARRIED.

- 7k) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Map Amendment
To Rezone Properties in the Riversdale Neighbourhood and
a Portion of the West Industrial Area
Riversdale and West Industrial Area Neighbourhoods
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8857
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8857.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8857;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 to rezone properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood and West Industrial Area as indicated on

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 69**

- Attachment 7 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
 - Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010 (See Attachment 7i).”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8857.

CARRIED.

(Councillor Neault was not present during the hearing and therefore not able to vote.)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 70**

- 7l) Proposed Official Community Plan Map Amendment
To Introduce a New Riversdale Land Use Policy Map and
Amend a Portion of the West Industrial Area Land Use Policy Map
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8853
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8853.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8853;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the Official Community Plan No. 8759, Riversdale and West Industrial Land Use Policy Maps, to re-designate properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood as indicated on Attachment 4 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010 (See Attachment 7h).”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 71**

*Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Penner,
THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,
THAT the hearing be closed.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,
THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8853.*

CARRIED.

(Councillor Neault was not present during the hearing and therefore not able to vote.)

- 7m) Proposed Zoning Bylaw Map Amendment
To Rezone Properties in the Riversdale Neighbourhood and
a Portion of the West Industrial Area
Riversdale and West Industrial Area Neighbourhoods
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8856
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8856.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8856;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the City of Saskatoon Zoning Bylaw No. 8770 to rezone properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood and West Industrial Area as indicated on

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 72**

Attachment 7 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)

- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010 (See Attachment 7i).”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Zoning Bylaw amendment and expressed the Department’s support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission’s support of the proposed Zoning Bylaw Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the hearing be closed.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Penner, Seconded by Councillor Clark,

THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8856.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 73**

- 7n) Proposed Official Community Plan Map Amendment
To introduce a new Riversdale Land Use Policy Map and
Amend a portion of the West Industrial Area Land Use Policy Map
Applicant: Community Services Department
Proposed Bylaw No. 8855
(File No. CK. 4351-010-3 x4000-13)**
-

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The purpose of this hearing is to consider proposed Bylaw No. 8855.

Attached are copies of the following:

- Proposed Bylaw No. 8855;
- Report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010 recommending, in part, that the Official Community Plan No. 8759, Riversdale and West Industrial Land Use Policy Maps, to re-designate properties in the Riversdale neighbourhood as indicated on Attachment 4 of the report of the General Manager, Community Services Department dated April 12, 2010, be approved; (See Attachment 7g)
- Letter dated May 17, 2010 from the Secretary, Municipal Planning Commission advising that the Commission supports the above-noted recommendation; and (See Attachment 7g)
- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates of June 12 and 19, 2010 (See Attachment 7h).”

His Worship the Mayor opened the hearing.

Mr. Allan Wallace, Neighbourhood Section Planning Manager, Community Services Department, reviewed the proposed Official Community Plan amendment and expressed the Department's support.

Mr. Kurt Soucy, Chair, Municipal Planning Commission, expressed the Commission's support of the proposed Official Community Plan amendment.

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

*Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Clark,
THAT the submitted report and correspondence be received.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,
THAT the hearing be closed.*

CARRIED.

*Moved by Councillor Heidt, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,
THAT Council consider Bylaw No. 8855.*

CARRIED.

MATTERS REQUIRING PUBLIC NOTICE

**8a) River Landing - Parcel "A" – Memorandum of Sale
Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation (PEDCO)
(FILE NO: CK. 4129-5 and CC 4130-2)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the City Manager dated June 22, 2010:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that Parcel “A” be sold to the Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation for the purchase price of \$1,525,000, and on the terms and conditions as set out in this report;
 - 2) that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal the Memorandum of Sale as prepared by the City Solicitor; and
 - 3) that the Administration be authorized to submit to the Ministry of Environment, for approval, a “Corrective Action Plan” for the site remediation.

BACKGROUND

The Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation (PEDCO) is a non-profit entity formed for the purpose of building “ecovillages” in Saskatoon. As noted in their plan, an ecovillage is a mixed-use development allowing residents the opportunity “*to live more lightly on the earth*”. Their business plan outlined a 65-unit residential targeting LEED Platinum ecovillage (this is now estimated at 70 units), including approximately five affordable housing opportunities at River Landing Phase II. The \$24 million development incorporates affordable housing opportunities, commercial space, live-work opportunities, three-season gardening spaces, and public demonstration features. The PEDCO Board chose the parcel of land currently identified as Parcel “A”.

City Council at its meeting of December 14, 2009, resolved that PEDCO enter into a sale agreement for Parcel “A” in River Landing Phase II by no later than 5:00 p.m., Monday, May 31, 2010.

REPORT

Your Administration is recommending approval of the sale of Parcel “A” to Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation for the purchase price of \$1,525,000, and on the terms and conditions as set out in the attached proposed Memorandum of Sale.

1. Name of purchaser will be “Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation.”
2. The sale price of Parcel “A” is \$1,525,000.
3. A deposit amount of \$152,500 to be paid upon execution of the sale agreement (five working days following City Council approval). The deposit to become non-refundable upon fulfillment or waiver of the conditions precedent.
4. PEDCO to pay to the City environmental remediation and excavation costs currently estimated at \$298,000. The City will complete the remediation prior to the closing date. PEDCO retains the right to approve the costs prior to the City awarding the contract, and understands that the final cost of remediation will be added to the purchase price and will be due at the closing date. If the remediation is completed by the closing date, and PEDCO has approved the cost and scheduling, PEDCO will pay the cost of remediation regardless of the outcome of the sale at the time of closing.
5. Closing date and payment in full by no later than June 30, 2011 (at the request of PEDCO this provides a full year to complete financing approval and the foundation permit both of which require construction drawings).
6. Conditions precedent will be:
 - a. The condition date will be May 31, 2011.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 76

- b. PEDCO to obtain approvals under the DCD1 approval required under the *Planning and Development Act*, approval under the *Meewasin Valley Authority Act*, and approval under the South Downtown Local Area Design Plan by an agreed upon date (the “condition date”).
 - c. PEDCO to obtain approval of financing sufficient to complete the land purchase, servicing and first phase of construction.
 - d. PEDCO to obtain approval for a subdivision plan.
7. PEDCO will have the option to delay the closing date by a maximum of 90 days if they have submitted an application for subdivision by May 31, 2011. The extension will require that PEDCO pay the City’s carrying costs of the final closing balance at commercial terms for the extension period, which will be added onto the final sale price of the land.
 8. A Development plan will be submitted in a single application that provides design details for the entire Rivergreen Ecovillage project within 6 months of paying the deposit on the land.
 9. PEDCO will arrange for the subdivision of the land into subdivided parcels as per the City-approved development plan to facilitate phased construction.
 10. Construction Requirement - PEDCO will complete excavation and pilings of the first phase of development within 18 months of the closing date.
 11. Construction Requirement - PEDCO will complete excavation and pilings of all phases of the Development plan within 3 years of the closing date.
 12. Regarding the definition of “Development” 1.01 (F): “Development” means a mixed-use ecovillage development incorporating commercial and residential space, live-work and affordable housing opportunities and public demonstration features, constructed to a high standard of environmental performance, verified by third-party certification.

If the sale is approved, the land would be transferred to the corporation set up by PEDCO known as Rivergreen Ecovillage Inc.

The Administration has identified that in order to undertake the environmental remediation a “Corrective Action Plan” for the site remediation must be submitted to the Ministry of Environment for approval. Your Administration is seeking approval to proceed to submit a “Corrective Action Plan” to the Ministry. Once approval is received from the Ministry, your Administration will consult with PEDCO to determine the remediation process either through separate contract or as part of the phased construction of their project.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3(i) of the City of Saskatoon's Public Notice Policy No. C01-021, which requires that Public Notice be given when land is sold or leased for less than fair market value and without a public offering. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in *The StarPhoenix* Saturday, June 19, 2010 and Saturday, June 26, 2010
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on June 18, 2010
- Posted on City of Saskatoon Website on June 18, 2010'

Attached are copies of the following:

- Notice that appeared in the local press under dates June 19 and 26, 2010; and
- Letter from Paul Hanley dated June 15, 2010 requesting permission to address City Council regarding the above matter."

City Manager Totland presented his report.

Mr. Paul Hanley, Board Member, PEDCO, thanked City Council for its past support and asked for approval of the sale of Parcel "A" to the Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

- 1) *that Parcel "A" be sold to the Prairie Ecovillage Development Corporation for the purchase price of \$1,525,000, and on the terms and conditions as set out in this report;*
- 2) *that His Worship the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Saskatoon under the Corporate Seal the Memorandum of Sale as prepared by the City Solicitor; and*
- 3) *that the Administration be authorized to submit to the Ministry of Environment, for approval, a "Corrective Action Plan" for the site remediation.*

CARRIED.

8b) Proposed Permanent Traffic Restriction
Saskatchewan Crescent Off-ramp – East End of University Bridge
(File No. CK. 6295-1 and IS. 6295-1)

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 16, 2010:

RECOMMENDATION: that eastbound traffic on the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp at the east end of the University Bridge be permanently restricted, as outlined in Plan No. 240-0046-005r001 (Attachment 1), in order to improve pedestrian access to the University Bridge.

REPORT

The Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp at the east end of the University Bridge has been temporarily restricted since 2008 for construction of a shear key to stop the riverbank slope failure in the area. Construction of the shear key is complete; however, the off-ramp has remained closed to allow for restoration work being done in Cosmopolitan Park, just southeast of the University Bridge.

Permanent removal of the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp is being proposed in order to allow the area to be redesigned for improved access to the bridge for pedestrians and cyclists. The removal of the ramp will eliminate an awkward pedestrian crossing the previously had restricted sight lines.

The Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp is classified as a local roadway. The main traffic function of local roadways is to provide access within residential areas and they do not play a significant role in major traffic movement, therefore, permanent removal of the off-ramp would not result in commuting delays or traffic congestion. Vehicle access into residential areas along Saskatchewan Crescent would remain available via Clarence Avenue and University Drive.

In order to monitor the impact of the proposed closure, a traffic volume and speed study was recently completed to determine the existing traffic conditions along University Drive. University Drive is classified as a local street with a speed limit of 50 kph and is designed to carry average daily traffic (ADT) volumes of approximately 1,000 vehicles per day (vpd). The study showed that the ADT along University Drive was approximately 1,000 vpd. The previous traffic count conducted along University Drive occurred in 2001, prior to the temporary restriction of the off-ramp, which indicated an ADT of approximately 1,400 vpd. This indicates that there has been a decrease in traffic over the nine year period. No other traffic count data is available to indicate the direct effect that the temporary restriction has had on traffic volumes.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 79

While traffic volumes may have declined over the past decade, the temporary restriction of the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp would have caused a relocation of trips for local residents from Saskatchewan Crescent to University Drive, therefore, should the off-ramp restriction be made permanent, further traffic increases on University Drive are not expected.

A speed study was also conducted from June 1 to 7, 2010. The 85th percentile speed (the speed at which 85 percent of the vehicles are travelling at or below) was 45 kph. This is slower than what is often measured on local streets.

While acknowledging the desire to minimize traffic on University Drive, traffic characteristics with the temporary restriction currently in effect are reasonable for a local street. The permanent removal of the off-ramp from University Bridge to Saskatchewan Crescent will not change these traffic characteristics. There is general support for permanent removal of the off-ramp, and no concerns.

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

A sample survey of residents in the immediate vicinity and considered to be affected by the proposed restriction was conducted to determine the level of support. A letter dated May 14, 2010 (Attachment 2) was sent to 38 residents on the 900 blocks of Saskatchewan Crescent and University Drive. As of June 6, 2010, 9 responded in favour; 3 opposed the proposal; and 26 did not respond. In addition, comments were received from five residents outside the survey area indicating they were not in favour of the proposed permanent removal of the off-ramp. This survey was not intended as a comprehensive public consultation, but rather to get an indication of the types of concerns that may exist.

Residents in favour of the proposed off-ramp removal indicated the opportunity to increase park usage and decrease traffic along Saskatchewan Crescent. Some residents also indicated that permanently closing the off-ramp would increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists who access the University Bridge.

Residents who opposed the proposed off-ramp removal indicated that traffic volumes and speed have increased along University Drive since the temporary restriction of the off-ramp, creating a less safe environment for pedestrians and cyclists. Residents were also concerned that traffic increases would further deteriorate the pavement condition along University Drive, indicating that traffic calming should be considered.

Pavement resurfacing and median curb reconstruction is scheduled for University Drive in 2010.

OPTIONS

To restore the Saskatchewan Crescent off-ramp would require an almost entire rebuild at an estimated cost of \$60,000. Funding is available within the Slope Remediation budget. This option is not being recommended as it will not improve the pedestrian and cyclist access to the University Bridge.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no financial impact.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The StarPhoenix on the weekends of June 19 and 26, 2010;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, June 18, 2010;
- Posted on City of Saskatoon website on Friday, June 18, 2010; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, June 17, 2010.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan No. 240-0046-005r001;
2. Survey letter to residents dated May 14, 2010;
3. Copy of Public Notice.’

Also attached is a letter from Earl Eidem dated June 19, 2010 submitting comments regarding the above matter.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *Ken Howland, dated June 3, 2010, submitting comments;*
- *Patrick Wolfe, dated June 25, 2010, requesting permission to address Council;*
- *Murray Taylor, dated June 28, 2010, submitting comments;*
- *Henrike and Huw Rees, dated June 28, 2010, requesting permission to address Council;*
- *John Hyshka, dated June 28, 2010, requesting permission to address Council;*
- *Evatt Merchant, dated June 22, 2010 submitting comments;*
- *Shaunt Parthev, dated June 28, 2010, submitting comments;*

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 81**

- *Cynthia Block, dated June 28, 2010, submitting comments; and*
- *Peggy Sarjeant, dated June 28, 2010, submitting comments.*

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

Mr. John Hyshka, resident on University Drive, spoke against the proposed closure of the off-ramp and reduced median indicating that traffic flow would increase on University Drive and 18th Street.

Henrike and Huw Rees, residents on University Drive, spoke against the proposed closure of the off-ramp and reduced median indicating that traffic flow and the safety of pedestrians would be negatively affected.

Ms. Cynthia Block, resident on University Drive, spoke against the proposed closure of the off-ramp indicating that traffic flow would increase on University Drive and 18th Street.

Mr. Patrick Wolfe, resident on University Drive, spoke against the proposed closure of the off-ramp.

Mr. Michael Atkinson, resident on University Drive, spoke against the proposed closure of the off-ramp.

Ms. Peggy Sargeant spoke against the proposed closure of the off-ramp and asked that the community consultation area be extended.

Mr. Darrell Labach, resident on University Drive, expressed concern regarding the timing of the notice of the proposed closure and the need for more discussion before a decision is made.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the matter be referred to the Planning and Operations Committee meeting to be held on July 20, 2010.

CARRIED.

**8c) Proposed Median Opening
Armistice Way and Preston Avenue South
(File No. CK. 6320-5 and IS. 6320-1)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 17, 2010:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 82

RECOMMENDATION: that a median opening be constructed at the intersection of Preston Avenue South and Armistice Way to allow left-turn movements for southbound traffic from Preston Avenue to eastbound Armistice Way, and for westbound traffic from Armistice Way to southbound Preston Avenue.

BACKGROUND

Armistice way is a cul-de-sac with three condominium complexes and a senior care facility, with plans underway for the development of another senior care facility. The street intersects with Preston Avenue south, which has a centre median that does not allow for left turns.

Liberty Court Condominium Corporation and Versailles Place Condominium Corporation have raised a safety concern with respect to access into Armistice Way. An incident which occurred in October, 2008, involving Saskatoon Police Services, resulted in the closure of Preston Avenue, leaving no access in or out of the cul-de-sac. The residents living in the condominium complexes felt that there was lack of an escape route in the case of an emergency.

Correspondence has also been received from Chief Brian Bentley, Saskatoon Fire and Protective Services (SFPS), supporting a median opening at Preston Avenue South and Armistice Way. The letter indicated that the current roadway configuration restricts and slows response times into Armistice Way, which often requires SFPS travelling southbound on Preston Avenue to negotiate a U-turn at Preston Avenue and Adelaide Street, which is a tedious procedure, especially for multi-unit responses, and difficult in winter conditions.

At a Ward Meeting held on January 20, 2009, residents expressed concerns regarding the inadequate number of accesses into and out of Armistice Way, and proposed that an additional access point be created by extending the lane behind Extendicare on Adelaide Street to Armistice Way, to be opened to both vehicle and pedestrian traffic. The Administration reviewed the feasibility of this proposal and contacted North Ridge Developments, the property owners, to confirm that a temporary lane could be constructed, until a more permanent solution could be reviewed. North Ridge Developments agreed to this proposal, with the stipulation that the temporary lane be removed when the property was sold. The temporary lane was constructed in 2009. North Ridge Developments has recently advised that the lane must be removed by June 30, 2010, as they are in the final stages of selling the property. The cost of construction and removal of this lane, funded by Public Works, Roadways Section, is \$20,000.

REPORT

Currently, access into Armistice Way is limited to northbound right-turn movements off of Preston Avenue South. Motorists travelling southbound on Preston Avenue wishing to

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 83

access Armistice Way need to make a U-Turn at the intersection of Preston Avenue and Adelaide Street. There is currently access to the condominiums via Parkinson Lane, located north of Armistice Way, which runs west to east to Legion Manor. However, this lane is privately owned by Legion Manor, and the Administration has no control over its usage or development.

The Administration has reviewed opening the centre median on Preston Avenue to allow for left-turn movements, as per the criteria set out in Policy C07-012 - Median Openings, which states that the adjacent land use must have significant traffic generation characteristics or other special circumstances, such as restricted access/egress; and that actual or projected traffic volumes must exceed 150 left turns during the peak traffic hour into the site.

Based on traffic studies which were conducted in January 2009, approximately 17 left turns into the site were projected, well below the requirement of 150. It is important to note that current and future left-turn projections are difficult to predict, and although the requirement has not been met, it is likely it will be met in the future based on the following:

- Presumably, not all units of the condominium complexes have been sold or are being occupied;
- The new senior care facility in the southwest corner of Armistice Way has not yet been constructed;
- Presumably, the completed senior care facility in the southeast corner of Armistice Way is not at full capacity; and
- Both senior care facilities will generate traffic volumes non-typical of other local streets, due to special vehicle requirements such as employee vehicles, ambulances, hearses, visitors, etc.

All other conditions of Policy C07-012 were met.

In order to improve safety and provide direct access to Armistice Way, the Administration is recommending that a median opening be created at the intersection of Preston Avenue South and Armistice Way, allowing southbound traffic on Preston Avenue to turn left into Armistice Way and westbound traffic on Armistice Way to turn left onto Preston Avenue. If approved, the median opening would be constructed in 2010.

OPTIONS

The Administration considered purchasing the land from North Ridge Developments in order to make the temporary gravel lane behind Extendicare on Adelaide Street, which is currently being used, permanent. However, this option is not recommended as it is undesirable for a lane to provide permanent access for the following reasons:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 84

- It would not be classified as a priority street for snow removal purposes. Therefore, during the winter months, it would not be maintained, making driving conditions difficult and causing possible delays in emergency vehicle response times;
- Residential lanes are not designed to carry large traffic volumes. Creating a permanent access lane would increase traffic volumes significantly;
- It is undesirable to encourage vehicular and pedestrian traffic in the same area. As a lane, there would be no sidewalks, which is a safety hazard.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Although the proposed median opening at Preston Avenue South and Armistice Way does not fully comply with Policy C07-012 - Median Openings in that the current projected volume of traffic does not exceed 150 left turns into the site, it is the Administration's opinion that this requirement will be met in the future, as a result of future development.

The proposed median opening requires that two trees, which are located on the median, be removed. Policy C09-011 – Trees on City Property was created in order to affirm that trees on City property are “living” assets, owned by the City of Saskatoon; and to protect them from unnecessary destruction, loss and damage. It is the Administration's opinion that removal of these trees is necessary in order to improve safety of the residents on Armistice Way. In order to offset the environmental impact of removing these trees, two replacement trees will be planted elsewhere within the community.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Material and construction costs for the proposed median opening are estimated to be \$90,000. In addition, the value of the two trees which will need to be removed has been assessed at \$42,000, and the cost for replacement trees is \$3,500.

Funding is available within approved Capital Project 1512 – Neighbourhood Traffic Management. In addition, the owner of All Seniors Care Home, located on Armistice Way, has committed to a contribution of \$10,000 towards the median opening.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Trees benefit the environment by contributing to clean water, clean air, flood prevention, and soil stabilization. When this green infrastructure is removed it must be replaced with expensive investments in “grey infrastructure”, such as storm sewers, water treatment plants, bigger power plants, etc. The two trees, which will need to be removed from the median, if the opening is approved, will be replaced in the community; therefore, there will be no environmental impact.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3d) of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in The StarPhoenix on the weekends of June 19 and 26, 2010;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, June 18, 2010;
- Posted on the City of Saskatoon website on Friday, June 18, 2010; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, June 17, 2010.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Plan of Proposed Median Opening; and
2. Copy of Public Notice.”

The City Clerk distributed copies of the following letters:

- *C.A. Price, undated, submitting comments; and*
- *Mildred Kluey, dated June 28, 2010, submitting comments.*

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

Ms. Mildred Kluey, on behalf of the Liberty Court Condo Board and residents living in Armitage Village, spoke regarding the density of the condos in the area and the need for better traffic movement.

Mr. Bob Fehr, resident of Liberty Court, spoke regarding the density of condos in the area and expressed concerns for the safety of seniors due to inadequate traffic flow.

Moved by Councillor Pringle, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT a median opening be constructed at the intersection of Preston Avenue South and Armistice Way to allow left–turn movements for southbound traffic from Preston Avenue to eastbound Armistice Way, and for westbound traffic from Armistice Way to southbound Preston Avenue.

CARRIED.

**8d) Proposed Closure of Right-of-Way
Walkway between 18 and 20 Harrison Crescent and
2715 and 2801 McConnell Avenue
(File No. CK. 6295-010-2)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 16, 2010:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the walkway between 18 and 20 Harrison Crescent and 2715 and 2801 McConnell Avenue be closed;
 - 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
 - 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and
 - 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Christopher and Dawn Stubbs of 18 Harrison Crescent; Louise MacWhirter of 2715 McConnell Avenue; and Paul and Kari Sinkewicz of 2801 McConnell Avenue, for \$1,000 each.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on December 1, 2008, Council determined that while a new policy was adopted for reviewing requests for walkway closures, outstanding requests would be given the option of proceeding with either the new policy or the former policy. The residents submitting the request for closure of the walkway between 18 and 20 Harrison Crescent and 2715 and 2801 McConnell Avenue have opted to continue with the new policy.

At its meeting on February 16, 2010, the Planning and Operations Committee considered a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Service Department, dated February 2, 2010 (Attachment 1), and approved the recommendation that the Administration proceed with Public Notice for the closure of the walkway between 18 and 20 Harrison Crescent and 2715 and 2801 McConnell Avenue in the Avalon neighborhood.

REPORT

In order for a walkway to be closed under new Policy C07-017 - Walkway Evaluation and Closure, all fees must be collected before proceeding to Public Notice. The fees have now been received.

Once the closure has been approved by City Council, the Administration will proceed with acquiring the legal land survey documents to transfer the title of land. Typically, this process can take between six and eight months and involves acquiring a plan of consolidation and gathering utility consents to verify easements. Once all the documentation has been received, a report will be submitted to City Council to consider the bylaw for closure.

Upon closing the walkway, the land will be sold to Chris and Dawn Stubbs of 18 Harrison Crescent; Louise McWhirter of 2715 McConnell Avenue; and Paul and Kari Sinkewicz of 2801 McConnell Avenue, as indicated on Plan 242-0056-001r003 (Attachment 2), for \$1,000 each.

The adjacent property owner will not be allowed to build a structure or alter the right-of-way until title of land has been transferred, however, they will be allowed to close the parcel by installing a temporary fence or extending their existing fence line.

If there are any utilities located on this land parcel, easements will be attached to the title or they will be relocated at the expense of the property owner.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in the StarPhoenix on the weekends of June 19, and 26, 2010;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, June 18, 2010;
- Posted on the City of Saskatoon website on Friday, June 18, 2010; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, June 17, 2010.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Excerpt from the minutes of the Planning and Operations Committee dated February 2, 2010;
2. Plan No. 242-0056-001r003; and
3. Copy of Public Notice.””

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 88**

His Worship the Mayor ascertained that there was no one present in the gallery who wished to address Council on this matter.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

- 1) that the walkway between 18 and 20 Harrison Crescent and 2715 and 2801 McConnell Avenue be closed;*
- 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;*
- 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and*
- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to Christopher and Dawn Stubbs of 18 Harrison Crescent; Louise MacWhirter of 2715 McConnell Avenue; and Paul and Kari Sinkewicz of 2801 McConnell Avenue, for \$1,000 each.*

CARRIED.

**8e) Proposed Closure of Walkway
Walkway between 3403 and 3387 Fairlight Drive and 418 and 422 Stone Court
(File CK. 6295-08-13)**

REPORT OF THE CITY CLERK:

“The following is a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department dated June 16, 2010:

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that the walkway adjacent to 3403 and 3387 Fairlight Drive and 418 and 422 Stone Court be closed;
 - 2) that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;
 - 3) that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and

- 4) that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to James Joseph Brinkman of 3403 Fairlight Drive; and Terence W. Denys and Faye D. Denys of 422 Stone Court, for \$1,000 each.

BACKGROUND

In 2008, Infrastructure Services received a letter from the four property owners adjacent to the walkway leading from Stone Court to Fairlight Drive, requesting that the walkway be closed. The walkway was reviewed under the former policy, and a report of the General Manager, Infrastructure Services Department, dated June 4, 2008, was considered at the Planning and Operations Committee on June 17, 2008, recommending that the walkway remain open and added to the list of essential walkways.

The Committee resolved that a report be submitted to City Council recommending that the walkway between 3403 and 3387 Fairlight Drive and 418 and 422 Stone Court remain open pending a Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design review and a further pedestrian count, and that a further report be submitted to the Planning and Operations Committee. Council adopted the recommendation of the Committee at its meeting held on June 23, 2008.

The Planning and Operations Committee, at its meeting held on June 8, 2010, agreed to consider the matter in order for the Administration to prepare public notice and have the matter dealt with at City Council at its meeting scheduled for June 28, 2010. The Administration advised that all of the information surrounding the recommended closure would be included in the report to Council. The Committee resolved that the Administration proceed with Public Notice for the closure of the walkway between Stone Court and Fairlight Drive in the Fairhaven neighbourhood (Attachment 1).

REPORT

A new pedestrian count was conducted on July 15, 2008, between the hours 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m.; 11:30 a.m. and 1:30 p.m.; and 3:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. The results of the count were similar to those conducted in November, 2007, and showed that the walkway is being utilized, with 67 pedestrians in total, including 14 elementary school students; 14 high school students; and 39 adults. It was observed that the majority used the walkway to access the Co-op gas bar, located on Fairlight Drive. One pedestrian used the walkway as a route to the transit stop on Diefenbaker Drive.

A Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) review was completed in February, 2009 (Attachment 2), which recommended that the walkway remain open for pedestrian usage and:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 90

- that trees and hedges be trimmed or removed so that they are not infringing on the walkway right-of-way or on any City property at the front of the properties that are adjacent to the walkway;
- that garbage cans be added at each end to ensure regular collection and to reduce litter;
- that proper drainage is ensured;
- that maintenance of the walkway increase as per the new policy;
- that residents be encouraged to repair and replace fencing with open, wrought iron type fencing to reduce graffiti vandalism and to allow better visibility in the walkway;
- that all evidence of graffiti vandalism be removed, and that the City work with the homeowners and the community association to have them understand the importance of immediate removal;
- that the City work with the Community Association to set up ongoing maintenance, if the community wants the walkway to stay open, and to encourage community involvement and “ownership” in maintaining it to take some stress off of the adjacent property owners;
- that the walkway be monitored for one year, once all of the above has been completed, to ensure that the walkway is functioning properly.

It was also noted that lighting may be tried, if the above recommendations do not improve the conditions in the walkway. However, additional analysis would need to be completed, as lighting may actually attract more nuisance behavior.

The information from the review was presented to the property owners adjacent to the walkway, who felt that the recommendations would not solve their issues, as the majority of them occur at night. Most of these issues are illegal, and include vandalism; theft and noise; urinating in the walkway; drinking; and the use of needles. The property owners reported that they have found numerous needles in the walkway, as well as in their back yards.

The Administration followed up by reviewing the closure using the new Policy, C07-017 - Walkway Evaluation and Closure, which is structured into three stages.

Stage 1 includes a preliminary Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) review of the walkway. If the review indicates that there is vegetation restricting sight lines, graffiti on fences, lack of street lighting, or concerns with vehicles travelling through the walkway, remedial action will be taken. As stated previously, a full CPTED review was completed in February 2009, with the recommendations from the review listed above.

Stage 2 involves investigating additional proactive remedial measures to address vandalism or public safety issues that cannot be addressed by any remedial actions undertaken within Stage 1. During this stage, a community meeting is held to address public safety concerns.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010

PAGE 91

A meeting was held on May 13, 2010, at Fairhaven School. Of the 238 notices sent to the catchment area (Attachment 3), 18 residents attended. Three of the residents initially opposed the closure, two who use the walkway as their route to the transit stop on Fairlight Drive near the Parkridge Centre; and one who uses the walkway as a route to work at the Parkridge Centre.

The adjacent property owners presented their issues to the group, including the need for personal safety. Attachment 4 outlines the comments which were presented. Saskatoon Police Services reported on the crime statistics within the area, stating that from 2007 to 2010 there were 29 crimes, of which 19 could be walkway related. These crimes were described as mischief, theft, suspicious activity and graffiti. They also stated that the crime rate in this area is high compared to other areas of the city. In addition, Saskatoon Fire and Protection Services has on record that, between 2007 and 2008, seven needles were picked up from 3403 Fairlight Drive, and two needles were picked up from 418 Stone Crescent.

By the end of the meeting, all of the residents in attendance were in favour of the closure, for safety reasons.

Stage 3 consists of an analysis using pedestrian routing software, to provide detailed information on the walking routes in the service area to specific destination points, which include commercial development sites, schools, community centers and transit stops. It also assesses all residences within 5 minute, 10 minute, 15 minute and 20 minute walking route times to these destination points, and identifies if there are existing alternative routes which would provide an equivalent level of service. A walkway closure may be recommended if the impact to the walking distance is less than five minutes.

In this instance, the pedestrian routing analysis was completed to determine walking route times to the commercial development north of Fairmont Drive; transit stops near the Parkridge Centre and Diefenbaker Drive; and the transit stop on Fairlight Drive, west of Fairmont Drive. The other two routes that can be taken to these destination points would either be Olmsted Road or Fairmont Drive.

The analysis indicated that there would be, on average, a 10 minute increase to the walking route time to each service area listed above. Residents living in the Stone Court, Stone Place and Stone Terrance areas, who use Transit Routes 22, 5 and 3, will have the longer walk to the major stop on Diefenbaker Drive. However, these residents can also choose to access these buses at a different bus stop location, involving a shorter walk, but with the inconvenience of a longer bus ride.

Typically, the Administration would not recommend a closure based on this analysis; however, it appears that the walkway is generating significant crime and mischief, specifically at night. The walkway also leads to night clubs and bars; therefore, it is believed that, if the walkway is closed, crime in the area should decrease. The Administration is, therefore, recommending that the walkway be closed, based on crime statistics, rather than policy guidelines.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 92**

Not all of the guidelines within Policy C07-017- Walkway Evaluation and Closure have been met; however, the Administration is recommending that the walkway be closed for public safety reasons.

In order for a walkway to be closed under former Policy C07-017, Walkway Closure Fee Assistance, which was in effect until December 1, 2008, all fees must be collected before proceeding to Public Notice. The fees have now been received.

Once the closure has been approved by City Council, the Administration will proceed with acquiring the legal land survey documents to transfer the title of land. Typically, this process can take between six and eight months and involves acquiring a plan of consolidation and gathering utility consents to verify easements. Once all of the documentation has been received, a report will be submitted to City Council to consider the bylaw for closure.

Upon closing the walkway, the land will be sold to James Joseph Brinkman, 3403 Fairlight Drive; and Terence W. Denys and Faye D. Denys, 422 Stone Court, for \$1000 each. The adjacent property owners located at 3387 Fairlight Drive and 418 Stone Court have advised that they are not interested in purchasing part of the walkway.

The adjacent property owners will not be allowed to build a structure or alter the right-of-way until title of land has been transferred, however, they will be allowed to close the parcel by installing a temporary fence or extending their existing fence line.

If there are any utilities located on this land parcel, easements will be attached to the title or they will be relocated at the expense of the property owner.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice is required for consideration of this matter, pursuant to Section 3b) of Policy No. C01-021, The Public Notice Policy. The following notice was given:

- Advertised in the StarPhoenix on June 19 and 26 , 2010;
- Posted on the City Hall Notice Board on Friday, June 18 ,2010;
- Posted on the City of Saskatoon website on Friday, June 18, 2010; and
- Flyers distributed to affected parties on Thursday, June 17, 2010.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Excerpt from the meeting of City Council held on June 23, 2008;
2. Copy of CPTED Review Report, "Selected Pedestrian Walkways", dated February 9, 2009;
3. Copy of Catchment Area Plan;

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 93**

4. Copy of comments from the community meeting held on May 13, 2010; and
5. Copy of Public Notice.”

General Manager, Infrastructure Services Gutek presented his report.

Ms. Grace Lahonan, resident adjacent to the walkway, spoke in favour of the proposed walkway closure expressing crime and safety issues.

Moved by Councillor Neault, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

- 1) *that the walkway adjacent to 3403 and 3387 Fairlight Drive and 418 and 422 Stone Court be closed;*
- 2) *that upon receipt of the legal land survey documents the City Solicitor be requested to prepare the appropriate bylaw for consideration by City Council;*
- 3) *that upon approval of the bylaw, the City Solicitor be instructed to take all necessary steps to bring the intended closure forward and to complete the closure; and*
- 4) *that upon closure of the walkway, the land be sold to James Joseph Brinkman of 3403 Fairlight Drive; and Terence W. Denys and Faye D. Denys of 422 Stone Court, for \$1,000 each.*

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL

The following communications were submitted and dealt with as stated:

B. ITEMS WHICH REQUIRE THE DIRECTION OF CITY COUNCIL

1) James Anthony, dated June 10

Commenting on allocation of tax dollars, street cleaning, pot holes and sidewalk repair. (File Nos. CK. 1930-1 & 6315-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the letter be referred to the Administration for further handling.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the letter be referred to the Administration for further handling.

CARRIED.

2) **Jeremiah Buhler, Mennonite Church Saskatchewan, dated June 10**

Requesting permission to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on August 15, 2010, at 10:30 a.m. in Scott Park for an outdoor service.
(File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request by the Mennonite Church Saskatchewan to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on August 15, 2010, at 10:30 a.m. in Scott Park for an outdoor service be granted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the request by the Mennonite Church Saskatchewan to extend the time where amplified sound can be heard under the Noise Bylaw on August 15, 2010, at 10:30 a.m. in Scott Park for an outdoor service be granted.

CARRIED.

3) **Wylma Pilling, Community Relations & Events Planner, Saskatchewan Abilities Council/Easter Seals Saskatchewan, dated June 15**

Requesting an extension of the time where amplified sound can be heard on Thursday, September 2, 2010, from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. for the Easter Seals Drop Zone event. (File No. CK. 185-9)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request by Saskatchewan Abilities Council for an extension of the time where amplified sound can be heard on Thursday, September 2, 2010, from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. for the Easter Seals Drop Zone event be granted.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the request by Saskatchewan Abilities Council for an extension of the time where amplified sound can be heard on Thursday, September 2, 2010, from 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. for the Easter Seals Drop Zone event be granted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 95**

4) Bill Mathews, dated June 21

Requesting that 19th Street and Sonnenschien Way, between Avenues A and B be closed on Saturday, August 21 at 3:00 p.m. until Sunday, August 22, at 5:00 p.m. for the 2010 BBQ Competition. (File No. CK. 205-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that the request to close 19th Street and Sonnenschien Way, between Avenues A and B on Saturday, August 21 at 3:00 p.m. until Sunday, August 22, at 5:00 p.m. for the 2010 BBQ Competition be approved subject to any administrative conditions.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT the request to close 19th Street and Sonnenschien Way, between Avenues A and B on Saturday, August 21 at 3:00 p.m. until Sunday, August 22, at 5:00 p.m. for the 2010 BBQ Competition be approved subject to any administrative conditions.

CARRIED.

C. INFORMATION ITEMS

1) Tamara Hinz, dated June 10

Commenting on transit services. (File No. CK. 7300-1)

2) Eva Friesen, dated June 15

Commenting on apartment dwellers having pets. (File No. CK. 151-1)

3) Cathy Holtslander, dated June 15

Commenting on gardens being planted in vacant lots. (File No. CK. 4225-1)

4) Anita Hrytsak, dated June 16

Commenting on litter in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 150-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 96**

5) Terry Black, dated June 10

Commenting on the condition of Saskatoon streets. (File No. CK. 6315-1)

6) Michael Bayle, dated June 17

Commenting on poor service and conditions at a Saskatoon hotel. (File No. CK. 150-1)

7) Allison McIlmoyl, dated June 20

Commenting on raising chickens within Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 151-1)

8) Ken Millar and Len Breckner, Sutherland Memorial Hall Corporation, undated

Submitting 2009 financial statements. (File No. CK. 1895-10)

9) Joanne Sproule, Secretary to Board of Police Commissioners, dated June 22

Providing update on transition from Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) to the Real Time Identification System (RTID). (File No. CK. 5000-1)

10) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated June 11

Submitting Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board regarding the property located at 134 Copland Crescent. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

11) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated June 15

Submitting Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board regarding the property located at 416 22nd Street West. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

12) Joanne Sproule, Deputy City Clerk, dated June 15

Submitting Notice of Hearing of the Development Appeals Board regarding the property located at 446 2nd Avenue North Crescent. (File No. CK. 4352-1)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 97**

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

D. ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN REFERRED FOR APPROPRIATE ACTION

1) Cielo Erni, dated June 9

Commenting on vibrations felt in home since construction done on street. (File No. CK. 6330-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

2) Hollis Brown, dated June 11

Commenting on car being towed because of outstanding tickets. (File No. CK. 6120-6) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

3) Tim Fehr, dated June 14

Commenting on the condition of back lanes. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

4) Gail Edwards, dated June 14

Commenting on recycling. (File No. CK. 7830-5) **(Referred to Administration to respond to the writer.)**

5) Paul Van Pul, dated June 14

Commenting on white water rafting/hydro electrical proposal. (File No. CK. 2300-1) **(Referred to Administration for a report.)**

6) Robert Kitzan, dated June 17

Commenting on the Dundonald School crosswalk. (File No. CK. 6150-1) **(Referred to Administration for consideration.)**

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 98**

7) Shelley Pillipow, dated June 20

Commenting on backyard fire pits. (File No. CK. 2500-6) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

8) Amy Melanson, dated June 21

Commenting on backyard fire pits. (File No. CK. 2500-6) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action and response to the writer.)**

9) Barbara Larson, dated June 20

Commenting on weeds in Willowgrove. (File No. CK. 4000-2) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

10) Cory Chatfield, dated June 22

Commenting on potholes. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

11) Brian Diederich, dated June 22

Commenting on potholes caused by water and sewer line work. (File No. CK. 6315-1) **(Referred to Administration for appropriate action.)**

12) Nancy Rose, dated June 22

Commenting on enforcement of existing speed limit. (File No. CK. 5200-5)
(Referred to Board of Police Commissioners.)

RECOMMENDATION: that the information be received.

Moved by Councillor Wyant, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 99**

E. PROCLAMATIONS

1) Laura Haines, Muscular Dystrophy Canada, dated June 9

Requesting City Council proclaim September 2010 Muscular Dystrophy Month in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

2) Cathy Sieben, President, Saskatoon Literacy Coalition, dated June 11

Requesting City Council proclaim September 8, 2010 as International Literacy Day in Saskatoon. (File No. CK. 205-5)

3) Shelby Rushton, CEO, Lifesaving Society, dated June 22

Requesting City Council proclaim July 18 to 25, 2010, as Drowning Prevention Week. (File No. CK. 205-5)

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E; and
 - 2) that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

- 1) *that City Council approve all proclamations as set out in Section E; and*
- 2) *that the City Clerk be authorized to sign the proclamations, in the standard form, on behalf of City Council.*

CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT NO. 11-2010 – CONTINUED

**G2) 2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability:
How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity
(File No. CK. 3500-1, x CK. 116-1 and CC. 100-1)**

- RECOMMENDATION:**
- 1) that City Council adopt the resolution proposed by the Saskatoon Combined Business Group requesting, “That the City of Saskatoon continue to work with the Saskatoon business community to maintain Saskatoon’s position as Canada’s Business Friendliest City over this City Council’s elected term between 2009 and 2012”; and
 - 2) that City Council endorse the “2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability: How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity”.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on December 16, 2002, City Council adopted the following resolution:

“that City Council declare that, by 2006, Saskatoon and region will be the Business Friendliest City in Canada, which nurtures excellence, innovation and creativity.”

This resolution was adopted at the request of the Saskatoon Combined Business Group.

Subsequently, on February 12, 2007, and building upon the above resolution, City Council passed the following resolution:

- “1) that City Council declare that the City of Saskatoon will enhance its position as the Business Friendliest City in Canada through excellence, innovation and creativity; and,
- 2) that a progress report be provided in 2009.”

On November 16, 2009, Ms. Shirley Ryan, then President of the North Saskatoon Business Association; and Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director of the Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce, both representing the Saskatoon Combined Business Group, made a presentation to City Council requesting that City Council consider the following resolution:

“That the City of Saskatoon continue to work with the Saskatoon business community to maintain Saskatoon’s position as Canada’s Business Friendliest City over this City Council’s elected term between 2009 and 2012.”

At the same meeting, City Council referred the matter to Administration for a report.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 101**

REPORT

In October 2009, the Canadian Federation of Independent Business (CFIB) released a report entitled “Communities in Boom: Canada’s Top Entrepreneurial Cities in 2009”. That report ranked Saskatoon as Canada’s most entrepreneurial friendly city for 2009. In reaching this conclusion, the CFIB compiled data from various sources, primarily relying on Statistics Canada data, and received input from the CFIB’s membership, which contains over 105,000 business owners across Canada. According to the CFIB, Saskatoon’s ranking is the result of:

- a high concentration of entrepreneurs;
- a high business start-up rate;
- a high level of business optimism and success; and,
- supportive local government tax and regulatory policies.

In addition to these factors, Saskatoon’s ranking is also reflective of City Council’s and Administration’s commitment to making Saskatoon Canada’s business friendliest city, as recognized by the proposed resolution. The City of Saskatoon has been able to accomplish this important ranking by striking a balance between the economic, social, and environmental objectives of the community. A thriving and successful entrepreneurial community helps to enhance the quality of life for the residents of Saskatoon.

Despite the importance, prestige, and relevance of the CFIB’s ranking, the Administration believes that it needs to try and quantify how the City of Saskatoon has become more entrepreneurial, creative, and innovative. As a result, Administration has prepared a report (Attachment 1) entitled “2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability: How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity” (dated June 28, 2010).

This report replaces the former “Productivity Improvement Report” that was tabled annually at the request of the Saskatoon and District Chamber of Commerce. The purpose of the Productivity Improvement Report was to highlight key successes that the City of Saskatoon achieved over the course of a particular year.

As noted in the attached report, the City of Saskatoon is seeking new and innovative ways to provide the existing and emerging programs and services to its citizens. The “2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability: How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity”, demonstrates that the City of Saskatoon needs to become more goal-oriented, responsive, and adaptive in our approach to municipal governance.

The Administration is taking a fresh look at how we operate and how the organization can become more adaptive and responsive with a focus on:

- improved service;
- increased savings; and,
- growing a sustainable city.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 102

Underlying, or driving, the CFIB's ranking is the City of Saskatoon's investment in, and implementation of, an intensive workforce training program. This program has a 30-year track record in various private sector companies and public sector organizations around the world and is designed to encourage employees to perform their work in new and innovative ways. One of the key deliverables from this initiative is to create a culture of innovation and creativity within the corporation.

As a result of this intensive workforce training and leadership program, and City Council's commitment to become Canada's most business friendly city, the City of Saskatoon is experiencing changes in the level of creativity and innovation being undertaken by its employees. There has been a shift in the mindset of the leadership team and staff at all levels within the organization to focus on "what" needs to be done without addressing "how" it will be achieved at the outset. This has allowed employees to solve what were previously insurmountable problems, and to think about new and creative ways of problem solving.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Training for City of Saskatoon Employees

From 2005 to March 2010, the investment in the workforce training and leadership program has been approximately \$826,000. Administration negotiated a price of \$445/employee for four days of intensive training. No new dollars were allocated to pay for this training, as existing professional development budgets have been redirected to fund the sessions. Approximately \$145,000 was allocated for overtime and replacement staff to backfill staff attending sessions. Facility rental costs at TCU Place are approximately \$82,000.

In 2009, the City of Saskatoon spent an average of \$649 on total training per employee, including the training referred to above. At this amount, the City is spending less than the national annual average of \$787/employee, and well below the average amount spent per employee in government sector organizations (\$986/employee).

As a percentage of payroll, in 2009 the City of Saskatoon spent 0.91% on training and development, 1.19% in 2008, and 1.12% in 2007. This is less than the average amount spent by other government sector organizations (1.9%) and about the same that is spent by the Government of Saskatchewan (0.7%). The average amount spent by all organizations across the country is 1.5%.

Projects Demonstrating Improved Service, Increased Savings and Growing a Sustainable City

The projects listed in this report estimate a savings of approximately \$65 million, and the potential to increase revenue by approximately \$3 million annually.

One of the projects highlighted is the City's response to the longstanding problem of basement flooding in homes after large rainfalls. The innovative solution of diverting rain to collector tanks

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 103**

rather than spilling into the basements of homes has resulted in millions of dollars in savings to property owners for each rain event. These savings to property owners are not included in the \$65 million figure.

The investment in training employees is starting to pay large dividends. While the success of all of the projects described in the report may not be entirely attributed to the change in attitudes and behaviours of staff, there is without question a correlation to a number of the creative and innovative projects that City employees are undertaking that improve service, increase savings, and contribute to growing a sustainable city for the citizens of Saskatoon.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Public Notice pursuant to Section 3 of Policy No. C01-021, Public Notice Policy, is not required.

ATTACHMENT

1. 2009 Report on Service, Savings, and Sustainability: How the City of Saskatoon is Improving its Productivity (June 28, 2010)

The City Clerk distributed copies of a letter from Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director, The Chamber, dated June 24, 2010, requesting permission to address Council with Keith Moen of the North Saskatoon Business Association.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Kent Smith-Windsor and Keith Moen be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Kent Smith-Windsor, Executive Director, The Chamber and Mr. Keith Moen of the North Saskatoon Business Association, congratulated Council on its successes and asked that the City of Saskatoon renew its commitment to maintain Saskatoon's position as Canada's Business Friendliest City.

Moved by Councillor Paulsen, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the recommendation of the Administration be adopted.

CARRIED.

ENQUIRIES

**Councillor G. Penner
Population Density – Market Mall Area
File No. CK. 4131-1**

Tonight a number of concerns were expressed about the density of population in the area surrounding Market Mall. Could I have a report on this density issue with recommendations regarding maximum development controls in an area. What can we do in the future to make certain these issues do not reoccur.

**Councillor B. Pringle
Access and Egress – Armitage Village/Dover Heights Condominium
File No. CK. 6320-1**

Would the Administration, with some urgency, please find an additional permanent and satisfactory access route to and from Armitage Village and Dover Heights for reasons of more effective traffic flow and vehicle and pedestrian safety.

INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BYLAWS

Bylaw 8847

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8847, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 6)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8847 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 105**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8847.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8847 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8847 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8847 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 106**

Bylaw 8849

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8849, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 8)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8849 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8849.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8849 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 107**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8849 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8849 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8850

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8850, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 9)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8850 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8850.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 108**

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8850 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8850 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8850 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8851

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8851, being “The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 2)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 109**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8851 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8851.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8851 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8851 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8851 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 110**

Bylaw 8852

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8852, being “The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 3)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8852 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8852.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8852 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 111**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8852 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8852 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8853

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8853, being “The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 4)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8853 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8853.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 112**

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8853 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8853 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8853 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

(Councillor Neault was not present during the hearing and therefore is not able to vote.)

Bylaw 8854

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8854, being "The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 5)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 113**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8854 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8854.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8854 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8854 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8854 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 114**

Bylaw 8855

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8855, being “The Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 6)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8855 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8855.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8855 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 115**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8855 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8855 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8856

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8856, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 10)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8856 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8856.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 116**

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8856 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8856 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8856 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8857

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8857, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 11)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 117**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8857 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8857.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8857 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8857 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Wyant,

THAT Bylaw No. 8857 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 118**

(Councillor Neault was not present during the hearing and therefore is not able to vote.)

Bylaw 8858

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8858, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 12)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8858 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8858.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8858 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 119**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8858 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8858 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8861

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8861, being “The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 13)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8861 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8861.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 120**

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8861 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8861 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8861 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8862

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8862, being "The Zoning Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 14)" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 121**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8862 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8862.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8862 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8862 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8862 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 122**

Bylaw 8863

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8863, being “The Animal Control Amendment Bylaw, 2010 (No. 3)” and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8863 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8863.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8863 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 123**

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8863 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8863 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

Bylaw 8864

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT permission be granted to introduce Bylaw No. 8864, being "The Impounding Amendment Bylaw, 2010" and to give same its first reading.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a first time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Heidt,

THAT Bylaw No. 8864 be now read a second time.

CARRIED.

The bylaw was then read a second time.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Paulsen,

THAT Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider Bylaw No. 8864.

CARRIED.

Council went into Committee of the Whole with Councillor Clark in the Chair.

Committee arose.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 124**

Councillor Clark, Chair of the Committee of the Whole, made the following report:

That while in Committee of the Whole, Bylaw No. 8864 was considered clause by clause and approved.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Neault,

THAT permission be granted to have Bylaw No. 8864 read a third time at this meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Dubois,

THAT Bylaw No. 8864 be now read a third time, that the bylaw be passed and the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign same and attach the corporate seal thereto.

CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS TO COUNCIL – continued

A. REQUESTS TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL

1) Doug Ramage, dated May 26

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to tax abatements.
(File No. CK. 750-4)

RECOMMENDATION: that Doug Ramage be heard.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Doug Ramage be heard.

CARRIED.

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 125**

Mr. Doug Ramage spoke of the benefits of providing tax abatements in the city.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

2) Larry Waldinger, dated June 19

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to property tax abatement. (File No. CK. 1965-1)

RECOMMENDATION: that Larry Waldinger be heard.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Larry Waldinger be heard.

CARRIED.

Mr. Larry Waldinger spoke regarding tax abatements in the city. He also asked that there be a bylaw giving buses the right-of-way on the streets.

Moved by Councillor Dubois, Seconded by Councillor Penner,

THAT the information be received.

CARRIED.

**3) Sanaa Mirza and Ian Shaw, Youth Program Facilitators,
Saskatoon Open Door Society, dated June 22**

Requesting permission to address City Council with respect to funding for new youth program. (File No. CK. 1870-3)

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, JUNE 28, 2010
PAGE 126**

RECOMMENDATION: that Sanaa Mirza and/or Ian Shaw be heard.

Moved by Councillor Lorje, Seconded by Councillor Pringle,

THAT Sanaa Mirza and Ian Shaw be heard.

CARRIED.

Ms. Beulah Gana, Executive Director, Saskatoon Open Door Society and a volunteer for the New Comer Youth Program, provided information on the Bridging City and New Comer Youth program and its benefits and requested funding from the City.

Moved by Councillor Clark, Seconded by Councillor Lorje,

THAT the matter be referred to the Administration.

CARRIED.

Moved by Councillor Clark,

THAT the meeting stand adjourned.

CARRIED.

The meeting adjourned at 10:38 p.m.

Mayor

City Clerk