

## MINUTES

### CITY OF SASKATOON

### BOARD OF REVISION

Date: March 15, 2016  
Location: Council Chambers  
Session: 9:00 a.m.

**PRESENT:** Mr. Dave Gabruch, Panel Chair  
Mr. Dave Putz, Board Member  
Ms. June Board, Board Member  
Ms. Joyce Fast, Board of Revision Panel Clerk

The Appellant was advised that the proceedings were being recorded for the purposes of the Board and the Secretary. The Chair introduced the Board members and the Secretary and briefly outlined the procedures that would be followed during the course of the hearing. Those present were also informed that all witnesses, including Appellant and the Assessor, would be sworn under oath, or affirm that their statements are true, before their testimony would begin.

- 1. Appeal No. 202-2015 (SUPP) – continued from February 25, 2016**  
**Civic Address: 200 – 4011 Aronec Avenue**  
**Legal Description: Parcel(s) 203139345, Unit #200**  
**Roll No. 405104402**
- 

#### Appearing for the Appellant

Mr. Dallas Higgs, Seven Higgs Enterprises Inc.

#### Appearing for the Respondent

Mr. Travis Horne, Assessment Manager (advocate)  
Mr. Chad Nunweiler, Assessment Appraiser

#### Grounds and Issues

The appeal is against the property valuation as noted in the Notice of Appeal, Exhibit A.1.

Unit #200 has a total assessed value of \$645,300. This property was recently purchased at what was deemed fair market value for a price of \$525,000.

Exhibits

- A.1 – Notice of Appeal, received December 4, 2015
- A.2 – Commercial Condo Comparables cover sheet, received January 28, 2016
- A.3 – Commercial Condo Comparables document, received February 25, 2016
- R.1 – Assessment Report, Warehouse and Automotive Response,  
received February 16, 2016
- R.2 – Property Assessment, 2015 General Law and Legislation Brief,  
received February 16, 2016

Supplementary Notations

The Chair advised the Appellant and Assessor that they were both still affirmed from the hearing held on February 25, 2016 regarding this appeal.

The Commercial Condo Comparables document submitted by the Appellant and received on February 25, 2016 was entered as Exhibit A.3.

The Assessor confirmed the assessment package was not amended in light of the documents received from the Appellant on February 25, 2016.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated in the Record of Decision dated April 28, 2016, the appeal was dismissed.

The hearing concluded at 10:49 a.m.

As Secretary to the above Board of Revision Panel, I certify that these are accurate minutes of the hearing held on March 15, 2016.

---

Joyce Fast, Panel Clerk  
Board of Revision